

**San Mateo-Foster City School District
Board Workshop Agenda/Notes
“Effective Governance with an Equity Lens”**

May 15, 2021 1:00-3:00pm

Facilitator:

Nicole Anderson and Associates Consulting, LLC

Study Session agenda purpose: *Governance team will discuss board priorities around equity to build capacity in preparation for transition in district leadership and school programs.*

Agenda Topic(s)	Notes
<p>Welcome/District Update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Call to order: 1pm ○ Roll Call ○ Pledge of allegiance ○ Adopt agenda ○ Public Comments ○ Purpose/updates on equity work 	<p>The meeting was called to order at 1:01. All Trustess were in attendance. There were no public comments.</p>
<p>Session Overview:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Purpose/outcomes ○ Revisiting the 4 agreements ○ Recentring our equity work 	<p>Recentring our work is needed when leading for equity as the work can become complex and barriers can become distractions; Simon Sinek’s golden circle is a great connection to our: Why: equity statement, resolution How: Norms, roles, protocols, practices What: Equity policy, priorities, plan</p> <p>What are you most proud of with regards to your equity driven governance?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Noelia: revised mission/vision; remained equity focused and began to develop common language across the district ● Alison: prioritized reopening schools; learning hubs, focused on students with most needs ● Lisa: emphasis on students with special needs; presentation agendized earlier ● Ken: getting kids back in school; sanctuary task force pivoted to immediate needs (i.e. translation, food, eviction support) ● Joan: through distance learning, moved to one to one device; staff reimagined teaching/online curriculum; focused on wellness (i.e. counselors/social workers outreached to families/students, community) ● Shara: Board/district staff kept equity as a focus in all governance even when challenges arose <p>What do you want to improve in your equity driven governance?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Ken: responding/implementation of special education audit recommendations ● Lacked services for IEPs during distance learning; need to establish district goals around proficiency/high expectations beyond state requirements; ● Joan: Tier 1 focus in instruction and SEL; support for staff ● Alison: balance community input vs data to drive decisions ● Noelia: Support for ELLs and MTSS ● Shara: Consistent data, clear goals to monitor progress for target student groups

<p>Content Building:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Equity Statement, Resolution review ● Defining equity as a lens for governance 	<p>Equity statement adopted 2.18.21:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Reviewed, discussed how the board applies the statement as a lens for governance, decision making. ● Discussed examples: distribution of info to disenfranchised stakeholders and 6th grade math. ● Reflected on next steps in considering the need to revise, gain stakeholder input, as well as study more to gain context of meaning of words <p>Educational equity means that each child receives what they need to develop to their full academic or social-emotional potential as measured through multiple data metrics.</p> <p>Working towards equity involves:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Ensuring equally high outcomes for all participants in our educational system; removing the predictability of success or failures that currently correlates with any social, cultural, or racial factor ○ Interrupting inequitable policies and practices while examining and eliminating biases to create inclusive multicultural school environments for adults and children ○ Discovering and cultivating the unique gifts, talents, and interests that every human possesses. <p>Reflection</p>
<p>Role of Governance Team:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Effective governance practices review ○ Board assessment review ○ Role of Board and Superintendent review 	<p>refer to board assessment (handout); discussion about self reflection since last assessment on 6.27.20.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Overall the board felt somewhat effective based on the 8 characteristics of effective boards ● Areas to build upon effectiveness: #1 committed to equity vision , #2 shared beliefs and values about equity for students ● Areas to focus on becoming more effective: #4 accountability driven/less time on operational issues/focus on priorities and equity ; #5 data savvy; leverage data to drive decisions
<p>Prioritizing Governance Equity Work:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Goals/top priorities of the Board ○ Recognizing and responding to community needs/public comments 	<p>Joan presented info to the board about board meeting efficiencies related to public comment. Board will revisit and dive deeper into this issue when new Supt is hired. Board/Nicole shared ideas to consider in addition to Joan’s recommendations include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Address comments in staff presentation/clarifying questions ● Leverage listening sessions for hot topics
<p>Equity Impact Action Planning :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Leveraging the work of the Equity Task Force 	<p>president extended meeting at 3pm</p> <p>brief discussion around next steps for Equity Task Force as we prepare for meeting on May 18</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● ETF agenda update from ETF Leadership team recommendations (Board/Supt update in writing vs. verbal) ● ETF role/charge going into next year; staggered rotation of members going off -extend to Dec. 2021 to allow time for replacements ● ETF to finalize draft equity impact plan on May 18 to share recommendations to board on June 24; board will determine next steps on timeline, ETF needs ● ETF leadership to meet to finalize plan/plan for presentation
<p>Next Steps/Adjournment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Establishing governance culture with new Supt ○ Future board study sessions focused on each equity priority 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● board agenda builder developed with study sessions scheduled to focus on data and equity ● Revisit board assessment (8 characteristics); #4 and #5 ● Determine public comments process (handbook revision) ● Adjourned: 3:19pm