



School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Paso Robles Independent Study Center	40 75457 4030235	June 3, 2020	6/23/2020

Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Paso Robles Homeschool has evaluated data around student performance to drive our plan to ensure students have the ability to improve performance. Reviewing the California Dashboard and site data, our needs assessment revealed improvement needed in the area of Chronic Absenteeism. This targeted area aligns with our district LCAP goals.

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Parent input is gathered through surveys and engagement at parent get-togethers, School Site Council, and Parent Advisory Council meetings. Parents provided specific feedback through 2 surveys (District LCAP and parent survey). These surveys reveal that families feel knowledgeable and confident in their ability to support and ensure their child's learning needs are met, that they expressed agreement with their sense of warmth, inclusion and cultural responsiveness of our school, that families feel respected, valued and treated as equal partners by school staff and that they were comfortable talking to the principal, the principal was responsive to concerns, the principal used parent feedback and provided opportunities for parents/families to be equal partners

Given the small nature of home school, teachers were solicited for feedback directly throughout the year. All staff believed that we hold high standards for all students and that there is a strong sense of responsibility for student success, but that family engagement and attendance was crucial for success at school.

Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

Due to the size of the school, classroom observations occur on a near daily basis. Consistent evidence of clear expectations and goals embedded within the lesson objective. Observations demonstrate teachers formed a tight-knit and collaborative team and work well with parents to craft learning that is aligned with standards and clearly present achievable tasks for students and families.

Analysis of Current Instructional Program

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

Homeschool uses state assessments, Measure of Assessment and Performance tests, progress monitoring tools, curriculum based assessments, and other assessments to inform instruction. Classroom teachers deliver core instruction and differentiate instruction for students of all performance abilities. Teachers collaborate to plan and organize curriculum to support these multiple performance levels. Progress monitoring occurs weekly and is regularly reviewed for continued support.

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

Due to the size of the school, all staff meets daily to review student performance and to modify instruction based on performance. Process is monitored by administration and supported by SPED, psychologist, mental health therapist, and counselor teams.

Staffing and Professional Development

Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)

All Homeschool teachers are highly qualified.

Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)

All teachers have been fully trained through state, district and ongoing site based professional development.

Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)

Staff development is planned to ensure classroom instruction is aligned with student performance needs and utilizes best practices.

Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)

Administration supports teachers through daily check-ins and instructional monitoring.

Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K–8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

Our two teachers collaborate daily.

Teaching and Learning

Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)

Home school uses district adopted and approved curricula. Supplemental programs such as are used to support students and accelerate learning. Grade level pacing plans have been established by the district Curriculum Committee comprised of teachers and district personnel.

Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K–8) (EPC)

Home school is in compliance with necessary independent study models for recommended instructional minutes.

Lesson pacing schedule (K–8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

Pacing is determined on an individual basis entirely based on student need thereby ensuring maximum scheduling flexibility.

Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)

State adopted curriculum and materials are available to all students as required by the Williams Act.

Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

Teachers use all SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional material for general education, intervention, and special education needs.

Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Students performing below grade level have access to state adopted curriculum and standards based instruction. Teachers provide core instruction to meet the needs of students of all performance levels. Students in need of additional support receive intensive intervention up to 60 minutes daily with their core teacher.

Evidence-based educational practices to raise student achievement

Teachers meet daily to discuss practices that have proved successful with their students. Interventions are formed through collaboration with administration and resources are brought to bear as needed to improve performance.

Parental Engagement

Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

MTSS, ST Math, SPED, 504 plans, tutoring for all, and district supports as needed are available for under-achieving students.

Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

Parent involvement and membership is encouraged at both the site and district levels on the following committees: LCAP Advisory, SSC, and Parent Advisory Committee. Weekly parent meetings are designed to help develop skills, strategies, and techniques to support and or improve their child's academic effort and progress. Parent involvement opportunities include: school socials, field trips, Back-to-School Night, Open House, parent conferences, and classroom volunteering.

Funding

Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Home school receives proportional funding through calculations based on student demographic factors for oversight activities and instructional programs. Title I funds are used to support all students performing below proficiency levels.

Fiscal support (EPC)

Home school receives LCAP and district general funds to support student learning.

Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

School Site Council met on 4/21/20 to review results of parent surveys and to gather additional input. Teachers provided feedback at subsequent staff meetings. A draft plan was reviewed on 5/9 where feedback was provided. The School Site Council met a final time on 6/3 to review and approve.

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

As a result of needs assessment, as well as feedback from parents and staff, it was determined that home school would benefit from additional supplemental instructional materials and enhanced family engagement.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup						
Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	19-20	17-18	18-19	19-20
American Indian	3.23%	%	0%	1		0
African American	%	9.09%	10.34%		3	3
Asian	3.23%	%	0%	1		0
Filipino	6.45%	3.03%	0%	2	1	0
Hispanic/Latino	38.71%	36.36%	55.17%	12	12	16
Pacific Islander	%	%	0%			0
White	41.94%	51.52%	34.48%	13	17	10
Multiple/No Response	%	%	0%			0
Total Enrollment				31	33	29

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade	Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	19-20
Kindergarten	1	1	3
Grade 1		3	
Grade 2	1	1	3
Grade3	1	1	1
Grade 4	2	3	1
Grade 5	4	3	5
Grade 6	4	5	4
Grade 7	6	6	7
Grade 8	12	10	5
Total Enrollment	31	33	29

Conclusions based on this data:

1. School has a relatively small student population.
2. Student population tends to be larger at the upper grade levels.
3. Student population is reflective of community diversity.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	Number of Students			Percent of Students		
	17-18	18-19	19-20	17-18	18-19	19-20
English Learners	1	1	4	3.2%	3.0%	13.8%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	1	2	4	3.2%	6.1%	13.8%
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)		0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Total number of EL students remains low.
2. EL enrollment has increased slightly.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
Grade 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
Grade 5	*	*	5	*	*	4	*	*	4			80
Grade 6	*	*	9	*	*	8	*	*	8			88.9
Grade 7	*	*	9	*	*	9	*	*	9			100
Grade 8	*	22	11	*	22	9	*	22	9		100	81.8
All Grades	34	44	38	28	42	34	28	42	34	82.4	95.5	89.5

* The “% of Enrolled Students Tested” showing in this table is not the same as “Participation Rate” for federal accountability

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 8	*	2543.	*	*	4.55	*	*	31.82	*	*	54.55	*	*	9.09	*
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.86	7.14	8.82	25.00	38.10	35.29	28.57	33.33	29.41	28.57	21.43	26.47

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 8	*	18.18	*	*	54.55	*	*	27.27	*
All Grades	21.43	26.19	29.41	50.00	42.86	35.29	28.57	30.95	35.29

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 8	*	4.55	*	*	63.64	*	*	31.82	*
All Grades	14.29	9.52	26.47	64.29	61.90	47.06	21.43	28.57	26.47

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 8	*	13.64	*	*	68.18	*	*	18.18	*
All Grades	7.14	9.52	11.76	71.43	73.81	64.71	21.43	16.67	23.53

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 8	*	4.55	*	*	81.82	*	*	13.64	*
All Grades	21.43	14.29	20.59	46.43	71.43	55.88	32.14	14.29	23.53

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Performance data is lacking for most grades and years.
2. Small sample size produces inconsistent data.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

Overall Participation for All Students												
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
Grade 4	*	*	4	*	*	4	*	*	4			100
Grade 5	*	*	5	*	*	4	*	*	4			80
Grade 6	*	*	9	*	*	8	*	*	8			88.9
Grade 7	*	*	9	*	*	9	*	*	9			100
Grade 8	*	22	11	*	22	9	*	22	9		100	81.8
All Grades	34	45	39	27	43	35	27	43	35	79.4	95.6	89.7

* The “% of Enrolled Students Tested” showing in this table is not the same as “Participation Rate” for federal accountability purposes.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 8	*	2523.	*	*	9.09	*	*	22.73	*	*	27.27	*	*	40.91	*
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.11	11.63	5.71	25.93	18.60	20.00	22.22	32.56	31.43	40.74	37.21	42.86

Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 8	*	18.18	*	*	31.82	*	*	50.00	*
All Grades	11.11	16.28	11.43	37.04	27.91	42.86	51.85	55.81	45.71

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 8	*	13.64	*	*	50.00	*	*	36.36	*
All Grades	7.41	18.60	11.43	48.15	39.53	42.86	44.44	41.86	45.71

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grade 8	*	18.18	*	*	59.09	*	*	22.73	*
All Grades	7.41	13.95	8.57	59.26	60.47	62.86	33.33	25.58	28.57

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Small school size provides little usable data.
2. Teacher judgement is critical in interpreting small set.

School and Student Performance Data

ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students								
Grade Level	Overall		Oral Language		Written Language		Number of Students Tested	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
Grade 6		*		*		*		*
Grade 8	*		*		*		*	
All Grades							*	*

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students										
Grade Level	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
All Grades	*	*		*		*		*	*	*

Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students										
Grade Level	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
All Grades	*	*		*		*		*	*	*

Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students										
Grade Level	Level 4		Level 3		Level 2		Level 1		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
All Grades	*	*		*		*		*	*	*

Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students								
Grade Level	Well Developed		Somewhat/Moderately		Beginning		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
All Grades		*	*	*		*	*	*

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students								
Grade Level	Well Developed		Somewhat/Moderately		Beginning		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
All Grades	*	*		*		*	*	*

Reading Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students								
Grade Level	Well Developed		Somewhat/Moderately		Beginning		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
All Grades	*	*		*		*	*	*

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students								
Grade Level	Well Developed		Somewhat/Moderately		Beginning		Total Number of Students	
	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
All Grades		*	*	*		*	*	*

Conclusions based on this data:

1. No observable data exists for this category.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Population

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2018-19 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	English Learners	Foster Youth
33	36.4	3.0	This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.
This is the total number of students enrolled.	This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	

2018-19 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
English Learners	1	3.0
Homeless	1	3.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	12	36.4
Students with Disabilities	2	6.1





Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	Total	Percentage
African American	3	9.1
Filipino	1	3.0
Hispanic	12	36.4
White	17	51.5

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Greatest unduplicated subgroup is low SES.
2. Higher rate of African American students than in the community at large.

School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students		
Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
<div>English Language Arts</div> <div></div> <div>No Performance Color</div>	<div>Chronic Absenteeism</div> <div></div> <div>Yellow</div>	<div>Suspension Rate</div> <div></div> <div>Blue</div>
<div>Mathematics</div> <div></div> <div>No Performance Color</div>		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Suspensions are non-existent.
2. Absenteeism is the biggest identified problem.


School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Language Arts







The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:











This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>12.1 points below standard</p> <p>Declined -11.6 points</p> <p>25</p>	English Learners  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy</p> <p>2</p>	Foster Youth  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>
Homeless  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy</p> <p>1</p>	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy</p> <p>10</p>	Students with Disabilities  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>0 Students</p>

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2	 No Performance Color 0 Students	 No Performance Color 0 Students	 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 9	 No Performance Color 0 Students	 No Performance Color 0 Students	 No Performance Color 14.6 points above standard 13

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner	Reclassified English Learners	English Only
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1	9.3 points below standard Declined -9.6 points 22

Conclusions based on this data:

- No dashboard data exists for these categories.


School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance Mathematics





The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:






This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school’s performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	English Learners	Foster Youth
<div></div> <div>No Performance Color</div> <div>55.6 points below standard</div> <div>Declined Significantly -27.4 points</div> <div>25</div>	<div></div> <div>No Performance Color</div> <div>Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy</div> <div>2</div>	
Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities
<div></div> <div>No Performance Color</div> <div>Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy</div> <div>1</div>	<div></div> <div>No Performance Color</div> <div>Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy</div> <div>10</div>	

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance by Race/Ethnicity

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2			 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
 No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 9			 No Performance Color 48.1 points below standard 13

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

Current English Learner	Reclassified English Learners	English Only
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1	57 points below standard Declined Significantly -35.6 points 22


Conclusions based on this data:

1. White and English Only students are achieving below standard.
2. Small sample size hampers data collection.


School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance English Learner Progress

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.



This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.



Conclusions based on this data:

1. No data is available for these categories.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance College/Career

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance Red Orange Yellow Green Blue Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue

This section provides information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	English Learners	Foster Youth
Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career by Race/Ethnicity			
African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White

This section provides a view of the percent of students per year that qualify as Not Prepared, Approaching Prepared, and Prepared.

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career 3-Year Performance		
Class of 2017	Class of 2018	Class of 2019
Prepared	Prepared	Prepared
Approaching Prepared	Approaching Prepared	Approaching Prepared
Not Prepared	Not Prepared	Not Prepared

Conclusions based on this data:

1. No data is available for these categories.


School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism







The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:











This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group		
All Students  Yellow 11.5 Declined -13.5 52	English Learners  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1	Foster Youth  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 0
Homeless  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged  No Performance Color 0 Declined -40.9 20	Students with Disabilities  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

African American  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 3	American Indian  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 0	Asian  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 0	Filipino  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1
Hispanic  No Performance Color <div style="background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 2px;">14.3</div> Declined -13.7 14	Two or More Races  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 3	Pacific Islander  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 0	White  No Performance Color <div style="background-color: #e6f2ff; padding: 2px;">6.5</div> Declined -17.4 31

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Absenteeism cuts across all major demographic categories.
2. Absenteeism is most pronounced among low-SES population.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance Red Orange Yellow Green Blue Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report

Red

Orange

Yellow

Green

Blue

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group

All Students

English Learners

Foster Youth

Homeless

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

Students with Disabilities

2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity

African American

American Indian

Asian

Filipino

Hispanic

Two or More Races

Pacific Islander

White

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who received a high school diploma within four years of entering ninth grade or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Year

2018

2019

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Does not apply based on the nature of the school.


School and Student Performance Data

Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate






The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:








This section provides number of student groups in each color.



This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group		
All Students <div>  <p>Blue</p> <div style="background-color: #d9e1f2; text-align: center; padding: 5px;">0</div> <p>Maintained 0 56</p> </div>	English Learners <div>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students - Data Not 2</p> </div>	Foster Youth
Homeless <div>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students - Data Not 2</p> </div>	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged <div>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <div style="background-color: #d9e1f2; text-align: center; padding: 5px;">0</div> <p>Maintained 0 24</p> </div>	Students with Disabilities <div>  <p>No Performance Color</p> <p>Less than 11 Students - Data Not 2</p> </div>

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity

African American  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data 4	American Indian	Asian	Filipino  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data 1
Hispanic  No Performance Color 0 Maintained 0 17	Two or More Races  No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data 3	Pacific Islander	White  No Performance Color 0 Maintained 0 31

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

2017	2018	2019
	0	0

Conclusions based on this data:

- No suspensions occurred in the years measured.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 2: PRJUSD will increase student success and achievement and close the achievement gap of pupil subgroups in core content areas.

Goal 1

The end of the measurable state reporting period, home school will move its metric by one color band on the California School Dashboard in the subcategory of Chronic Absenteeism.

Identified Need

Overall 14.3% of Hispanic students and 6.5% of White students were chronically absent. Declines were reported in categories of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (40.9%), White (17.4%), and Hispanic (13.7%) categories.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
California School Dashboard - Academic Engagement: Chronic Absenteeism	Yellow Performance Color	Blue Performance Color

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Targeted implementation of supplemental materials for student engagement to increase student achievement.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
4,832.00	Title I 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Materials and supports that directly impact the increase of student achievement in all subjects,

including, but not limited to technology, supplies, and programs to enhance and support students.

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2019-20

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

This is the first year that Title I monies have been available for homeschool. Implementation of strategies has provided a positive anecdotal reception.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Changes will be made at subsequent SSC reviews based on results identified bearing on identified indicator.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 2: PRJUSD will increase student success and achievement and close the achievement gap of pupil subgroups in core content areas.

Goal 2

The end of the measurable state reporting period, home school will move its metric by one color band on the California School Dashboard in the subcategory of Chronic Absenteeism.

Identified Need

Overall 14.3% of Hispanic students and 6.5% of White students were chronically absent. Declines were reported in categories of Socioeconomically Disadvantaged (40.9%), White (17.4%), and Hispanic (13.7%) categories.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
California School Dashboard - Academic Engagement: Chronic Absenteeism	Yellow Performance Color	Blue Performance Color

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Materials and support provided for parent and family school social engagement. Provide the following, but not limited to: activities, services, guest speakers, workshops, parent education classes that promote and enhance parent and family involvement.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
2,166.00	Title I Parent & Family Engagement 4000-4999: Books And Supplies

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2019-20

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Baseline year for implementation of strategies has provided a positive anecdotal reception.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 3

Identified Need

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
-----------	-----------

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 4

Identified Need

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
-----------	-----------

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 5

Identified Need

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
------------------	-------------------------	------------------

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
-----------	-----------

Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

Budget Summary

Description	Amount
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$4,832.00
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$6,998.00

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
Title I	\$4,832.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$4,832.00

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
Title I Parent & Family Engagement	\$2,166.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$2,166.00

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$6,998.00

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 1 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 2 Parent or Community Members
- 1 Secondary Students

Name of Members	Role
Nate Maas	Principal
Paula Warnes	Classroom Teacher
Tina Lessi	Parent or Community Member
Vivian Higuera	Parent or Community Member
Manuel Higuera	Parent or Community Member
Elizabeth Higuera	Secondary Student

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on .

Attested:

Nate Maas

Principal, Nate Maas on 6/3/2020

ATTESTATION VIA PHONE DUE TO
COVID-19

SSC Chairperson, Vivian Higuera on 6/3/2020

Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan process.

The SPSA consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), and for federal school improvement programs, including schoolwide programs, Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements. It also notes how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements, as applicable.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 65001, the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

The SPSA template meets the requirements of schoolwide planning (SWP). Each section also contains a notation of how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements.

[Stakeholder Involvement](#)

[Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures](#)

[Planned Strategies/Activities](#)

[Annual Review and Update](#)

[Budget Summary](#)

[Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs](#)

[Appendix B: Plan Requirements for Schools to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements](#)

[Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the Local Agency Systems Support Office, at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the local educational agency, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements (for CSI, TSI, and ATSI), please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Purpose and Description

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) must respond to the following prompts. A school that has not been identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI may delete the Purpose and Description prompts.

Purpose

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan by selecting from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Description

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Stakeholder Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Stakeholder Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

[This section meets the requirements for TSI and ATSI.]

[When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall partner with the school in the development and implementation of this plan.]

Resource Inequities

Schools eligible for CSI or ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required needs assessment. Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI or ATSI plan. Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI and ATSI. If the school is not identified for CSI or ATSI this section is not applicable and may be deleted.]

Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review

In this section a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Goal

State the goal. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such as the S.M.A.R.T. approach. A S.M.A.R.T. goal is one that is **Specific**, **Measurable**, **Achievable**, **Realistic**, and **Time-bound**. A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the “Goal #” for ease of reference.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, improvement goals shall align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

[Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements]

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

[When completing this section for CSI the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school’s identification.]

[When completing this section for TSI/ATSI the school must include metrics related to the specific student group(s) that led to the school’s identification.]

Strategies/Activities

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the described goal. A school may number the strategy/activity using the “Strategy/Activity #” for ease of reference.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the local educational agency's budgeting, its local control and accountability plan, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, this plan shall include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

[When completing this section for CSI and ATSI, this plan shall address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.]

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the strategies/activities by indicating "All Students" or listing one or more specific student group(s) to be served.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI.]

[When completing this section for TSI and ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the TSI or ATSI designation. For TSI, a school may focus on all students or the student group(s) that led to identification based on the evidence-based interventions selected.]

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

For each strategy/activity, list the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures for the school year to implement these strategies/activities. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to Education Code, Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI, TSI, and ATSI.]

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Annual Review

In the following Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/ or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be deleted.

- Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between either/or the intended implementation or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the CSI, TSI, or ATSI planning requirements. CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements.]

Budget Summary

In this section a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp and that receive federal funds for CSI. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that meet the criteria for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Budget Summary

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- **Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application:** This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- **Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA:** This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving federal funds for CSI should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA.

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the SSC. The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
 - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
 1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
 - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need); and
 - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to—
 - i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved; and
 - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards; and
 - iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
 - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
 - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
 - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
 - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.

- B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)
1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will--
 - a. provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
 - b. use methods and instructional strategies that:
 - i. strengthen the academic program in the school,
 - ii. increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
 - iii. provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
 - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
 - i. strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
 - ii. preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
 - iii. implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
 - iv. professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
 - v. strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the local educational agency (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds for CSI, any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
 2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
 3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Stakeholder Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to
 - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
 - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: S Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. EC sections 6400 et. seq.

Appendix B:

Plan Requirements for School to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Stakeholder Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable);
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceusesinvestment.pdf>);
3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Targeted Support and Improvement

In partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) the school shall develop and implement a school-level TSI plan to improve student outcomes for each subgroup of students that was the subject of identification (Stakeholder Involvement).

The TSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
2. Include evidence-based interventions (Planned Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable). (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceusesinvestment.pdf>.)

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B) and 1111(d)(2) of the ESSA.

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school identified for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Identified for School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (EC Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (EC Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: EC sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

Programs included on the Consolidated Application: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/>

ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp>

Available Funding: <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/>

Developed by the California Department of Education, January 2019