

**SAN RAFAEL CITY SCHOOLS
BOARD OF EDUCATION RESOLUTION #1718-1
ADOPTING PREQUALIFICATION QUESTIONNAIRE AND
UNIFORM SYSTEM OF RATING
PURSUANT TO PUBLIC CONTRACT CODE SECTION 20111.6**

WHEREAS, San Rafael City Schools ("District") desires to prequalify potential prime contractors for lease-leaseback projects and projects using funds received pursuant to the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 or any funds received, including funds reimbursed, from any future state school bond for a public project that involves a projected expenditure of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) or more;

WHEREAS, school districts are required to prequalify prime contractors for all contracts for projects using the lease-leaseback project delivery method awarded on or after January 1, 2017, using the requirements described in Section 20111.6 of the Public Contract Code;

WHEREAS, school districts are required to prequalify prime contractors for all contracts for the aforementioned District projects using the requirements described in Section 20111.6 of the Public Contract Code;

WHEREAS, Public Contract Code section 20111.6 directs school districts to require prospective prime contractors to submit a standardized prequalification questionnaire and financial statement (collectively "Prequalification Package") prior to submitting a bid on the aforementioned District projects;

WHEREAS, Public Contract Code section 20111.6(g) authorizes school districts to establish a uniform system of rating bidders based upon the completed Prequalification Packages ("Prequalification Process");

WHEREAS, District staff has developed a standardized questionnaire in accordance with Public Contract Code section 20111.6 attached hereto as **EXHIBIT A** ("Questionnaire");

WHEREAS, District staff has developed a uniform system of rating potential prime contractors based upon the completed Questionnaires in accordance with Public Contract Code section 20111.6 attached hereto as **EXHIBIT B** ("Rating System");

WHEREAS, the Rating System includes a process for potential prime contractors to appeal certain decisions with respect to the prequalification process ("Appeal Process"); and

WHEREAS, the District desires to adopt the Prequalification Process, including the Questionnaire, Rating System, and Appeal Process.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Governing Board of San Rafael City Schools hereby finds, determines, declares, orders and resolves as follows:

Section 1. That the above recitals are true and correct.

Section 2. That the Questionnaire, the Rating System, and the Appeal Process are authorized pursuant to Public Contract Code section 20111.6.

Section 3. That the District's Superintendent, or designee, is authorized to implement the Prequalification Process, including accepting the final list of prequalified contractors, consistent with Public Contract Code section 20111.6.

APPROVED, PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Governing Board of the San Rafael City Schools on this 24th day of July 2017, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTENTIONS:

President of the Governing Board of the
San Rafael City Schools

Attested to:

Secretary of the Governing Board of the
San Rafael City Schools

RESOLUTION EXHIBIT A

Prequalification Questionnaire

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK; EXHIBIT FOLLOWS]

**SAN RAFAEL CITY SCHOOLS
PREQUALIFICATION QUESTIONNAIRE AND CERTIFICATION
FOR GENERAL CONTRACTORS FOR MULTIPLE PROJECTS.**

Due 2:00pm, August 30, 2017

Pursuant to Public Contract Code section 20111.6, each prospective prime contractor shall submit the following information to establish its qualifications to perform construction work as the prime contractor on either District lease-leaseback projects or District projects using funds received pursuant to the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 or any funds received, including funds reimbursed, from any future state school bond for a public project that involves a projected expenditure of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) or more.

Each prospective experienced general contractor shall be currently licensed and shall submit the following information to establish its qualifications to bid to perform construction work on San Rafael City Schools ("District") facilities, including, but not limited to the following Projects.

1. Laurel Dell Elementary School Reconstruction + Renovation
2. Davidson Middle School New Classroom Building
3. Glenwood Elementary School New Multi-Purpose Building
4. San Pedro Elementary School New Construction + Renovation
5. Davidson Annex Modernization + New Portables
6. Venetia Valley New Classroom Building + Transitional Housing
7. San Rafael High School New Buildings + Transitional Housing
8. Terra Linda High School New Buildings + Transitional Housing

I. RESPONSIVE CRITERIA

- A. Timeliness:** Prequalification Statement must be submitted on time.
- B. Completeness:** Contractor must provide all requested information.
- C. Signed Under Penalty of Perjury:** The Prequalification Questionnaire must be signed under penalty of perjury by individuals who have the authority to bind the contractor on whose behalf they are signing.
- D. Misleading/Inaccurate Information:** Any and all information provided by the contractor shall not be misleading or inaccurate in any material manner.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

A. CONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION

Firm name: _____

Address: _____

Telephone: _____

Fax: _____

Mobile Telephone: _____

E-mail: _____

By: _____ Date: _____
(Name of individual completing statement)

Years in business as a licensed contractor: _____

Years' experience with school district, university, and/or community college district projects: _____

Types of work performed with own forces: _____

Years in business under current firm name: _____

Years at the above address: _____

Receipt and acceptance of the following addenda is hereby acknowledged:

No. _____, Dated _____

No. _____, Dated _____

No. _____, Dated _____

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

B. CURRENT ORGANIZATION AND STRUCTURE OF THE BUSINESS

1. For Firms that Are Corporations:

- a. Date incorporated: _____
- b. Under the laws of what state: _____
- c. Provide all the following information for each person who is either (a) an officer of the corporation (president, vice president, secretary, treasurer), or (b) the owner of at least ten percent (10%) of the corporation's stock.

NAME	POSITION	YEARS WITH CO.	% OWNERSHIP

2. For Firms that Are Partnerships:

- a. Date of formation: _____
- b. Under the laws of what state: _____
- c. Provide all the following information for each partner who owns ten percent (10%) or more of the firm.

NAME	POSITION	YEARS WITH CO.	% OWNERSHIP

3. For Firms that Are Sole Proprietorships:

- a. Date of commencement of business: _____

4. For Firms that Intend to Bid as a Joint Venture:

- a. Date of commencement of joint venture: _____
- b. Provide all of the following information for each firm that is a member of the joint venture that expects to bid on one or more projects. Attach all additional references and/or information on separate signed sheets.

NAME OF FIRM	% OF OWNERSHIP OF JOINT VENTURE

5. Associated Firms

For any of the above business structures, identify every construction firm that any person listed above has been associated with (as owner, general partner, limited partner or officer) at any time during the past five (5) years.

PERSON'S NAME	NAME OF CONSTRUCTION FIRM & LICENSE NO.	DATES OF PERSON'S PARTICIPATION WITH FIRM

Attach all additional references and/or information on separate signed sheets.

C. HISTORY OF THE BUSINESS AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE

1. Has there been any change in ownership of the firm at any time during the past three years? NOTE: A corporation whose shares are publicly traded is not required to answer this question.

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet.

2. Is the firm a subsidiary, parent, holding company, or affiliate of another construction firm? NOTE: Include information about other firms if one firm owns ten percent (10%) or more of another, or if an owner, partner, or officer of your firm holds a similar position in another firm.

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet. Include name of the related company and percent ownership.

3. Are any corporate officers, partners or owners connected to any other construction firms? NOTE: Include information about other firms if an owner, partner, or officer of your firm holds a similar position in another firm.

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet.

4. Has any owner, partner, CSLB qualifier or corporate officer of the firm operated as a contractor under any other name or license number (not listed above) in the last five (5) years?

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including the name and license number of the other company.

5. State your firm's gross revenues for each of the past three (3) years:

YEAR	GROSS REVENUE
	\$
	\$
	\$

6. How many years has your firm been in business in California as a contractor under your present business name and license number? _____

7. Is your firm currently the debtor in a bankruptcy case or was in bankruptcy at any time during the last five (5) years?

Yes No

If "yes," please attach a copy of the bankruptcy petition and a copy of the Bankruptcy Court's discharge or any other document that ended the case, if any.

D. LICENSES/REGISTRATION

1. Please provide the following licensing information:
 - a. Name of license holder exactly as on file with the California State License Board: _____

 - b. License classification(s): _____
 - c. License #: _____
 - d. Expiration Date: _____
 - e. Public Works Contractor’s Registration # as on file with Department of Industrial Relations: _____

2. Has any CSLB license held by your firm or its Responsible Managing Employee or Responsible Managing Officer been suspended or revoked within the last five (5) years?
 Yes No
If “yes,” explain on a separate signed sheet.

3. Has your firm changed names or license number in the past five (5) years?
 Yes No
If “yes,” explain on a separate signed sheet, including the reason for the change.

E. DISPUTES

1. At any time in the last five (5) years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages under a construction contract with any public or private owner?
 Yes No
If “yes,” explain on a separate signed sheet, identifying projects by owner, owner’s address, and date of completion.

2. At any time in the last five (5) years, has your firm, or any owners, officers or partners, been debarred, disqualified, removed or otherwise prevented from bidding on, or completing, any public works project?
 Yes No
If “yes,” explain on a separate signed sheet, including the name of the person who was associated with that company, the year of the event, owner, owner’s address and basis for the action.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

3. At any time in the last five (5) years, has a public agency found your company was not a responsible bidder?

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including the year of the event, owner, owner's address and basis for the finding.

4. In the past five (5) years, has any claim exceeding \$50,000 against your firm or by your firm against an owner been filed in court or arbitration concerning your firm's work or payment on a construction project?

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including the project name, court or arbitration case name and number, and a brief description of the status of the claim.

F. INSURANCE

1. Does Contractor have liability insurance policy with a policy limit of at least \$2,000,000 per occurrence and \$4,000,000 aggregate?

Yes No

If "No," provide on a separate signed sheet what limits are available to the Contractor.

2. Does Contractor have current workers' compensation insurance policy as required by the California Labor Code or is legally self-insured pursuant to California Labor Code section 3700 *et seq.*?

Yes No

3. In the last five (5) years, has any insurance carrier, for any form of insurance, refused to renew the insurance policy for your firm?

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including the name of the insurance carrier, form of insurance and year of the refusal.

G. CRIMINAL MATTERS AND RELATED CIVIL SUITS

1. Has your firm or any of its owners, partners or officers ever been found liable in a civil suit or found guilty in a criminal action for making any false claim or material misrepresentation to any public agency or entity?

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, identifying who was involved, name of the public agency, date of the investigation and grounds for the filing.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

2. Has your firm or any of its owners, partners or officers ever been convicted of a crime involving any federal, state, or local law related to construction or fraud, theft, or any other action of dishonesty?

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, identifying who was involved, name of the public agency, date of conviction and grounds for the conviction.

H. SAFETY

1. Within the past five (5) years, has the California or federal OSHA cited and assessed against your firm, or any associated firm, for "serious," "willful" or "repeat" violations of its safety or health regulations?

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, identifying the citation(s), nature of the violation(s), project, and amount of penalty paid, if any.

2. Within the past five (5) years, has the EPA or any Air Quality Management District or any Regional Water Quality Control Board cited and assessed penalties against your firm or the owner of the project on which your firm was the Contractor?

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, describing the citation(s).

3. State the Contractor's Workers' Compensation Experience Modification Rate for the past three (3) premium years:

YEAR	MODIFICATION RATE

If your EMR is 1.00 or higher, you may attach a letter of explanation.

4. Within the past five (5) years, has there ever been a period when your firm and/or any associated firm had employees but was without workers' compensation insurance or state-approved self-insurance?

Yes No

If yes, explain on separate sheet, including the date(s) and reason(s) for the absence of workers' compensation insurance.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

I. PREVAILING WAGE AND APPRENTICESHIP COMPLIANCE

- 1. In the past five (5) years, has there been more than one occasion in which your firm was required to pay either back wages or penalties for your firm’s failure to comply with California prevailing wage laws or federal Davis-Bacon prevailing wage requirements?

Yes No

If “yes,” explain on a separate signed sheet, describing the nature of the violation(s), project, owner, and amount paid, if any.

- 2. At any time during the past five (5) years, has your firm been found to have violated any provision of California apprenticeship laws or regulations, or laws pertaining to use of apprentices on public works projects?

Yes No

If “yes,” explain on a separate signed sheet, including date(s) of such findings and attaching the DAS’ final decision(s).

J. BONDING

- 1. Have you attached a notarized statement from an admitted surety insurer (approved by the California Department of Insurance and authorized to issue bonds in the State of California), which states your current bonding capacity?

Yes No

- 2. Provide the name, address and telephone number of the surety agent: _____

- 3. List all sureties that have written bonds for your firm currently and during the last five (5) years:

NAME	ADDRESS	DATES OF BONDS

- 4. In the last 5 years, has any surety paid on your firm’s behalf as a result of a default to satisfy any claims made against a payment or performance bond issued on your firm’s behalf?

Yes No

If “yes,” explain on a separate signed sheet, including the amount of each claim, name and telephone number of claimant, date of and grounds for the claim, and present status.

- 5. If your firm was required to pay a premium of more than one percent (1%) for a performance and payment bond on any project on which your firm worked in

the last three (3) years, state the percentage that your firm was required to pay: _____.

You may explain on a separate sheet, why you were required to pay a premium of more than one percent (1%).

6. In the last five (5) years, has your firm ever been denied bond coverage by a surety company, or has there ever been a period of time when your firm had no surety bond in place when one was required?

Yes No

If "yes," explain on a separate signed sheet, including the name of the surety company and the period during which your firm had no bond in place.

K. PROJECT REFERENCES

List at least three (3) of your firm's most recent California K-12 projects (both under construction and completed), with a total contract price of three million dollars (\$3 million) or more and/or using the lease-leaseback project delivery method, in which the Contractor under all firm names identified in Section B has participated on the form attached as **EXHIBIT A**. Please include all projects performed under all firm names identified in Section B. Use and attach additional signed sheets when needed to explain or clarify any response or to include more responses with all requested information.

L. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Contractor must submit a reviewed or audited financial statement with accompanying notes and supplemental information for the past two (2) full fiscal years. A letter verifying availability of a line of credit may also be attached; however, it will be considered supplemental information only, and is not a substitute for the required financial statement.

CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct:

Date: _____

Proper Name of Contractor: _____

Signature by an officer of the Contractor: _____

Print Name: _____

Title: _____

QUESTIONNAIRE EXHIBIT A

Project Name/Identification:

- a. Project Name: _____
- b. Project address/location: _____

- c. Owner (name of district reference and tel. no.): _____

- d. Architect (name and tel. no.): _____

- e. Construction Manager (name and tel. no.): _____

- f. Scope of Work: _____

- g. Staging requirements: _____

- h. Special circumstances? (E.g., CEQA/EIR restrictions, labor agreement requirements, site specific requirements, etc.): _____

- i. Was/Is this a lease-leaseback project? _____
- j. Original completion date: _____
- k. Actual date of completion: _____
- l. Time extensions granted: _____
- m. Initial contract value: _____
- n. Final contract value: _____
- o. Cost control measures taken: _____

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: _____

Signature

Print Name

RESOLUTION EXHIBIT B

Prequalification Rating System

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK; EXHIBIT FOLLOWS]

**SAN RAFAEL CITY SCHOOLS
PROSPECTIVE PRIME CONTRACTOR
PREQUALIFICATION EVALUATION PROCEDURE**

_____, 2017

Name of Contractor: _____

- 1. Confirm Prequalification Statement Submitted is Responsive** – if the answer to any of the questions is “no,” then the Prequalification Package is nonresponsive. (See Section III.E of Prequalification Information)

1. A. Completeness

Did the Contractor provide all requested information in its submitted Prequalification Statement?

Yes No

2. B. Signed Under Penalty of Perjury

Is the Prequalification Questionnaire signed under penalty of perjury by an individual who has the authority to bind the Contractor on whose behalf he or she is signing?

Yes No

- 2. Incomplete, Misleading or Inaccurate Information** – if the answer to any of the questions is “yes,” then reject the Contractor.

A. Is the information provided by the Contractor misleading or inaccurate in any material manner?

Yes No

B. Is the information contained in the Prequalification Package is not updated under penalty of perjury when it is no longer accurate?

Yes No

3. Confirm Essential Criteria

- a. K-12 School Projects (See Section K. of Questionnaire)

Has the Contractor contracted for and completed construction involving a minimum of three (3) California K-12 public school projects, each with a contract value of at least \$3 million?

Yes No

(If no, then Contractor is not qualified)

- b. License (See Sections D.1.a, D.1.b, D.1.c, D.1.d of Questionnaire)

Has the Contractor held all Contractor’s license(s) necessary to perform its work?

Yes No

(If no, then Contractor is not qualified)

- c. Registration (See Section D.1.e. of Questionnaire)

Is the Contractor currently registered as a Public Works Contractor with the Department of Industrial Relations?

Yes No

(If no, then Contractor is not qualified)

- d. Disqualification (See Sections E.2 and E.3 of Questionnaire)

Has the Contractor ever been disqualified, debarred, forbidden, or found non-responsible or otherwise prohibited, from performing work and/or bidding on work for any school district or other public agency within the State of California in the past three (3) years?

Yes No

(If yes, then Contractor is not qualified)

- e. Default (See Section J.4. of Questionnaire)

Has a surety firm completed a contract on Contractor’s behalf, or paid for completion because Contractor was in default and/or terminated by school district or other public agency within the State of California within the past three (3) years?

Yes No

(If yes, then Contractor is not qualified)

f. Bankruptcy (See Section C.7. of Questionnaire)

Has Contractor declared bankruptcy or been placed in receivership within the past three (3) years?

Yes No

(If yes, then Contractor is not qualified)

g. Insurance (See Section F.1. of Questionnaire)

Does Contractor have liability insurance policy with a policy limit of at least \$2,000,000 per occurrence and \$4,000,000 aggregate?

Yes No

(If no, see attachment if they are capable of attaining the above limits. If not, then Contractor is not qualified)

h. Workers Compensation (See Section F.2. of Questionnaire)

Does Contractor have current workers' compensation insurance policy as required by the California Labor Code or is legally self-insured pursuant to California Labor Code section 3700 *et seq.*?

Yes No

(If no, then Contractor is not qualified)

i. Bonding Capacity (See Sections J.1 through J.5. of Questionnaire)

Does Contractor demonstrate that it can provide a 10% bid bond, 100% payment bond, and 100% performance bond, each issued by a surety admitted and authorized to transact business as a surety in California?

Yes No

(If no, then Contractor is not qualified)

j. Criminal Matters and Related Civil Suits (See Section G.2. of Questionnaire)

Has the Contractor, or any of its owners or officers, shall not have been convicted of a crime involving the bidding, award or performance of a contract of a government construction project or fraud, theft, or any other action of dishonesty?

Yes No

(If yes, then Contractor is not qualified)

4. Contact References

The District must contact each of Contractor’s references from a minimum of 3 of its most recent K-12 school district projects, including a lease-leaseback project if the project under consideration is a lease-leaseback project, unless the Contractor has not completed 3 school district projects or a lease-leaseback project, in which case, the District will contact all of the Contractor’s references for school projects plus the most recent references from other projects so that the District contacts a minimum of 3 references for Contractor.

5. Complete Evaluation Worksheet

Insert total score from evaluation worksheet
Contractor must have 60 out of 115 points or higher to qualify
Total Points

6. Appeal Process

If the Contractor decides to appeal the District’s qualification decision, it shall follow this procedure:

- a. Within three (3) working days from District’s notification, Contractor shall submit a written request to the District for an explanation of any aspect of the District’s determination.
- b. Within three (3) working days from receipt of the District’s response to the Contractor’s request, that Contractor may submit a written request for reconsideration by the District’s staff. Contractor may submit with the request any information that it believes supports a finding that District’s determination should be changed.

If the Contractor chooses not to avail itself of this process, the proposed prequalification rating may be adopted by the District without further proceedings.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

**SAN RAFAEL CITY SCHOOLS
PROSPECTIVE PRIME CONTRACTOR
PREQUALIFICATION EVALUATION REFERENCE FORM**

_____, 2017

(To be utilized by District to evaluate references)

The District should fill out the information in Section I and then telephone the contact person. The District should then ask the questions in Section II, ensuring that it obtains the information as to whether the Contractor's performance in that area was unsatisfactory, below average, average or above average. The District should then complete section III with that information and enter the average of the Total Numerical Rating of all the Evaluation Reference Forms for that Contractor at the corresponding place on the Evaluation Worksheet.

Section I - General Project Information

Name of Contractor:	Total Contract Costs:
	Contract Start/End Dates:
Project Title:	Actual Completion Date:
Scope of Work:	
Name of Public Agency/School District:	Telephone Number of Contact Person:
Name of Contact Person:	Date and Time of Interview of Contact Person:
Architect Firm:	Principal Architect in Charge of Project:

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

Section II – Telephone Interview Questions

1. Planning and Coordination of Work

Did the Contractor pursue and develop a thorough understanding of the scope of work? Did the Contractor proactively anticipate and coordinate agencies and jurisdictions, and utilities shutdown schedules and mechanics? Was the Contractor able to plan and execute complex critical path tasks for successful completion? **Please rate the Contractor with respect to quality of work as either unsatisfactory, below average, average, or above average.**

2. Quality of Work

Were there quality-related problems on the project? Were these problems attributable to the Contractor? Was the Contractor cooperative in trying to resolve problems? If not, provide specific examples. **Please rate the Contractor with respect to quality of work as either unsatisfactory, below average, average, or above average.**

3. Performance and Accountability

a. Scheduling - Rate the Contractor’s performance with regard to adhering to project schedules. Did the Contractor meet the project schedule? If not, was the delay attributable to the Contractor? **Please rate the Contractor with respect to scheduling as either unsatisfactory, below average, average, or above average.**

- b. Contractor (Project) Management - Rate the Contractor's ability to manage and coordinate its own subcontractors (if no subcontractors, rate the Contractor's overall project management). Was the Contractor able to effectively resolve problems? If not, provide specific examples. **Please rate the Contractor with respect to project management as either unsatisfactory, below average, average, or above average.**

- c. Change Orders - Rate the Contractor's performance with regard to change orders and extras. Did the Contractor unreasonably claim change orders or extras? Were the Contractor's prices on change orders and extras reasonable? If not, provide specific examples. **Please rate the Contractor with respect to change orders as either unsatisfactory, below average, average, or above average.**

- d. Working Relationships - Rate the Contractor's working relationships with other parties (i.e. owner, designer, prime contractors, other subcontractors, etc.). Did the Contractor relate to other parties in a professional manner? If not, provide specific examples. **Please rate the Contractor with respect to working relationships as either unsatisfactory, below average, average, or above average.**

- e. Paperwork Processing - Rate the Contractor's performance in completing and submitting required project paperwork (i.e. submittals, drawings, requisitions, payrolls, etc.). Did the Contractor submit the required paperwork promptly and in proper form? If not, provide specific examples. **Please rate the Contractor with respect to paperwork processing as either unsatisfactory, below average, average, or above average.**

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK; **RATING SHEET ON NEXT PAGE**]

Section III - Numerical Rating

If the contact person rates the Contractor unsatisfactory in any area, please attempt to provide written comments in Section II to explain the rating(s) assigned.

Contractor's Name: _____

	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	Average	Above Average	RATING
1. Planning and Coordination of Work	-5	0	7	15	
2. Quality of Work	-5	0	1	5	
3. Performance and Accountability					
a. Scheduling	0	1	2	4	
b. Supervision; Subcontractor and Project Management	0	1	3	5	
d. Change Orders	0	1	2	4	
e. Working Relationship	0	0	1	2	
f. Paperwork Processing	0	0	1	2	
g. Litigation	0	1	2	3	
<i>Maximum Possible: 40</i>					
Total:					

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

**SAN RAFAEL CITY SCHOOLS
PROSPECTIVE PRIME CONTRACTOR
PREQUALIFICATION EVALUATION WORKSHEET
_____, 2017**

Name of Contractor: _____

1. Essential Criteria

If any one of the essential criteria is not met, there is no need to complete the remainder of the evaluation if this is the case.

2. References

Points _____

Use the attached Evaluation Reference Form for each reference contacted for each Contractor. Insert the average of all the scores from all references for Contractor.

Average Numeric Rating from Evaluation Reference Forms	=	40 Points
--	---	-----------

3. Years in Business Under Current License (See Section A of Questionnaire)

10 or more years	=	15 Points
5 to 9 years	=	10 Points
2 to 4 years	=	5 Points
Less than 2 years	=	0 Points

_____ Points

4. Size of Completed Projects (See Section K. of Questionnaire)

Choose the one with the highest point value that Contractor meets.

2 Completed Projects larger than \$15 million	=	10 Points
3 Completed Projects larger than \$10 million	=	8 Points
3 Completed Projects larger than \$8 million	=	6 Points
5 Completed Projects larger than \$5 million	=	4 Points
3 Completed Projects larger than \$3 million	=	2 Points

_____ Points

5. Liquidated Damages Per Project (See Section E.1. of Questionnaire)

0 Incidents	=	10 Points
1 to 3 Incidents	=	5 Points
4 or more Incidents	=	0 Points

_____ Points

6. Non-Compliance with Applicable Laws (See Sections E.1., E.2., E.3., E.4., G.1., G.2., H.1., H.2., H.4., I.1., I.2. of Questionnaire)

0 Projects	=	10 Points	_____ Points
1 to 5 Projects	=	5 Points	
6 or more Projects	=	0 Points	

7. Workers Compensation Modifier (See Section H.3. of Questionnaire)

Less than or equal to 0.85	=	10 Points	_____ Points
More than 0.85 and less than 1.0	=	5 Points	
More than 1.0	=	0 Points	

8. Financial Strength – Working Capital - excluding entire line of credit (See Section L. of Questionnaire)

25% or more than \$5 million	=	10 Points	_____ Points
10% or more than \$2 million	=	5 Points	
Less than \$2 million	=	0 Points	

9. Financial Strength – Net Worth (See Section L. of Questionnaire)

More than \$5 million	=	10 Points	_____ Points
Equal to \$2 million	=	5 Points	
Less than \$2 million	=	0 Points	

Maximum Points:	= 115	
TOTAL POINTS		

[END OF DOCUMENT]