

## M&O Equipment Replacement Plan Summary

Option	Pros	Cons	2016-17 Estimated Cost of Maintaining Current Equipment	2017-18 Estimated Cost of Maintaining Current Equipment	2018-19 Estimated Cost of Maintaining Current Equipment	Estimated Cost 2016-17 through 2018-19	Option Ranking
<b>Maintain Current Equipment</b>	No capital outlay	Repairs may exceed the actual retail value of the equipment  Safety concerns  Larger carbon footprint  Fuel mileage is lower (carburation versus fuel injection)	\$20,000 - \$25,000	\$25,000 - \$30,000	\$30,000 - \$35,000	\$75,000 - \$90,000	2
			<b>2016-17 Estimated Cost (5 Pieces of Equipment)</b>	<b>2017-18 Estimated Cost (3 pieces of Equipment)</b>	<b>2018-19 Estimated Cost (4 pieces of Equipment)</b>	<b>Estimated Cost 2016-17 through 2018-19</b>	
<b>Replace with New Equipment</b>	Less maintenance, easier to maintain  Will have a factory warranty  Equipment ordered to fit SRCS specific criteria or package  Fuel mileage will be better  Carbon footprint will be reduced  Improved safety of Equipment  Longer life expectancy	Capital outlay required for purchase  CMAS purchasing power could result in savings of several thousand dollars	\$145,000 - \$160,000	\$160,000 - \$176,000	\$160,000 - \$176,000	\$465,000 - \$512,000	1