1	Title 5. EDUCATION
2	Division 1. California Department of Education
3	Chapter 14.5. Local Control Funding Formula
4	Subchapter 1. Local Control Funding Formula Spending Regulations for
5	Supplemental and Concentration Grants and Local Control and Accountability
6	Plan Template
7	Article 1. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Spending Requirements for
8	Supplemental and Concentration Grants
9	
10	§ 15494. Scope.
11	(a) This chapter applies to all local educational agencies (LEAs) as defined in
12	section 15495(d).
13	(b) Funding restrictions specified in Education Code section 42238.07 apply to local
14	control funding formula (LCFF) funds apportioned on the basis of unduplicated pupils
15	pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03.
16	(c) The local control and accountability plan (LCAP) shall demonstrate how services
17	are provided according to this chapter to meet the needs of unduplicated pupils and
18	improve the performance of all pupils in the state priority areas.
19	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
20	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,
21	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section
22	<u>6312.</u>
23	
24	§ 15495. Definitions.
25	In addition to those found in Education Code sections 2574, 42238.01, and
26	42238.02, the following definitions are provided:
27	(a) "Consult with pupils," as used in Education Code sections 52060, 52066, and
28	47606.5, means a process to enable pupils, including unduplicated pupils and other
29	numerically significant pupil subgroups, to review and comment on the development of
30	the LCAP. This process may include surveys of pupils, forums with pupils, pupil
31	advisory committees, or meetings with pupil government bodies or other groups
32	representing pupils.

1	(b) "English learner parent advisory committee," as used in Education Code sections
2	52063 and 52069 for those school districts or schools and programs operated by county
3	superintendents of schools whose enrollment includes at least 15 percent English
4	learners and at least 50 pupils who are English learners, shall be composed of a
5	majority of parents, as defined in subdivision (e), of pupils to whom the definition in
6	Education Code section 42238.01(c) applies. A governing board of a school district or a
7	county superintendent of schools shall not be required to establish a new English
8	learner parent advisory committee if a previously established committee meets these
9	requirements.
10	(c) "Local control and accountability plan (LCAP)" means the plan created by an LEA
11	pursuant to Education Code sections 47606.5, 52060, or 52066, and completed in
12	conformance with the LCAP and annual update template found in section 15497.5.
13	(d) "Local educational agency (LEA)" means a school district, county office of
14	education, or charter school.
15	(e) "Parents" means the natural or adoptive parents, legal guardians, or other
16	persons holding the right to make educational decisions for the pupil pursuant to
17	Welfare and Institutions Code section 361 or 727 or Education Code sections 56028 or
18	56055, including foster parents who hold rights to make educational decisions.
19	(f) "Parent advisory committee," as used in Education Code sections 52063 and
20	52069, shall be composed of a majority of parents, as defined in subdivision (e), of
21	pupils and include parents of pupils to whom one or more of the definitions in Education
22	Code section 42238.01 apply. A governing board of a school district or a county
23	superintendent of schools shall not be required to establish a new parent advisory
24	committee if a previously established committee meets these requirements, including
25	any committee established to meet the requirements of the federal No Child Left Behind
26	Act of 2001 (Public Law 107-110) pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of
27	Title I of that act.
28	(g) "Prior year" means one fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year for
29	which an LCAP is approved.
30	(h) "Services" as used in Education Code section 42238.07 may include, but are not
31	limited to, services associated with the delivery of instruction, administration, facilities,
32	pupil support services, technology, and other general infrastructure necessary to

ı	operate and deliver educational instruction and related services.
2	(i) "State priority areas" means the priorities identified in Education Code sections
3	52060 and 52066. For charter schools, "state priority areas" means the priorities
4	identified in Education Code section 52060 that apply for the grade levels served or the
5	nature of the program operated by the charter school.
6	(j) "Subgroup" means the numerically significant pupil subgroups identified pursuan
7	to Education Code section 52052.
8	(k) "to improve services" means to grow services in quality.
9	(I) "to increase services" means to grow services in quantity.
10	(m) "unduplicated pupil" means any of those pupils to whom one or more of the
11	definitions included in Education Code section 42238.01 apply, including pupils eligible
12	for free or reduced price meals, foster youth, and English learners.
13	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
14	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,
15	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section
16	<u>6312.</u>
17	
18	§ 15496. Requirements for LEAs to Demonstrate Increased or Improved Services
19	for Unduplicated Pupils in Proportion to the Increase in Funds Apportioned for
20	Supplemental and Concentration Grants.
21	(a) An LEA shall provide evidence in its LCAP to demonstrate how funding
22	apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils,
23	pursuant to Education Code sections 2574, 2575, 42238.02, and 42238.03 is used to
24	support such pupils. This funding shall be used to increase or improve services for
25	unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils in proportion to
26	the increase in funds apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of
27	unduplicated pupils as required by Education Code section 42238.07(a)(1). An LEA
28	shall include in its LCAP an explanation of how expenditures of such funding meet the
29	LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state priority areas. An LEA shall
30	determine the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased
31	or improved above services provided to all pupils in the fiscal year as follows:

(1) Estimate the amount of the LCFF target attributed to the supplemental and

32

- concentration grants for the LEA calculated pursuant to Education Code sections 1 2 42238.02 and 2574 in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted. 3 (2) Estimate the amount of LCFF funds expended by the LEA on services for 4 unduplicated pupils in the prior year that is in addition to what was expended on 5 services provided for all pupils. The estimated amount of funds expended in 2013-14 6 shall be no less than the amount of Economic Impact Aid funds the LEA expended in 7 the 2012-13 fiscal year. 8 (3) Subtract subdivision (a)(2) from subdivision (a)(1). 9 (4) Multiply the amount in subdivision (a)(3), by the most recent percentage 10 calculated by the Department of Finance that represents how much of the statewide 11 funding gap between current funding and full implementation of LCFF is eliminated in 12 the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted. 13 (5) Add subdivision (a)(4) to subdivision (a)(2). 14 (6) Subtract subdivision (a)(5) from the LEA's total amount of LCFF funding pursuant 15 to Education Code sections 42238.02 and 2574, as implemented by Education Code 16 sections 42238.03 and 2575 respectively, excluding add-ons for the Targeted 17 Instructional Improvement Grant program and the Home to School Transportation 18 program, in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is adopted. 19 (7) Divide the amount in subdivision (a)(5) by the amount in subdivision (a)(6). 20 (8) If the calculation in subdivision (a)(3) yields a number less than or equal to zero 21 or when LCFF is fully implemented statewide, then an LEA shall determine its 22 percentage for purposes of this section by dividing the amount of the LCFF target 23 attributed to the supplemental and concentration grant for the LEA calculated pursuant 24 to Education Code sections 42238.02 and 2574 in the fiscal year for which the LCAP is 25 adopted by the remainder of the LEA's LCFF funding, excluding add-ons for the 26 Targeted Instructional Improvement Grant program and the Home to School 27 Transportation program. 28 (b) This subdivision identifies the conditions under which an LEA may use funds 29 apportioned on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated pupils for
  - services for unduplicated pupils under subdivision (a) of this section by using funds to

districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide purposes: Pursuant to Education

Code section 42238.07(a)(2), an LEA may demonstrate it has increased or improved

30

31

32

	Page 5 of 10
1	upgrade the entire educational program of a schoolsite, a school district, a charter
2	school, or a county office of education as follows:
3	(1) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils of 55 percent or
4	more of the district's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted or
5	in the prior year may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a
6	districtwide basis. A school district expending funds on a districtwide basis shall do all o
7	the following:
8	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a
9	districtwide basis.
10	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
11	are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and
12	any local priority areas.
13	(2) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils less than 55
14	percent of the district's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted
15	may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a districtwide basis. A
16	school district expending funds on a districtwide basis shall do all of the following:
17	(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a
18	districtwide basis.
19	(B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
20	are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and
21	any local priority areas.
22	(C) Describe how these services are the most effective use of the funds to meet the
23	district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The
24	description shall provide the basis for this determination, including, but not limited to,

theory. (3) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a school that is 40 percent or more of the school's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is adopted or in the prior year may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a schoolwide basis. A school district expending funds on a schoolwide basis shall do

any alternatives considered and any supporting research, experience, or educational

31 all of the following:

25

26

27

28

29

30

32

(A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a

- 1 schoolwide basis.
- 2 (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
- 3 are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and
- 4 any local priority areas.
- 5 (4) A school district that has an enrollment of unduplicated pupils that is less than 40
- 6 percent of the schoolsite's total enrollment in the fiscal year for which an LCAP is
- 7 adopted may expend supplemental and concentration grant funds on a schoolwide
- 8 basis. A school district expending funds on a schoolwide basis shall do all of the
- 9 <u>following:</u>
- 10 (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a
- 11 schoolwide basis.
- 12 (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
- 13 are effective in, meeting the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and
- 14 <u>any local priority areas.</u>
- 15 (C) Describe how these services are the most effective use of the funds to meet the
- 16 district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The
- description shall provide the basis for this determination, including, but not limited to,
- any alternatives considered and any supporting research, experience, or educational
- 19 theory.
- 20 (5) A county office of education expending supplemental and concentration grant
- 21 <u>funds on a countywide basis or a charter school expending supplemental and</u>
- 22 concentration grant funds on a charterwide basis shall do all of the following:
- 23 (A) Identify in the LCAP those services that are being funded and provided on a
- 24 countywide or charterwide basis.
- 25 (B) Describe in the LCAP how such services are principally directed towards, and
- are effective in, meeting the county office of education's or charter school's goals for its
- 27 unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas, as applicable.
- 28 NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
- 29 Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,
- 30 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section
- 31 <u>6312.</u>

1	§ 15497. County Superintendent of Schools Oversight of Demonstration of
2	Proportionality.
3	In making the determinations required under Education Code section 52070(d)(3),
4	the county superintendent of schools shall include review of any descriptions of
5	districtwide or schoolwide services provided pursuant to sections 15496(b)(1) through
6	(b)(4) when determining whether the school district has fully demonstrated that it will
7	increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils pursuant to section 15496(a). If a
8	county superintendent of schools does not approve an LCAP because the school district
9	has failed to meet its requirement to increase or improve services for unduplicated
10	pupils as specified in this section, it shall provide technical assistance to the school
11	district in meeting that requirement pursuant to Education Code section 52071.
12	NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference:
13	Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5,
14	47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section
15	<u>6312.</u>
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	8-22-14 [California Department of Education]

LCAP Year: 2015-16

#### § 15497.5. Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template.

#### Introduction:

**LEA: San Rafael City Elementary School District** 

Contact: Harriet MacLean, Ed.D., Assistant Superintendent of Education Services, hmaclean@srcs.org, 415-492-3222

# Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

#### **State Priorities**

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

#### A. Conditions of Learning:

**Basic:** degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

**Implementation of State Standards:** implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

**Course access:** pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

**Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only):** coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

**Foster youth (for county offices of education only):** coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

#### **B. Pupil Outcomes:**

**Pupil achievement:** performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

**Other pupil outcomes:** pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

# C. Engagement:

**Parental involvement:** efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

**Pupil engagement:** school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

**School climate:** pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

#### **Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement**

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

**Instructions:** Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

#### **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

#### **Involvement Process**

San Rafael City Schools (SRCS) sponsored an in-depth, targeted and multi-layered engagement process this year. This engagement process included multiple opportunities to review the LCAP and to provide feedback on the plans to support all students in SRCS to graduate college and career ready.

The engagement opportunities took place in the form of:

- District staff engagements
- Parent advisory meetings and community workshops
- Board presentations
- District advisory committee meetings

Please see below for more details on each of the areas.

## Impact on LCAP

Each stakeholder has a unique and relevant perspective on the needs of the students and the direction that SRCS is taking to address those needs. By providing ongoing and focused engagements, SRCS has been able to provide valuable information to its stakeholders on the district's visions, while at the same time, gathering valuable input into refining a targeted set of goals and outcomes.

A large majority of our engagement this year was to move from several goals for multiple initiatives to five aligned goals. This goal alignment involved a thoughtful stakeholder engagement process that involved central office leadership, site principals, teachers, parents, students and community. This process culminated in the creation of five shared LCAP goals for both the elementary and high school districts that are directly aligned with the district goals. These shared goals are also directly aligned to the school board's goals, and as such, promote a common focus and commitment districts-wide.

Overall, through our engagement process, we received feedback on areas of continued focus from the 2015-18 LCAP, including implementation of the state standards, attracting and retaining high quality staff, support for targeted subgroups of students, student success and support systems, and promoting a college-going culture. SRCS has also maintained its commitment to strengthening community engagement and promoting a welcoming and supportive school climate. Within the plan for 2015-18 is a more targeted focus on supporting students with the highest needs, as reflected by an investment in programs to ensure all students are on reading on grade level by third grade using the SEAL Training program, ensuring support for students at each of the transition points (i.e., K-1st, 5-6th grade, 8-9th grade, 12th to college, and centralized translation services for students and families.

attention on the needs of students at their specific grade levels, while managing both districts through centralized

Also new this year is a goal specifically dedicated to finance and resources. While this goal covers many vital programs, of particular note is SRCS's Facilities Master Plan and details for its first few years of implementation.

Lastly, SRCS will continue to provide focused attention on the needs of students at their specific grade levels, while managing both districts through centralized services, academic support and leadership to ensure that the districts work together to support the needs of all of its students. This coordinated support is evident in the 2015-18 LCAP.

## **District Staff Engagements:**

- Presentations to Collective Bargaining Units
  - o CSEA Labor Meeting March 11, 2015
  - SRTA Labor Meeting March 9, 2015 and May 12, 2015
- Formally agenized and discussed at Collective Bargaining sessions:
  - o SRTA March 30, 3015
- Principals' Meetings: Regular bi-monthly meetings beginning in November in which LCAP was discussed consistently.
- Site and District Leadership Workshop
  - o March 17, 2015
- Join the Conversations: Sessions with the Superintendent at school sites for teachers and staff
  - San Pedro Elementary 12/1/14 and 1/23/15
  - o Glenwood Elementary 12/2/14
  - o Davidson Middle School 12/12/14
  - Sun Valley Elementary 12/18/14
  - o Bahia Vista Elementary 2/24/15
  - Venetia Valley Elementary 1/8/15
  - o Coleman Elementary 1/12/15
  - Short Elementary 1/13/15
  - Laurel Dell Elementary 1/14/15

#### **District Staff Engagements:**

- Presentations and discussion with Collective Bargaining Units: New LCFF funding structure and the LCAP process was reviewed. Updates were provided on the work of the needs assessment committees, the community goal-setting meeting, and give continual feedback that informed the development of the draft goals. These discussions specifically lead to LCAP goals and actions related to:
  - Attracting and retaining high quality staff
  - · Professional development time
- Principals' Meetings: Through regular conversations with site administrators, priorities emerged as needed elements of the LCAP. These included:
  - How to align LCAP master district plan to be implemented by all schools and Site SPSA Plans will align with LCAP
  - The district office must provide greater levels of support for sites in achieving district-wide goals
  - Need for a common and consistent data tracking and analysis system
  - Need to provide comprehensive English Language Development plan and articulated programs/services
- Join the Conversations: At these sessions, the Superintendent and members of the administrative team received feedback on progress the District was making on its LCAP goals. Additionally, the team received information about priorities at the school site to learn more about specific priorities for targeted subgroups and the particularly needs of a school site. Furthermore, the team also visited classrooms to see teaching and learning in action and had conversations with site leaders. The information gathered at these extended sessions helped refine the District's LCAP with a better understanding of actions and services for targeted subgroups and District-wide trends.

#### **Parent Advisory Meetings and Community Workshops:**

- LCAP Parent Advisory Committee/English Learner Parent Advisory Committee
  - o January 13, 2015
  - o March 12, 2015
  - o April, 22, 2015
  - o June 1, 2015
- Targeted ELL Community Outreach and Involvement
  - DELAC Meetings October 21, 2014,
     January 13, 2015, February 19, 2015, March 17, 2015, May 5, 2015
  - EL Task Force January 15, 2015 and January 29, 2015
- Community Workshops for Parents, Teachers, Students, Staff and Community Members
  - o March 25, 2015
  - o May 4, 2015
  - These meetings were advertised through flyers, social media, website and calls

LCAP Parent Advisory Committee/English
Learner Parent Advisory Committee: The SRCS
Superintendent, Director of Strategic Initiatives,
Community Engagement and Communications
Coordinator, and/or Chief Business Official
explained the LCFF and LCAP process and
received initial feedback from staff, parents, and
community members. These served as a way to
inform and gather authentic input and feedback
from stakeholders. Forums provided a pathway to
understand the LCFF and LCAP process more
clearly so participants could provide input via
upcoming meetings and sessions.

The district has been particularly interested in engaging with parents from the PAC and the ELPAC as it develops its LCAP and implement the English Language Development Master Plan in order to ensure that these plans address the needs of English learner and low income students.

Specifically, the PAC/ELPAC had the following roles:

- Provide feedback on the District's process for engaging parents, teachers, staff and the community
- · Provide feedback on the District's LCAP
- Support prioritizing strategies for the LCAP

- Targeted ELL Community Outreach and Involvement: Served as a way to inform, educate, and gather input from English Language Learner representatives and members of the community who are socio-economically disadvantaged. Served to inform the process.
- Community Workshops for Parents, Teachers, Students, Staff and Community Members:

March 25, 2015: Approximately 140 parents, teachers, students, staff, and community members attended. At this first workshop, the District: provided a brief review of the guidelines for LCFF/LCAP; reviewed SRCS' process for LCAP Development; and provided an update on progress towards LCAP goals for 2014-15. Participants had the opportunity to give feedback and public input on SRCS' refined LCAP goals and prioritize actions and services for the 2015-18 LCAP

May 4, 2015: Approximately 75 parents, teachers, students, staff, and community members attended. At the second workshop, the District reviewed SRCS' process and progress for LCAP development; provided examples of how feedback has shaped plan and process; and gave an overview of "big picture" for goal areas. Participants had the opportunity to give feedback and public input on SRCS' draft LCAP.

### San Rafael City Schools Board Meetings

- SRCS Regular Board Meetings:
  - January 12 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - January 26 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - o Feb. 9 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - o Feb. 23 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - o March 9 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - March 23 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - o April 27 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - May 12 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - May 26 Regular Board Meeting Draft Presented
  - June 8 Regular Board Meeting Draft Presented
  - June 22 Regular Board Meeting Public Hearing
  - June 24 Regular Board meeting Final Adoption

 SRCS Regular Board Meetings: SRCS used the School Board meetings as a consistent venue for updating both the Board and the district at large on district's progress towards the goals and outcomes within the LCAP as well as to encourage stakeholder engagement in the Annual Update and LCAP refinement process.

The Board received an update on LCFF and LCAP development at each scheduled board meeting. The items were agenized at the beginning of the meeting so as to encourage public comment. Drafts were shared and the Board heard public comment on the DRAFT LCAP. The final LCAP and District budget presented to the Board for final adoption.

#### **District Advisory Committee Meetings:**

- Budget Advisory Committee
  - o November 20, 2014
  - o December 18, 2014
  - January 22, 2015
  - o February 12, 2015
  - o March 19, 2015
  - o April 23, 2015
- Curriculum Advisory Committee
  - January 6, 2015
  - o February 3, 2015
  - o March 9, 2015
- Middle Grade Task Force
  - February 9, 2015

SRCS provided ongoing updates to its advisory committees both on the content of the LCAP and on the district's progress towards meetings its goals. It also used utilized the insight and expertise of various advisory committees throughout the year to evaluate progress and to recommend refinements to the LCAP. Each group maintained a specific focus and district leadership integrated feedback from the various advisory committees as it refined and updated the LCAP.

- Budget Advisory Committee: Served to update and engage the budget committee members in order to inform budget process for this year and the future. Specific updates were provided on the work of the needs assessment committees, the community goal-setting meeting, and give continual feedback that informed the development of the draft goals.
- Curriculum Advisory Committee: Provided LCAP overview and timeline as well as LCAP explanation, update and review of upcoming dates for input.

# **Annual Update**

SRCS used the stakeholder engagements described above to provide regular updates on the LCAP progress. SRCS presented or discussed the Annual Update during these events:

- LCAP Parent Advisory Committee/English Learner Parent Advisory Committee
  - o March 12, 2015
  - o April, 22, 2015
- Presentations to Collective Bargaining Units
  - o CSEA Labor Meeting March 11, 2015
  - SRTA Labor Meeting March 9, 2015 and May 12, 2015
- Formally agenized and discussed at Collective Bargaining sessions:
  - o SRTA March 30, 3015
- Join the Conversations:
  - San Pedro Elementary 12/1/14 and 1/23/15
  - Glenwood Elementary 12/2/14
  - Davidson Middle School 12/12/14
  - Sun Valley Elementary 12/18/14
  - Bahia Vista Elementary 2/24/15
  - Venetia Valley Elementary 1/8/15
  - Coleman Elementary 1/12/15
  - Short Elementary 1/13/15
  - Laurel Dell Elementary 1/14/15
- Site and District Leadership Workshop
  - o March 17, 2015
- Community Workshops for Parents, Teachers, Students, Staff and Community Members
  - o March 25, 2015
- SRCS Regular Board Meetings:
  - January 12 Regular Board Meeting Update
  - January 26 Regular Board Meeting Update

## **Annual Update**

Analysis of the 2014-15 LCAP during the Annual Update process revealed the need for more refined and targeted goals that aligned with the school board's goals.

During the annual update process this year, therefore, SRCS not only provided an update on progress made to-date on the LCAP, but also gathered stakeholder feedback in order to develop refined goals, actions and services.

This process began with by the central office leadership team aligning the nine goals in the elementary school LCAP, and the 11 goals in the high school LCAP into five shared goals that directly align with the school board goals.

These refined goals were reviewed first by the PAC and ELPAC on March 12, 2015, and then later in a larger community workshop on March 25, 2015 where participants provided feedback both on the refined goals and recommended actions and services for the 2015-18 LCAPs.

The refined goals were also reviewed during the board meetings in March where further feedback was gathered from board members and the community.

Next, a district leadership team that included site principals, union representatives and central office leaders reviewed the stakeholder feedback and progress towards the 2014-15 goals. This process led to the development of draft goals, actions and services for the 2015-18 LCAP.

This draft LCAP has been further reviewed and refined throughout the remainder of the stakeholder engagement process as described above.

- o Feb. 9 Regular Board Meeting Update
- o Feb. 23 Regular Board Meeting Update
- o March 9 Regular Board Meeting Update
- March 23 Regular Board Meeting Update

# Budget Advisory Committee

- o March 19, 2015
- o April 23, 2015

# • Curriculum Advisory Committee

- o January 6, 2015
- o February 3, 2015
- o March 9, 2015

#### • Middle Grade Task Force

o February 9, 2015

# Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

#### **Goal:** Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the schoolsite level. The LEA may identify which schoolsites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or schoolsite.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

**Schools:** Identify the schoolsites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

**Applicable Pupil Subgroups:** Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

**Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes**: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the schoolsite level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Actions/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

**Scope of Service:** Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the schoolsites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

**Pupils to be served within identified scope of service**: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 52052.

**Budgeted Expenditures:** For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

#### **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual schoolsites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual schoolsite goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual schoolsites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific schoolsites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

=						
GOAL:	expectar and con	student receives rigorous instruction and support and is held to high tions so that they can foster critical thinking, collaboration, creativity, nmunication skills in order to master the Common Core State Standards ontinuing to be college, career and community ready.	Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1_X_2_X_34_X_5_X_67_X_  8_X_  COE only: 910  Local: Specify			
Identified	l Need:	District systems and structures to support transition to the Common Core State Language Development (ELD) standards, with specific attention paid to student Required metrics: 1) All teachers are highly qualified; all students have access materials. 2) All core content teachers, including ELD, will have at least one CC Student performance on CAASP assessments, student performance on district 5) School attendance rates; chronic absenteeism rates, middle school drop-out use of the online student academic portal, enrollment in AVID classes.	ts with specific learning needs. to standards-based instructional CSS-aligned unit of instruction. 4) t assessments, reclassification rate.			
Goal App	plies to:	Schools: All K-8 Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: English Learners				
		<b>LCAP Year 1</b> : 2015-16				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:		<ul> <li>Common Core <ul> <li>a) All students receive instruction aligned to the CCSS.</li> <li>b) District-wide common assessments in place to measure student progress</li> <li>c) Students use Common Core aligned resources and instructional materials</li> </ul> </li> <li>Targeted Subgroups <ul> <li>d) Increased student academic performance in language arts and mathemat district assessments.</li> <li>e) Content curriculum will be accessible to English Learner (EL) students wit strategies supported by coaches. A higher percentage of ELs will achieve on EL proficiency based on the new ELD assessment, LAS Links.</li> <li>f) Increased EL and re-designated fluent English proficient (R-FEP) student areas.</li> <li>g) Effective, research-based program design created to meet the needs of N implemented in 2016-17.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	th the implementation of effective at least one level growth per year achievement in the core content			
		Student Success and Support Systems				

- h) The number of students experiencing successful transitions for grades K and 6 will increase as indicated by parent/student surveys.
- i) Teacher to student ratios are maintained to support maximum student learning.
- j) Establish a plan for students to be grade-level proficient in literacy by Grade 3, including identification of exit criteria for grades K, 1, 2, and 3.
- k) Increased targeted student subgroup participation in Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID).
- I) All middle school students will have increased opportunities for enrichment experiences.
- m) Parent/student portal usage of Student Information System (SIS) is increased by 10%.
- n) A comparison of pre- and post-data will indicate growth in language acquisition as a result of summer school.
- o) Safe and secure extended day activities that support academics and provide students with enrichment opportunities.
- p) A completed 21st century/technology instructional plan including research-based practices.
- q) CELDT administered to all ELs and data analyzed to monitor annual student progress.
- r) Developed interim draft of technology and digital citizenship curriculum for grades 4-8.

1) Beveloped interim draft of t	connology ar	ia digital chizchship carriculant for grades + 6.	
Actions/Services	Scope of	Pupils to be served within identified scope of	Budgeted
Actions/Services	Service	service	Expenditures
a) Implement Common Core Implementation Plan	All	_X_ALL	\$10,000
with ongoing team meetings. (Release-time)	Schools		(LCFF one-
	K-8	OR:	time)
		Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient	
		Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
b) Implement the district-wide comprehensive	All	ALL	\$100,000
assessment system for English language arts,	Schools		(LCFF)
mathematics, and English language development,	K-8	OR:	,
including the establishment of baseline student		<ul><li>X Low Income pupils X English Learners</li><li>X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient</li></ul>	
achievement data using CAASPP. (Annual		Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$55,000
Software Licensing). Release time to administer			(LCFF one-
assessments.			time)
c) Purchase/develop instructional materials that	All	ALL	\$200,000
are CCSS-aligned (e.g., highly engaging non-	Schools	OR:	(LCFF)
fiction books with a variety of text complexity) and	K-8	_X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners	\$262,000
purchase materials that support English Language		X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient	(Prop-20
Arts, ELD and other textbook/materials		Other Subgroups:(Specify)	Lottery)

replacement (K-8). Purchase CCSS-aligned math curriculum for Grades 3-8 (Everyday Math 3-5; Big Ideas 6-8).  d) Establish committee to develop and implement district Response to Intervention (RTI) model ensuring that interventions are connected with the daily core content instruction in English language arts and mathematics—possibly expanding interventions and enrichment beyond the school	All Schools K-8	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersX_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify) homeless students, students with disabilities	\$50,000 ELD (LCFF) \$158,000 LCFF (math) \$110,000 (LCFF-4200 one-time)
day and focusing on targeted subgroups including ELs, low income students, foster youth, homeless students, students with disabilities, and other atrisk youth.		With disabilities	\$300,000 LCFF – IAs)
K-8 sites will maintain and improve their existing intervention services (Instructional Assistants) while the district completes the process, begun in 2014-15, to research and define a fully articulated and effective district response to intervention model. This process will determine which high impact and evidence-based practices and services will be incorporated into a revised intervention model to be implemented in years 2 and 3.			
e) Develop the English Learner Master Plan and increase ELD support in content areas via direct coaching to teachers and train-the-trainer coaching embedded in the school day, including peer coaching and site administrator coaching with the Instructional Observation Protocol. (0.5 FTE Director of English Learner Programs)	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students, students with disabilities_	\$90,000 (LCFF)

f) Design and implement an effective EL and R-FEP monitoring program using data from the district-wide assessment system.		ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
g) Develop effective, research-based program to meet the needs of EL Newcomer students. Continue to fund additional classes at Davidson Middle and Venetia Valley K-8 for EL Newcomer students. (DMS— 2 ELD and 3 newcomer sections; VV— 3 ELD sections)	DMS & VV	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	ELD – \$77,000 (LCFF) Newcomer \$54,000 (LCFF)
h) Develop a targeted support plan to address the needs of students at transition grades (K, 6), including definition of Kindergarten readiness and a plan for coordination with preschool programs that feed into SRCS and support for students that enter SRCS without preschool.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0 (Site Budgets)
i) Provide highly qualified teachers in all classrooms. K-3 shall be a school wide average of 24:1 or less; in Grades 4-5, class sizes will be staffed at a district-wide average of 25:1; and in grades 6-8 teachers will be staffed at a district-wide average of 23:1.	All Schools K-8	X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$11.8 Million LCFF \$2 million Parcel Tax
j) Develop a plan to support student success in achieving grade-level proficiency in literacy by grade 3.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0

k) Expand AVID program at Davidson Middle and Venetia Valley focusing on targeted student subgroups (within site FTE allocations).	Venetia Valley 6-8 Davidson Middle School	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners X_Foster Youth X_Re-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
I) Middle School Enrichment Task Force will continue to meet to develop enrichment options and to define how to implement the ideas generated to date.	Venetia Valley Davidson Middle	_X_ALL	\$0
m) Increased communications and trainings will be provided for students and parents on the student information system.	All Schools K-8	X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
<ul> <li>n) Summer school programs will be provided to targeted students.</li> <li>The K-5 summer program, targeted to ELs, will focus on literacy with reading intervention provided through Fountas &amp; Pinnell curriculum and writing strategies addressed through the WRITE Institute curriculum.</li> <li>The BELL summer program will pilot an EL overlay to the existing ELA and math curriculum in grades 6-8.</li> </ul>	All Schools K-8	ALLOR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$200,000 (LCFF) \$142,151 (ASES) \$159,915 (BELL)
o) Continue to implement, evaluate and refine extended day programs (LEAP) to align across all sites and with the regular school day systems—including behavior management, academics, family engagement, and enrichment activities.	Bahia Vista, Coleman, San Pedro, Davidson, Laurel Dell, Short, Venetia Valley	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersX_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$980,000 (ASES Grant) \$107,000 (In-kind LCFF)

Leads and Math Le	ches will collaborate with Lit. eads to research and develop a logy instructional plan. (See n leads)	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$0
Development Test	california English language (CELDT) to all English learners measure progress and identify	All Schools K-8	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _x_English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$50,000 (LCFF)
r) Research, vet, and adopt a technology and digital citizenship curriculum for grades 4-8.			_x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$5,000 (LCFF)
		LCAP Y	ear 2: 2016-17	
Common Core  a) Teacher to student ratios are maintained to support maximum student learning. b) District-wide common assessments in place to measure student progress and mastery of CCSS with the data available for analysis by site administrators and teachers. c) Students use Common Core aligned resources and instructional materials.  Targeted Subgroups d) Effective, research-based program in place to meet the needs of EL Newcomer students. e) Increased percentage of ELs achieving at least one level of growth annually as demonstrated on LAS Links, the ELD assessments. f) Effective K-8 summer intervention/enrichment program for EL, low income and other "at risk" students.				

Student Success and Support Systems
g) Increased number of students mastering CCSS as a result of Route to Intervention model implementation.
h) After-school program aligned to regular school day in terms of behavior management, academics, family

engagement, and enrichment activities.  i) CELDT administered to all ELs and data analyzed to monitor annual student progress.					
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
a) Provide highly qualified teachers in all classrooms. K-3 shall be a school wide average of 24:1 or less; in Grades 4-5, class sizes will be staffed at a district-wide average of 25:1; and in grades 6-8 teachers will be staffed at a district-wide average of 23:1.	All Schools K-8	X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$11.9 Million LCFF \$2 million Parcel Tax		
b) Maintain utilization of the district-wide comprehensive assessment system to monitor student progress. (Software Licensing)	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$38,000 ELD (LCFF) \$62,000 (LCFF)		
c) Purchase instructional materials that are Common Core aligned and that support History/Social Sciences, ELD, and replacement textbooks and materials.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$250,000 (LCFF) \$63,000 (Prop 20 Lottery) \$50,000 ELD (LCFF)		
d) Implement effective, research-based program to meet the needs of EL Newcomer students. Continue to fund additional classes at Davidson Middle and Venetia Valley K-8 for EL Newcomer students. (DMS— 2 ELD and 3 newcomer sections; VV— 3 ELD sections)	All Schools K-8	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	ELD – \$77,000 (LCFF) Newcomer \$54,000 (LCFF)		

e) Continue to implement the EL Master Plan (0.5 FTE Director of EL Programs)	All Schools K-8	ALL  OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$91,000 (LCFF)			
f) Review summer school achievement data and ongoing assessment data to design summer programs in collaboration with District partners to target English learners, low-income and at-risk students.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersX_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$225,000 (LCFF) \$143,000 (ASES) \$160,000 (BELL)			
g) Implement research-based response to Intervention model.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$300,000 (LCFF)			
h) Continue to implement, evaluate and refine extended day programs (LEAP) to align across all sites and with the regular school day systems—including behavior management, academics, family engagement, and enrichment activities.	Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davidson, Laurel Dell, Short, San Pedro, Venetia Valley	ALL	\$980,000 (ASES Grant) \$108,000 (In-kind LCFF)			
i) Administer the California English language Development Test (CELDT) to all English learners annually in order to measure progress and identify proficiency level.	All Schools K-8	ALL	\$50,000 (LCFF)			
	LCAP Year 3: 2017-18					
Expected Annual Measurable a) Teacher to student ratios are maintained to support maximum student learning.						

## Outcomes:

- b) District-wide common assessments in place to measure student progress and mastery of CCSS with the data available for analysis by site administrators and teachers.
- c) Students use Common Core aligned resources and instructional materials.

#### **Targeted Subgroups**

- d) Effective, research-based program in place to meet the needs of EL Newcomer students.
- e) Increased percentage of ELs achieving at least one level of growth annually as demonstrated on Las Links, the ELD assessments.
- f) Effective K-8 summer intervention/enrichment program for EL, low income and other "at risk" students.

# **Student Success and Support Systems**

- g) Increased number of students mastering CCSS as a result of Route to Intervention model implementation.
- h) After-school program aligned to regular school day in terms of behavior management, academics, family engagement, and enrichment activities.

i) CELDT administered to all ELs and data analyzed to monitor annual student progress.

Actions/Services	Scope of	Pupils to be served within identified scope of	Budgeted	
a) Provide highly qualified teachers in all classrooms. K-3 shall be a school wide average of 24:1 or less; in Grades 4-5, class sizes will be staffed at a district-wide average of 25:1; and in grades 6-8 teachers will be staffed at a district-wide average of 23:1.	Service All Schools K-8	Service  _X_ALL	\$11.9 Million LCFF \$2 million Parcel Tax	
b) Maintain utilization of the district-wide comprehensive assessment system to monitor student progress.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL	\$38,000 ELD (LCFF) \$62,000 (LCFF)	

c) Purchase instructional materials that are Common Core aligned and that support Next Generation Science Standards, ELD and replacement textbook and materials	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$250,000 (LCFF) \$63,000 (Prop 20 Lottery) \$50,000 (LCFF)
d) Assess effectiveness of EL Newcomer program and re-evaluate number of sections for ELD and Newcomer students at both middle schools.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	ELD – \$44,000 (LCFF) Newcomer \$36,000 (LCFF)
e) Continue to implement the EL Master Plan (0.5 FTE Director of EL Programs)	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$92,000 (LCFF)
f) Review summer school achievement data and ongoing assessment data to design summer programs in collaboration with District partners to target English learners, low-income and at-risk students.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) Students with disabilities	\$225,000 (LCFF) \$143,000 (ASES) \$160,000 (BELL)
g) Response to Intervention – analyze student achievement data and modify program as necessary to increase student achievement.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) _students performing below grade level	Title I _ Site Funds

h) Continue to implement, evaluate and refine extended day programs (LEAP) to align across all sites and with the regular school day systems—including behavior management, academics, family engagement, and enrichment activities.	Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davidson, Laurel Dell, Short, San Pedro, Venetia Valley	ALL	\$980,000 (ASES Grant) \$110,000 (In-kind LCFF)
i) Administer the California English language Development Test to all English learners annually in order to measure progress and identify proficiency level.	All Schools K-8	ALL	\$50,000 (LCFF)

GOAL:  2. Provide all staff with differentiated professional development with a focus on collaboration, alignment, and high quality staff retention and support, so as to maximize student learning and achievement.		ation, alignment, and high quality staff retention and support, so as to	Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1_X 2_X 3 4_X 5_X 6 7 8_X  COE only: 9 10  Local: Specify		
Identified	d Need:	Professional development to support the District's transition to the Common Contended English Language Development (ELD) standards and to foster deeper understaintiatives and expectations.  Required metrics: 1) All teachers are highly qualified; all students have access materials. 2) All core content teachers, including ELD, will have at least one Content performance on CAASP assessments, student performance on district reclassification rate. 5) School attendance rates; chronic absenteeism rates, meaning the parent and teacher rate of use of the online student academic portal, enrollment.	anding of the District's goals,  to standards-based instructional CSS-aligned unit of instruction. 4) t assessments (ELA, math, & ELD), iddle school drop-out rates. 8)		
Goal Applies to: Schools: All K-8 Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups: English Learners					
LCAP Year 1: 2015-16					
Meas	ed Annual surable comes:	Common Core  a) Increased number of vetted and shared CCS-aligned units of instruction a b) Improved teacher efficacy in the development and delivery of CCS-aligne			

c) Refined tools for teacher collaboration and analysis of assessment data— including refined tools for teacher collaboration in Professional Learning Communities (PLCs)

## **Targeted Subgroups**

- d) Improved teacher efficacy in the integration of ELD standards and differentiation of instruction for English learners
- e) Increased academic achievement of English learners across all core content areas

#### **Student Success and Support Systems**

- f) Improved teacher efficacy in the analysis of student assessment data and delivery of intervention support for English language arts, mathematics, and ELD.
- g) Utilization of the student information system by teachers to support student learning and engagement.
- h) Students engaged in hands-on exploration of engineering concepts that foster questioning of the natural world.
- i) Students in grades 4-8 will understand the responsibilities of being a strong digital citizen, how to stay safe on the Internet, and the dangers of cyber bullying.
- j) Increased academic achievement as a result of highly qualified teachers hired and retained in the district.
- k) As a result of on-boarding procedures and professional development, new staff will have a clear understanding of the District's goals, instructional initiatives, and expectations for implementing Common Core State Standards, utilization of effective strategies to serve English learners, and cultural competency.
- I) Professional development options in place for classified staff.
- m) Increased teacher participation in professional development offerings and district committees due to increased availability of substitute teachers.
- n) Assessment system, Response to Intervention and EL Master Plan implemented at all sites.
- o) Increased teacher participation in professional development offerings and district committees due to a decrease in cancellations from substitute teachers.
- p) Daily sub rate reviewed and considered for increase.
- q) Restructured Teacher-in-Charge (TIC) position and stipend (subject to negotiations) to support effective instruction and positive school climate.
- r) Professional development, coaching, and support provided to first and second year teachers through BTSA.

Actions/Services	Scope of	Pupils to be served within identified scope of	Budgeted
Actions/Services	Service	service	Expenditures

a) The Common Core Implementation Team will collect and assess CCSS-aligned tools, lessons and units in a centralized, easily accessed repository. (Software Licensing)	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$5,000 (LCFF)
b) Continue classroom coaching to implement high leverage strategies and support the implementation and building of CCSS-aligned units of instruction by employing teachers on special assignment (TSA): math, EL, ELA, technology and Intervention (5.0 FTE - TSA)	All Schools K-8	ALL OR:x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$507,000 (LCFF)
c) Enhance the teacher leader/trainer model in ELA, math, and technology (Literacy, Math, & Tech Leads) to increase support of district-wide grade level and middle school department collaboration and to refine tools and protocols for PLCs. Teacher Stipends. d) Continue professional development for all	All Schools K-8	ALL OR:x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$89,150 (LCFF) \$20,000
teachers on new ELD standards and related instructional strategies.	Schools K-8	OR:  _Low Income pupils _X_English Learners  _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	(Title II)
e) Continue expansion of SEAL program at Coleman, Sun Valley, and Venetia Valley (Cohort 1, Year 2). Implement SEAL program at Bahia Vista, San Pedro, and Laurel Dell (Cohort 2, Year 1). (consultant, release time, instructional coaches 3.0 FTE and materials & supplies)	Bahia Vista, Coleman, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley TK-3 (Cohort 1) & TK-1 (Cohort 2)	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersFoster YouthX_Re-designated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$704,381 (LCFF)

f) Principal on Special Assignment – Early Childhood Education focus with additional support for non-SEAL schools regarding CCSS implementation and effective strategies to address the needs of all learners with a focus on EL/low income populations.	Bahia Vista, Coleman, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley, Glenwood, Short	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth XRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$155,000 (LCFF one- time)
g) Provide professional development focused on new assessments and data to set student achievement targets and monitor student growth. (2 professional development days included in contract days)	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient  _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
h) Engage staff to align processes, systems and trainings so as to effectively implement a student information system.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL	\$10,000 (LCFF)
i) Continue implementation of iTeams/STEM Professional Development and integrated curriculum.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	CAMSP Grant (Res 4050)
j) Research and implement programs designed to educate students on appropriate and safe use of technology.	All Schools 4-8	X_ALL  OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$5,000 (LCFF)
k) Implement staff recruitment and selection processes and retain qualified teachers through competitive compensation for all SRCS staff (subject to negotiations).	All Schools K-8	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0

I) Establish on-boarding procedures and ongoing professional development for all staff.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$0
m) Implement menu of differentiated professional development opportunities for classified staff. Trainers, release-time, hourly pay, materials & supplies.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR:  Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$5,000 (LCFF)
n) Provide professional development for site administrators focused on the use of assessments, implementation of the Response to Intervention (RTI) model and implementation of the EL Master Plan.	All Schools K-8	ALL	\$25,000 (LCFF one- time)
o) Participate in a county-wide collaborative task force to identify issues and possible actions to address the substitute shortage throughout the county.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$0
p) Consider an increase in the daily sub rate of pay from \$110 per day to \$120 per day, and the interim daily rate for days 11-20 in the same position from \$130 per day to \$140 per day	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient  _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$36,000 (LCFF)
q) Restructure the Teacher-in-Charge (TIC) position and stipend to increase leadership opportunities and support effective instruction and positive school climate (subject to negotiations).	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$16,000 (LCFF)

	onal development, coaching, and second year teachers	All Schools K-8	_x_ALL	\$62,000 LCFF
		LCAP Y	ear <b>2</b> : 2016-17	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	<ul> <li>b) Teachers will have greater</li> <li>c) Students will understand the and the dangers of cyber bed</li> <li>d) Students engaged in hands world.</li> <li>e) Menu of professional development</li> <li>f) Assessment system, Response</li> </ul>	access to ted e responsibil ullying. s-on explorati opment oppo onse to Interv	ongoing professional development for all staff. chnology tools that support student learning and engage ities of being a strong digital citizen, how to stay safe or on of engineering concepts that foster questioning of the rtunities in place for classified staff. The rention and EL Master Plan implemented at all sites. It is described to first and second year teachers the rention and EL Master Plan implemented at all sites.	n the internet, e natural
Ac	tions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
a) Implement new pand new employee	orocesses for on-boarding staff orientation.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
implementation and units of instruction	oom coaching to support the d building of CCSS-aligned by employing teachers on ath, EL, ELA, technology & TE).	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$410,000 (LCFF)

c) Technology Advisory Committee will establish base level classroom technology, and pilot 21st century classroom tools such as Teacher Technology Toolkits. (Release time)	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$5,000 (Title II)	
d) Continue implementation of iTeams/STEM professional development and integrated curriculum.	All Schools K-8		CAMSP Grant (Res 4050)	
e) Provide menu of differentiated professional development opportunities for classified staff. Trainers, release-time, hourly pay, materials and supplies.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$5,000 (LCFF)	
f) Continue to provide professional development for site administrators focused on the use of assessments, implementation of the Response to Intervention (RTI) model and implementation of the EL Master Plan.	All Schools K-8	X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$5,000 (LCFF)	
g) Provide professional development, coaching, and support to first and second year teachers through BTSA.	All Schools K-8	X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$82,000	
Expected Annual a) Menu of professional development opportunities for classified staff.  Measurable b) Effective on-boarding procedures in place for new staff.				

Outcomes:	c) Assessment system, Response to Intervention and EL Master Plan implemented at all sites. d) Students engaged in hands-on exploration of engineering concepts that foster questioning of the natural world.					
Act	ions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
	professional development assified staff to reflect district ds.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$15,000 (LCFF)		
	ding procedures implemented ust to reflect district and new	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$0		
for site administrate assessments, imple	ide professional development ors focused on the use of ementation of the Response to nodel and implementation of	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$5,000 (LCFF)		
coaching, an	essional development, d support to first and second s through BTSA.	All Schools K-8.	X_ALL  OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$82,000		

GOAL:	District	ge our resources responsibly, transparently and in alignment with goals and priorities so that the District is able to focus its efforts to e needle for student success.  Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1_X 2 3 4 5 6 7_X 8  COE only: 9 10  Local: Specify			
Identified		Fiscal transparency is achieved as resources are aligned and structured to support upgrading school facilities, growing student enrollment and the transitions to the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) and the Common Core State Standards (CCSS).  Required metrics: 1) All teachers are highly qualified; all students have access to standards-based instructional materials; all school facilities are maintained in good repair. 7) Analysis of middle school student schedules to ensure all students have access to instruction in all core content areas, K-5 posted instructional minutes.  Schools: Bahia Vista, Coleman, Glenwood, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley K-8,			
Goal Ap	plies to:	Davidson Middle Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All			
		a) Schools and departments are staffed to maintain high-quality learning conditions, support student learning and properly functioning schools, and protect district resources.			
Meas	Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:  Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:  Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:  Description:  Outcomes:  Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:  Description:  Descrip				
	Ad	Scope of Service Service Scope of Service Service Sudgested Expenditures			

a.1) Provide full time site administrator and office staff to support instructional programs.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$2.7 million (LCFF)
a.2) Provide custodial and operations staff, utilities, and services to support schools.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$2.7 million (LCFF)
a.3) Provide campus supervision for all K-5 and the K-8 school at a ratio of 45 minutes for each 80 students. Provide additional as necessary to support at sites with larger breakfast programs.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$230,000 (LCFF)
a.4) Increase Campus Security I for DMS – 7 hours per day (.875 FTE) for a total of 1.75 FTE	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient  _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$85,000 (LCFF)
b) Purchase computers and/or tablets to maintain or improve student device ratio.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR:  Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$300,000 (LCFF One- time funds)
c) Purchase computers and/or tablets to replace outdated hardware devices for staff.	All K-5; Venetia Valley K-8	X_ALL  OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$91,000 (LCFF one- time)

d.1) Develop an RFP process for selection of design teams to begin design process with school site facilities committees.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL  OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Fed 21 Building Fund \$0
d.2) Complete communications plan developed for Master Facilities Plan and potential funding options.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$46,000 (LCFF one- time)
e) Upgrade wiring and/or switches to improve broadband and connectively through the Master Facilities Plan and November Bond Measure.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL  OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
f) Establish and pilot a process to determine site allocations and/or process for site level grant proposals for complementary site-level services to targeted sub-groups. Grant proposals must address measureable student academic performance outcomes for targeted students and include research-based, best practices.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$200,000 (LCFF)
g.1) Allocate resources for expenditures (supplies, furniture and equipment and other necessary items) to accommodate student enrollment growth.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$95,000 (LCFF)

	ose and/or add additional accommodate student	All Schools K-8	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0 (Routine Restricted Maintenance Funds)
	Transportation is maintained es added as necessary for y busses.)	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient  _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$410,000 (LCFF)
Code, contribute 3%	h the California Education % of the General Fund to stricted Maintenance.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$1.4 Million (LCFF)
special education solution disabilities to suppose accordance with reconstructions.	funds to provide high quality ervices for students with ort student IEPs and in quirements outlined in the with Disabilities Education Act	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$4.4 Million (LCFF)
			ear 2: 2016-17	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:  a) Schools and departments are staffed to: maintain high-quality learning conditions; support student learning and properly functioning schools; and protect district resources.  b) Maintain or improve student device ratios. c) Staff utilize updated computing tools. d) Individualized needs of targeted subgroups at school sites are supported. e) Bond measure passed in November 2015; Develop timeline and budgets for implementation of Master Facilities Plan (MFP). f) Improved broadband and connectivity.				

- g) Provided students with appropriate work/learning space and materials and supplies as demonstrated by ratings of 100% compliance on the annual Williams site reviews.
- h) Maintained and/or expanded home-to-school transportation program to increase attendance rates.
- ) Facilities are maintained in compliance with the Williams Act.
- Maintain compliance with federal regulations under the Federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and students continue to make progress toward their IEP goals

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
a.1) Provide full time site administrator and office staff to support instructional programs.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$2.7 million (LCFF)
a.2) Provide custodial and operations staff utilities and services to support schools.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$2.7 million (LCFF)
a.3) Provide campus supervision for all K-5 and the K-8 school at a ratio of 45 minutes for each 80 students. Provide additional as necessary to support at sites with larger breakfast programs.	All K-5 Schools; Venetia Valley	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$235,000 (LCFF)
b) Purchase computers and/or tablets to maintain or improve student device ratio.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$75,000 (LCFF)

c) Purchase computers and/or tablets to replace outdated hardware devices for staff.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$10,000 (LCFF)
d) Evaluate, refine and implement the process to determine site allocations and/or process for site level grant proposals for complementary site-level services to targeted sub-groups.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$200,000 (LCFF)
e) Complete process for selection of design teams to begin design process with school site facilities committees.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Fed 21 Building Fund \$0
f) Upgrade wiring and/or switches to improve broadband and connectively through the Master Facilities Plan and November Bond Measure.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$0 (Other Capital Facilities Funds)
g.1) Allocate resources for expenditures (supplies, furniture and equipment and other necessary items) to accommodate student enrollment growth.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$50,000 (LCFF)

	ose and/or add additional accommodate student	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$O 
,	Transportation is maintained es added as necessary for y busses.)	All Schools K-8	X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$500,000 (LCFF)
Code, contribute 3%	h the California Education % of the General Fund to stricted Maintenance.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$1.4 Million (LCFF)
special education s disabilities to suppo accordance with red	funds to provide high quality ervices for students with ort student IEPs and in quirements outlined in the with Disabilities Education Act	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$4.4 Million (LCFF)
		LCAP Y	ear <b>3</b> : 2017-18	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	and properly functioning scl b) Maintain or improve studen c) Staff utilize updated compu d) Improved broadband and co e) Individualized needs of targ f) Provided students with app ratings of 100% compliance	hools; and preaction to device ration tools. connectivity. Leted subgroup ropriate work annumber on the annumber on the annumber on the annumber of the subgroup repriate work annumber on the annumber of the	s. ups at school sites are supported. /learning space and materials and supplies as demon	strated by

h) Facilities are maintained in compliance with the Williams Act.
 i) Maintain compliance with federal regulations under the Federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and students continue to make progress toward their IEP goals

	(IDEA) and students continue to make progress toward their IEP goals				
Ac	tions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
a.1) Provide full tim staff to support inst	e site administrator and office ructional programs.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$2.75 million (LCFF)	
a.2) Provide custod and services to sup	lial and operations staff utilities oport schools.	All Schools K-8	X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$2.75 million (LCFF)	
the K-8 school at a students. Provide a	is supervision for all K-5 and ratio of 45 minutes for each 80 additional as necessary to a larger breakfast programs.	All Schools K-5	X_ALL  OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$235,000 (LCFF)	
b) Purchase compu or improve student	iters and/or tablets to maintain device ratio.	All Schools K-8		\$75,000 (LCFF)	
	iters, projectors, document ts to replace outdated or staff.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$10,000 (LCFF)	

d) Upgrade wiring and/or switches to improve broadband and connectively through the Master Facilities Plan and November Bond Measure.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
e) Implement process to determine site allocations and/or process for site level grant proposals for complementary site-level services to targeted subgroups.  See relevant outcomes and actions/services in student learning and culture and climate.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$200,000 (LCFF)
f.1) Allocate resources for expenditures (supplies, furniture and equipment and other necessary items) to accommodate student enrollment growth.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$50,000 (LCFF)
f.2) Identify, repurpose and/or add additional classroom space to accommodate student enrollment growth.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$0
f.3) Continue to implement master facilities plan as appropriate.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Building Fund 21

g) Home to School Transportation is maintained with additional buses added as necessary for growth. (Ten full-day busses.)	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$550,000 (LCFF)
h) In accordance with the California Education Code, contribute 3% of the General Fund to support Routine Restricted Maintenance.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient  _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$1.4 Million (LCFF)
i) Contribute LCFF funds to provide high quality special education services for students with disabilities to support student IEPs and in accordance with requirements outlined in the Federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient  _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$4.4 Million (LCFF)

GOAL:  4. Develop and implement highly effective two-way systems of communication between the District and its stakeholders to ensure increased awareness about, participation in, and support for decision-making about the District's goals.		the District and its stakeholders to ensure increased awareness about,	Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1 2 3_X_ 4 5 6_X_ 7 8  COE only: 9 10  Local: Specify				
Improved transparency for the entire community to ensure participation and support by all stakeholders for implementation of district programs and initiatives.  Required metrics: 3) Agendas and minutes for required parent committees, parent sign-in sheets, documented parent input. 6) Gallup Poll results, needs assessment survey.  Schools: All							
Goal Ap	Goal Applies to: Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All						
•	Expected Annual Measurable  LCAP Year 1: 2015-16  a) Improved communication about district programs and initiatives across the district. Identified parent engagement benchmark.						

### Outcomes:

- b) Higher responsiveness from the district to stakeholders and increased collaborative decision-making through authentic two-way dialogue
- c) All schools have uniform, valid and reliable survey data that has been gathered from families.
- d) Recommendations developed for all schools to have appropriate access to bilingual community liaisons and translation services and recommendations on coordinating community liaisons, common expectations and ongoing professional development.
- e) Maintain high level of customer service and communication with families of targeted subgroups
- f) Improved parent participation the IEP process for the target populations.
- g) Increased parent access to district initiatives and projects and improved participation in district events and planning.
- h) Increased parent voice and refined role in district decision-making.
- i) Improved student learning, stronger families and healthier communities.

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
a.1) Implement the Community Engagement and Communications Plan, including messaging about the LCAP, facilities planning, programmatic changes, SBAC, college readiness activities and other educational knowledge to be distributed widely through website, social media and other strategies. Establish a parent engagement benchmark. As part of the plan, begin a Request for Proposal (RFP) process and identify and select a vendor and for a website redesign.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR:x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners x_Foster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$20,000 (LCFF one- time)
a.2) Maintain .5 FTE Community Engagement and Communications Coordinator to continue to refine and enhance communication efforts and strategies with the SRCS community and a targeted focus on targeted subgroups	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$68,500 (LCFF)

b) Increase customer service by exploring options for higher-quality phone and online opportunities for stakeholders to provide input and feedback on District initiatives and key decisions	All Schools K-8	XALL  OR:  Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient  Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
c.1) Design system, procedures and tools for sites to conduct uniform, valid surveys of parents, guardians and caregivers.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
c.2) Implement Gallup Poll to in order to measure gaps in engagement and service for targeted student subgroups.		_ALL OR:x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$16,000 (LCFF)
d) Establish the Bilingual Community Liaison committee to evaluate and refine the role of bilingual community liaisons and the related services, including translation, as well as develop common expectations and ongoing professional development including appropriate staffing levels for each school.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
e.1) Maintain current levels of Community Liaison support at all school sites and evaluate staffing needs as appropriate based on objective data and affordability.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$419,000 (LCFF)

e.2) Maintain Bilingual Secretaries in order to provide greater levels of support to families of targeted subgroups	Bahia Vista, Coleman, Glenwood, San Pedro, Sun Valley and Venetia Valley K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$100,000 (LCFF)
f) Add .5 FTE Community Liaison support for special education to support family participation in the IEP process.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$20,000 (LCFF)
g) Continue to improve and refine bilingual and diverse (email, phone, social media, etc.) communication tools and strategies.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
h.1) Reconvene the PAC at the beginning of the year and provide a calendar for meetings with a clear purpose and role in monitoring the implementation of the LCAP; post all agendas 72 hours in advance of the meetings in accordance with the Brown Act.	All Schools K-8	X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
h.2) Provide support and guidance to school sites in the annual recruitment, establishment and facilitation of parent committees such as School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, Title I Advisory, etc. in order to strengthen and support parent involvement in decision-making.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersFoster YouthX_Re-designated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify)Students with Disabilities	\$0

	unity agencies and groups to ize partnerships to create a environment.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify	\$0
		LCAP Y	ear <b>2</b> : 2016-17	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	<ul> <li>attendance in events and counderrepresented.</li> <li>b) Further strengthen partners support outreach to underrect</li> <li>c) Improved services at school</li> </ul>	onferences by ships and coll epresented stolers for par mer service a	ents and students.  and communication with families of targeted subgroups.	hat are
Ac	tions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Engagement and C action plan strategi	analysis, adjust Community communications Plan and es to increase attendance and et population to increase	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners	\$0

X ALL

OR:

ΑII

K-8

Schools

proportional participation and decision-making. As

Communications Coordinator to continue to refine

and enhance communication efforts and strategies

with the SRCS community and a targeted focus on

targeted subgroups

part of the plan, complete new website redesign. a.2) Maintain .5 FTE Community Engagement and X Foster Youth X Re-designated fluent English proficient

X Other Subgroups:(Specify) Students with Disabilities

\_\_Foster Youth \_\_Re-designated fluent English proficient

Low Income pupils \_\_English Learners

\_\_Other Subgroups:(Specify)\_

\$68,500

(LCFF)

b.1) Implement recommendations of the Bilingual Community Liaison committee including additional staffing levels as appropriate and affordable.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$425,000 (LCFF)
b.2) Maintain Bilingual Secretaries in order to provide greater levels of support to families of targeted subgroups	Bahia Vista, Coleman, Glenwood San Pedro Sun Valley and Venetia Valley K-8	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersX_Foster YouthX_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$100,000 (LCFF)
c) Maintain .5 FTE Community Liaison support for special education to support family participation in the IEP process.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$21,000 (LCFF)
d) Include in LCAP survey questions to parents, guardians and caregivers about the effectiveness of community liaisons and engagement strategies.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL  OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
e.1) Reconvene the PAC at the beginning of the year and provide a calendar for meetings with a clear purpose and role in monitoring the implementation of the LCAP; post all agendas 72 hours in advance of the meetings in accordance with the Brown Act.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL  OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient  _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
e.2) Provide support and guidance to school sites in the annual recruitment, establishment and facilitation of parent committees such as School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, Title I Advisory, etc. in order to strengthen and support parent involvement in decision-making.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersFoster YouthX_Re-designated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify)Students with Disabilities	\$0

### **LCAP Year 3**: 2017-18

# Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

- a) Improved communication about district programs and initiatives across the district. Increase of parent attendance in events and conferences by 5% particularly with parents and stakeholder groups that are underrepresented.
- b) Further strengthen partnerships and collaborations with community based organizations and programs to support outreach to underrepresented student and parent groups.
- c) Maintain high level of customer service and communication with families of targeted subgroups.
- d) Improved parent participation the IEP process for the target populations.
- e) Improved services at school sites for parents and students.
- f) Maintained parent voice and refined role in district decision-making.

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
a.1) Based on data analysis, adjust Community Engagement and Communications Plan along with action plan strategies to increase attendance and involvement of target population to increase proportional participation and decision-making.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
a.2) Maintain .5 FTE Community Engagement and Communications Coordinator to continue to refine and enhance communication efforts and strategies with the SRCS community and a targeted focus on targeted subgroups	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$68,500 (LCFF)
b) Implement recommendations of the Bilingual Community Liaison committee, such as additional staffing levels as appropriate and affordable.	All Schools K-8	ALL	\$430,000 (LCFF)

c) Maintain .5 FTE Community Liaison support for special education to support family participation in the IEP process.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	\$22,000 (LCFF)
d) Include in LCAP survey questions to parents, guardians and caregivers about the effectiveness of community liaisons and engagement strategies.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$0
e) Reconvene the PAC at the beginning of the year and provide a calendar for meetings with a clear purpose and role in monitoring the implementation of the LCAP; post all agendas 72 hours in advance of the meetings in accordance with the Brown Act.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL	\$0
f) Provide support and guidance to school sites in the annual recruitment, establishment and facilitation of parent committees such as School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, Title I Advisory, etc. in order to strengthen and support parent involvement in decision-making.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify) students with disabilities	\$0

GOAL:

5. Establish effective systems and a welcoming environment that allow staff, students and families to feel safe and included so that they can participate fully in student learning and the school community.

Related State and/or Local Priorities:

1\_\_ 2\_\_ 3\_\_ 4\_\_ 5\_X 6\_X 7\_X 8\_X

COE only: 9\_\_ 10\_\_

Local: Specify \_\_\_\_

Identified Need:	Systems and structures to support students through positive behavioral practices and emotional support.  Required metrics: 5) School attendance rates; chronic absenteeism rates, high school drop-out rates, high school graduation rates. 6) Student suspension rates, student expulsion rates, Gallup Poll results, needs assessment survey. 7) Analysis of student schedules to ensure all students have access to instruction in all core content areas.  8) Parent and teacher rate of use of the online student academic portal.				
Goal Applies to:	Schools: Bahia Vista, Coleman Middle School Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	, Glenwood,	Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short Sun Valley, Venetia Valle	ey, Davidson 	
		LCAP Ye	ear 1: 2015-16		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Measurable d) Increase student and staff engagement by 5% as measured by Gallup engagement survey.				
Ac	ctions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
•	TE nurse and .50 FTE Health health services and access.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$137,112 (LCFF)	
mental health serv evaluate and maxi	TE counseling staff to improve ices and develop a plan to mize school counseling options, ng, including identifying outside	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$243,000 (LCFF)	

a.3) Increase counseling services by adding 1.0 FTE therapeutic counselor to specifically address the needs of the targeted populations at Davidson Middle School.	DMS 6-8	ALL  OR:  x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners  x_English Learners	\$79,000
b.1) Continue implementation of Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) at all school sites. Sites will continue to participate in PBIS training in 2 cohorts. Cohort 1 will begin tier 3 training and cohort 2 will begin tier 2 training.	All Schools K-8		\$10,700 (LCFF)
b.2) Maintain Restorative Practices and develop a plan to expand to other schools K-8, beginning with Community Building Circles in grades 4-8. (Teacher on Special Assignment .2 FTE)	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$20,000 UWBA Grant (9036)
c) Develop a plan for effective staff and student engagement activities and trainings (i.e. peer counseling, social media assemblies, etc.).	DMS & VV 6-8	_X_ALL  OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
d.1) Implement the plan to increase participation in electives and enrichment activities for special education students. In the 2015-16 school year students at Davidson Middle School and Venetia Valley will be programed into one period of reading instruction in order to take an elective.	DMS & VV 6-8	ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_students with disabilities	\$0

d.2) Continue to evaluate and develop the plan to increase participation in electives and enrichment	DMS &	ALL	\$0			
activities for EL students and Strategic Class enrollees or higher CELDT level students.	6-8	OR:  _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners  _Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students_				
e) Form a task force to evaluate the feasibility of offering a 7-period day at the middle school level in order to increase access to electives for all students, explore option for a second elective, and ensure students continue to be provided with rigorous standards based academic instruction. (Subject to negotiations).	DMS & VV 6-8	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0			
f) Continue suicide prevention education at Davidson Middle School and explore opportunities to expand services to all K-8 schools (continue Teen Screen and other suicide prevention activities at Davidson Middle School while investigating other sources).	DMS 6-8	_X_ALL  OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$10,000 (LCFF)			
g) Fund one of the Playworks programs as appropriate up to \$16,000 at the six target schools and identify process for full implementation and evaluate equity of funding at each campus based on size of school.	Bahia Vista, Coleman, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, Venetia Valley	ALL  OR:  X_Low Income pupils X_English Learners  X_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient  X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$96,000			
I CAP Vear 2: 2016-17						

### **LCAP Year 2**: 2016-17

## Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:

- a) Health and counseling services to students are improved so that student attendance and participation improve
- b) Maintained low suspension rate and middle school dropout rate, currently 0%.
- c) Increased student and staff engagement by 5% as measured by Gallup engagement survey.
- d) Master scheduling plan is implemented and data is gathered on student participation in electives and enrichment activities.
- e) Maintained services and treatment for at-risk students

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
a.1) Maintain 1.0 FTE nurse and .50 FTE Health Liaison to improve health services and access.	All Schools K-8	X_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$140,000 (LCFF)
a.2) Maintain 2.5 FTE counselors to continue to support the social-emotional needs of students. Adjust staffing levels as appropriate based on 2015-16 needs assessment and utilize outside resources identified.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALLOR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$244,000 (LCFF)
b.1) Continue to implement PBIS at all sites. Cohort I will be fully implemented and Cohort 2 will begin tier 3 training. (Release time, incentives and training costs)	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$15,000 (LCFF)
b.2) Maintain Restorative Practices and expand to other schools K-8 (based on availability of funds), beginning with Community Building Circles in grades 4-8. (Teacher on Special Assignment .2 FTE)	All Schools K-8	ALL OR:X_Low Income pupilsX_English LearnersX_Foster YouthX_Re-designated fluent English proficientX_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$20,000 UWBA Grant (9036)
c) Implement the plan to increase participation in electives and enrichment activities for EL students and Strategic Class enrollees or higher CELDT level students.	DMS & VV 6-8	ALL	\$0

d) Follow-up on findings and recommendations from the task force regarding the feasibility of offering a 7-period day at the middle school level including a second elective.	DMS & VV 6-8	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)Intervention Tier 2	\$0	
e) Continue suicide prevention education at Davidson Middle School and expand program to Venetia Valley based on recommendations of the investigative team and on availability of funds.	DMS & VV 6-8	_X_ALL	\$10,000 (LCFF)	
LCAP Year 3: 2017-18				
a) Health and counseling services to students are improved so that student attendance and participation				

### **Expected Annual** Measurable Outcomes:

- improve.
- b) Maintained low suspension rate and middle school dropout rate, currently 0%.
- c) Increased student and staff engagement by 5% as measured by Gallup engagement survey.
- d) Master scheduling plan is implemented and data is gathered on student participation in electives and enrichment activities.
- e) Maintained services and treatment for at-risk student program and possible changes to middle school master schedule in relation to transportation.

00:100:010 11:1010:010 11:00	P 0 : 10 (1:0 : 1:		
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
a.1) Maintain 1.0 FTE nurse and .50 FTE Health Liaison to improve health services and access.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$145,000 (LCFF)
a.2) Maintain 2.5 FTE counselors to continue to support the social-emotional needs of students. Adjust staffing levels as appropriate based on 2016-17 needs assessment and utilize outside resources identified.	All Schools K-8	_X_ALL	\$245,000 (LCFF)

b.1) Continue to implement PBIS at all sites. Cohort I will be fully implemented and Cohort 2 will begin tier 3 training.	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$10,000 (LCFF)
b.2) Maintain Restorative Practices and expand to other schools K-8 (based on availability of funds), beginning with Community Building Circles in grades 4-8. (Teacher on Special Assignment .2 FTE)	All Schools K-8	ALL OR: _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners _X_Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_at-risk students	\$20,000 UWBA Grant (9036)
c) Implement the plan to increase participation in electives and enrichment activities for EL students and Strategic Class enrollees or higher CELDT level students.	DMS & VV 6-8	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$0
d) Continue to implement recommendations from the task force regarding the feasibility of offering a 7-period day at the middle school level including a second elective.	DMS & VV 6-8	ALL OR:Low Income pupils _X_English LearnersFoster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _X_Other Subgroups:(Specify)Intervention Tier 2	\$0
e) Continue suicide prevention education at Davidson Middle School and Venetia Valley.	DMS 6-8	_X_ALL	\$10,000 (LCFF)

### **Annual Update**

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

#### **Guiding Questions:**

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific school-sites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

1. Staff will skillfully implement the State Standards and use highly effective instructional strategies, common curriculum, and SBAC aligned assessments. All students will receive high quality instructional programs that provide appropriate rigor and challenge, and prepare them for college and careers.    All Students will receive high quality instructional programs that provide appropriate rigor and challenge, and prepare them for college and careers.    All Staff will skillfully implement the State State and/or Local Priorities:   1_ 2_x 3_ 4_x 5_ 6_ 7_ 8_x				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Students will receive instruction that is aligned with the Common Core.  Establish a new district assessment system so as to identify reliable, valid data (including initial CAASPP data) to use as a baseline. This system will include teacher/PLC developed SBAC/CC aligned interim summative assessments in math and ELA.	<ul> <li>A minimum of two Common Core-aligned units of instruction are in place at each grade level, K-5, and in every core subject area, 6-8.</li> <li>Components of the district assessment system have been purchased and will be implemented 2015-16.</li> </ul>		
·	LCAP Year 0:	2014 -15 UPDATE		
	Planned Actions/Services	Actual Actions/Services		
Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
1.a. Expand the role of the Instructional Leadership Teams     (ILT) at all sites to support implementation of district goals and monitor student progress		Currently, all sites have ILTs which support implementation of district goals. The ILT agendas from each site provide evidence that the ILT meetings address the needs of students by supporting implementation of the CCSS and other school-specific initiatives such as academic language		

Foster YouthRe	District-wide English Learners e-designated fluent English proficient		graphic organizers, work is collected and progress with a specincome students, and Scope of service:  _x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilFoster YouthF	District-wide  sEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient	
Other Subgroups:( 1.b. Expansion of Teacher Math	Specify)r Leader/Trainer of Trainers model in ELA and	1.b Est. Cost: \$76,000 (CCSS funds)	increased to 13 at the school level. In the delay expanding this Berkeley History/So Literacy Lead teacher language and writing language during thre follow up training on level meetings. During implementation is examined as eviden	ner leaders for ELA ( <i>Literacy Leads</i> ) has be elementary level and 10 at the middle area of math, the decision was made to a model for 2014-15. Working with UC cial Science Project consultants, the ers have led staff in developing oral g strategies with a focus on precise the professional development days, with a monthly basis in districtwide gradeing these follow up meetings, alignment addressed and student work is ce of implementation and effectiveness the progress toward mastery.	\$35,700 (CCSS funds)
	District-wide  s _x_English Learners Re-designated fluent English		Scope of service: ALL OR:x_Low Income pup	District-wide  ils _x_English Learners _Re-designated fluent English proficient	
1.c. Creation of Common	Core Coaches, Teacher on Special ons to support implementation and building	1.c Est. Cost: \$305,000 (CCSS funds);	positions to support aligned materials. (S SEAL program.) In with grade level PLC	E positions and two .5 SEAL coach implementation and building of CCSS See 2.e. for further information about this capacity, the coaches work primarily cs to develop CCSS aligned units of e assessments and performance-based	1.C Est. Cost: \$272,000 (CCSS)

		assessments to measure student progress toward mastery of standards. As a result, students are participating in CCSS aligned instruction to a greater extent when compared to last year. Evidence examined in relation to this goal includes review of PLC minutes, units/lessons developed and/or refined by PLC work with coaches, and analysis of student work focusing on English learners and low-income students.  The Common Core Implementation Team and all school principals indicated that coaches are needed next year in order to continue effective support of Common Core Implementation.		
Scope of service: District-wide ALL  OR:x_Low Income pupilsx_English Learnersx_Foster Youthx_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			District-wide  Is _x_English Learners _Re-designated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
1.d. Continue and expand district wide grade level and middle school department collaboration schedule and structure.	1.d Est. Cost: \$50,000 (CCSS funds)	monthly basis. These opportunity for teach implementation of sp examine student wor developing a commo As a result, alignment However, progress in provide the collaborate required for the system At the middle school collaboration has incondevelopment days the degree of alignment student engagement	de level meetings have continued on a emeetings have provided an ers to refine their practice in regard to recific instructional strategies and to exist with colleagues across the district in understanding of the levels of rigor. In across schools has increased. The nust continue on this goal in order to retive time across the district which is em to become fully aligned with CCSS.  Ilevel, the opportunity for department reased through the shared professional is year. The result has been a greater in terms of CCSS implementation and strategies.	\$14,600 (CCSS funds)

		goal includes DGLM agendas, materials, professional development day agendas, and staff meeting minutes.	
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	
x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		_x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
1.e. Strengthen Grade level PLCs; they will develop common lessons, units and SBAC aligned assessment criteria	1.e Est. Cost: \$0	With the support of the common core coaches and with clear direction regarding district and site goals, the PLCs are stronger this year. The work of the PLCs across all sites consistently focused on CCSS implementation through the development of lessons, units, and assessments. However, the assessments created align with CCSS but do not align with SBAC in terms of formatting, and while there is evidence that CCSS aligned assessments are happening to some degree, use of these assessments is somewhat limited at this point in time.	\$0
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service: District-wide	
_x_ALL OR:		<u>x</u> ALL OR:	
Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
1.f. Professional Development to support implementation of State Standards (Common Core, New ELD Standards, Next Generation Science Standards)	1.f Est. Cost \$60,000 (CCSS funds)	Professional development has been provided in several venues and delivery formats which included but were not limited to: Non-student PD days (CCSS, new ELD standards); teacher release time (CCSS-GLAD training, iTeams – NGSS, CCSS/ELD strategies – SEAL training, CCSS – PESSA training, site leaders' professional development, BridgeWorks Group); ILT meetings; staff meetings; and PLCs. A significant portion of these various professional development offerings focused on the needs of English learners. As a result, all students, but especially English learners, received more targeted instruction based on identified student needs. Evidence examined to determine progress toward this goal includes a baseline	\$98,346 (CCSS)

		_			
			professional develop trainings.  94% of the participate Coleman, and Sun V development provide initiatives has strongle CCSS through the deresearch-based strate learners.  At the other elements with the common contheir PLCs to develop instruction. At the midevelopment include units of instruction with the past three years, and Venetia Valley reimplementing the CCH istory/Social Science development was prowith UCBHSSP and addressing topics sur language, structured constructed response. The middle school ted development and colduring release time. Collaborated on all the development days for discourse, and writing to facilitate more collaborate the same early	eachers), teacher surveys, district ment calendar, and agendas for sing teachers at Venetia Valley, alley reported that the professional ad through the PESSA and SEAL by supported their implementation of evelopment of units and application of egies that target the needs of English ary schools, K-5 teachers are working the coaches, Literacy Lead teachers, and to and implement CCSS-aligned units of iddle school level, professional development of CCSS-aligned that the BridgeWorks Group at DMS for Middle school teachers at both DMS exceived professional development in the BridgeWorks Group at DMS for Middle school teachers at both DMS exceived professional development in the BridgeWorks Group at DMS for acceived professional development in the BridgeWorks Group at DMS for Middle school teachers at both DMS exceived professional development in the BridgeWorks Group at DMS for Middle school teachers at both DMS exceived professional development in the BridgeWorks Group at DMS for Middle school teachers academic conversations, and experimentation of CCSS by the action of the professional laboration on non-student days or This year the middle school teachers are of the non-student, professional cusing on close reading, academic generation since VV and DMS do not release days each week and joint ment and collaboration are not possible	
Scope of service: Distri	ct-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
ALL			<u>x</u> ALL		

OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
1.g. Professional development focused on use of assessments and data to set student achievement targets and monitor student growth. Each PLC will set SMARTE goal for the follow school year	1.g. Est. Cost: \$25,000 (CCSS funds)	Assessment data is extremely limited as we transition into CCSS and CCSS aligned assessments. Data available is primarily collected at the site level and is skill-based (DIBELS, SRI, ESGI), and not reflective of student progress toward mastery of CCSS. Therefore, professional development on the use of assessment data has not happened. However, each PLC will develop a SMART goal in anticipation of the data that will be available once we have a benchmark assessment system in place. Professional development on the use of assessment data will be provided for site administrators who will then train teachers at each site.  All site administrators participated in professional development with the BridgeWorks Group in monthly ILLT meetings to develop a consistent structure for CCSS-aligned units of instruction along with tools for supporting teachers in development of these units. Additionally, site administrators developed a shared understanding of rigor as required by the CCSS. Tools for evaluating the quality of performance-based assessments were shared with all site administrators.  With the implementation of the Acuity Benchmark system to measure mastery of Common Core State Standards, teachers will be trained in August 2015 to use the assessment results to set achievement targets and monitor academic progress of target student groups including English learners, low-income students, and at-risk youth.  Results from the on-demand performance-based assessment (PBA) conducted in grades 1 – 5 Spring 2015 were analyzed for trends in student writing to determine next steps with instruction. Analysis includes English proficiency status, grade level, school, etc.	\$0 (CCSS Funds)

Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
ALL	<b>-</b> -	ALL		
OR:  _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		_x_Foster Youth _x	ils _x_English Learners _Re-designated fluent English proficient s:(Specify)students performing below	
1.h. Develop a plan to fully utilize instructional time	1.h Est. Cost: \$0	time. This is empha	tor monitors the use of instructional sized in the work of the district ILLT and to the sites through the site ILT neetings.	\$0
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
ALL		<u>x</u> ALL		
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)			sEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
I.i. Implementation of iTeams/STEM Professional Development and integrated curriculum	1.i Est. Cost: \$350,000 (CA Math and Science Partnership Grant)	City Schools, 14 from Valley School District participated in the or iTEAMS. This is a 3-professional develop Exploratorium. Empteaching practices in professional develop (five in summer and coaching model provapproximately 6 hou collaboration and 12 of this extensive train	grades 3 through 8 (32 from San Rafael in Dixie School District, 11 from Ross et, and 1 from Marin Community School) agoing professional development for eyear CaMSP grant to provide oment through SF State and the hasis is on inquiry practices and STEM attegrating CCSS and the NGSS. Eight oment days were provided each year three during the school year). This wided additional training for rs a year, along with 12 hours of hours of blended learning. As a result aning, students experienced a hands-on, ch to learning science and math with an ering.	\$310,000 (CA MASP Grant)
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
ALL		<u>x</u> ALL		

OR:  _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
T.j. Teachers in each school design programs that modify content, process and product to meet the needs of all students, including high achieving and gifted students.	\$0	As evidenced by reports from principals, all teachers differentiated instruction by modifying assignments and instruction to meet the needs of all students. Almost all K-5 teachers are GLAD-trained and regularly used GLAD differentiation strategies.  Other examples of differentiated instruction included homogeneous reading groups which consist of leveled literacy activities and varied materials for high students as well as struggling readers, varied questioning strategies using the Depth of Knowledge model to adjust the cognitive demand for each student, and project-based learning which requires students to work collaboratively to address a real-world problem.  A workshop on Differentiating the Common Core will be provided during the Summer Institutes in June.		\$0
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
ALL		ALL		
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:  _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English proficient _x_Other Subgroups:(Specify)_Students performing above or below grade level expectations.		
1.k. Expansion of Teacher Leader/Trainer of Trainers model in English Language Development.	1.k Est. Cost: \$20,000 Funding Source: CCSS funds	During the 2013-14 school year, ELD Teacher Leaders worked with a consultant on several release days to build capacity in integrated ELD; however, their scope of work resulted in limited impact on effective instruction systemwide. Although, the teacher leader/trainer of trainers model for ELA expanded with 13 literacy lead teachers at the K-5 level, and 12 literacy lead teachers at the middle school level.		\$0
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	

ALL		ALL			
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent Eng_Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:  _X_Low Income pupils _X_English Learners  _Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)			
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?	Restructuring the role of K-5 Literacy Lead Teacher/Common Core Coaches needs to be considered given that most K-5 schools will be participating in SEAL and will have a designated SEAL coach to support professional development in the primary grades. Additionally, the Newcomer program needs to be assessed for effectivenes and a more effective program needs to be considered.				
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:  2. Develop a district-wide high	ly effective, comprehensive and inte	grated English Lanç	Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1 2_x_ 3 4_x_ 5 6 7 8  COE only: 9 10  Local : Specify		
Goal Applies to: Schools: Bahia Vista Applicable Pupil Su	a, Coleman, Davidson, Glenwood, Laur ubgroups: English Learners	rel Dell, San Pedro, S	hort, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley		
Increased monitoring and s     Reclassified Fluent English     5% increase in students ma     proficiency (currently 59.4%     New set of criteria and proc	aking 1 year of progress on CELDT %) cess to determine English Language tion process /CC aligned interim	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	<ul> <li>Most of the CCS-aligned units of instruction include EL support. Units will be evaluated by the end of the school year to determine next steps.</li> <li>School site plans included a strong focus on English learner achievement data and improvement plans.</li> <li>The Board of Trustees adopted temporary reclassification criteria for 2014-15. 186 ELs in grades 1-8 were reclassified, 8.8%.</li> <li>New ELD assessments were purchased for implementation in 2015-16.</li> <li>Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI) was administered to all students gr. 2-8 in lieu of benchmark assessments. Average lexile gains are as follows:         <ul> <li>2nd</li> <li>229.2</li> <li>3rd</li> <li>393.3</li> <li>4th</li> <li>503.8</li> <li>5th</li> <li>599.7</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		

				6 <sup>th</sup>	681.8			
				<b>7</b> <sup>th</sup>	136.0			
				8 <sup>th</sup>	394.9			
				Gr. 2-8	419.7			
LCAP Year 0: 2014 -15 UPDATE								
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Service	es			
		Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
2.a. Develop and staff nev Language Development	v position (0.5 FTE) - Director of English	2.a. Est. Cost: \$70,000 Funding Source: LCFF	2a. Director of EL Programs hired 11-03-14.			\$58,000 Funding Source: LCFF		
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide				
ALL			ALL					
OR:			OR:					
	<u>x</u> English Learners		Low Income pupils _X_English Learners					
Foster YouthRo	e-designated fluent English proficient		Foster Youth _X_Re-designated fluent English proficient					
2.b. Assess current ELD s English Learner Master Pl pathways for all ELs inclu Learners. The plan will inc reclassification criteria and	systems and practices and develop a revised an that outlines programs, services and ding newcomers and Long Term English clude revamped identification and diservices and progress monitoring systems develop and support pathways for native	2.b. Est. Cost: \$10,000 (LCFF)	Other Subgroups:(Specify)  2b. Director of EL Programs submitted a work plan and timeline including revision of EL programs, reclassification criteria, ELD curriculum and assessment, etc. Efforts are underway to reconvene the district EL Task Force to review program data and make recommendations. Conducted a survey of principals to determine ELD program implementation districtwide.  The EL Task Force met twice in late winter to discuss EL program design and compliance issues. As part of the resolution of non-compliant findings, the EL Director drafted an Instruction Observation Protocol to enable the provision of feedback to teachers on the use of effective differentiation strategies and research-based instructional practices for English learners and other students needing academic language development.		\$0			

		An initial meeting with Davidson ELD teachers took place in early Spring to discuss possible modifications to core content courses to make them more accessible for ELs.	
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service: District-wide	
_ALL		ALL	
OR:Low Income pupils _x_English LearnersFoster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income pupils _x_English LearnersFoster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
2.c. Professional Development for teachers on new ELD standards and appropriate instructional strategies.	2.c Est. Cost: \$84,000 (LCFF)	2c. Professional development was provided to all K-5 teachers on new CCS ELD standards on January 5, 2015.	
appropriate instructional strategies.		Summer professional development will include a seminar on the integration of ELD standards into core content instructional units. K-5 teachers participating in summer school will receive training in the implementation of WRITE Institute instructional practices. These include integration of ELD standards and differentiation of writing instruction across the curriculum. Certificated teachers will then provide training to K-8 teachers in the 2015-16 school year.	\$0
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service: District-wide	
ALL	_	ALL	
OR: Low Income pupils _x_English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: Low Income pupils _x_English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
2.d. Expand sections for newcomers and intervention at middle schools	2.d. Est. Cost: \$75,000 (LCFF)	2.d. Three additional sections of ELD for EL1 and EL2 students were funded at Davidson Middle.	\$51,350 (LCFF)
Scope of service: Middle school programs- Davidson and Venetia Valley		Scope of service: Middle school programs – Davidson and Venetia Valley.	
ALL		ALL	

OR:Low Income pupils _x_English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income pupilsFoster YouthROther Subgroups:		
2.e. Literacy/ELD coaches focused on building strong and aligned literacy and ELD services at our schools with large EL populations  2.e. Est. Cost: \$ (LCFF)		2e. The Sobrato Early Academic Language program (SEAL) expanded at Venetia Valley and is also in place at Sun Valley and Coleman. A .5 FTE SEAL coach was placed at Venetia Valley, Coleman, and Sun Valley schools. SEAL provided intensive language and literacy education for EL students, pre-K to 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade with an emphasis on expressive and complex oral language development and enriched vocabulary. The strategies and curriculum are research-based and classroom-proven.  In addition to the SEAL program, aligned literacy and ELD services were supported by GLAD training (25 teachers for two days, 16 teachers for three days and a series of five after-school coaching sessions involving 25 teachers).  In all K-8 schools, UCB-HSSP consultants have provided training and guidance to the Common Core coaches and the literacy leads to address EL strategies more explicitly in the design of instructional units.		\$92,500 LCFF (Two .5 ELD coaches at VV and BV) LCFF \$70,000 LCFF (other SEAL costs)
Scope of service: Bahia Vista and Venetia Valley		Scope of service:	Sun Valley, Venetia Valley, Coleman	
ALL OR:Low Income pupils _x_English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		ALL OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
2.f. Maintain required of class size ratios at San Pedro School for final year of QEIA grant; TK-3rd=20:1 and 4th-5th=19:1	2.f Est. Cost: \$60,000 (LCFF)	2f. QEIA class-size re	eduction implemented at San Pedro.	\$60,000 LCFF
Scope of service: San Pedro		Scope of service:	San Pedro	
ALL		_X_ALL		

Foster You	e pupils <u>x</u> English Learners th <u>Re-designated fluent English proficient groups:(Specify)</u>	Other Subgroups:(Specify)			
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?  The SEAL program will be expanded to Laurel Dell, San Pedro, and Bahia Vista. At Coleman, Sun Valley, and Venetia Valley, second and third grade will participate in SEAL. Furthermore, a plan will be developed to incrementally implement integrated ELD in core content classes at the middle grades so as to free up a class period (designated ELD) that can be used for an elective.					
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:  3. Develop highly effective district-wide intervention and support services and programs which are connected with core content and that allow students to participate in electives and enrichment activities.  Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1_ 2_ 3_ 4_x_ 5_ 6_ 7_ 8_ COE only: 9_ 10_ Local: Specify					
Goal Applie	20 to:   001.00.01	dson, Glenwood, Laure		hort, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley	
<ul> <li>Staff trained on new Student Information System (SIS).</li> <li>Establish baseline achievement data using CAASPP.</li> <li>Develop a district-wide intervention and extended learning plan, including master scheduling to ensure that all students have access to electives and enrichment activities.</li> <li>Gather baseline data on student participation in enrichment activities and Electives</li> </ul>			Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Annual  Measurable  Middle School Enrichment Task Force convened. Ideas developed will be explored further for implementation.	
		LCAP Year 0: 2	014 -15 UPDAT	E	
	Planned Actions/Services Actual Actions/Services				
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
3.a. Implement new SIS system and use train-the-trainer model (release time) with representatives from all sites  3.a. Est. Cost: \$6,700 (LCFF)		At the K-5 level, teachers were trained to use the SIS to take attendance and input report cards. Additionally, at the 6-8 level teachers were also trained to post assignments viewable in the parent portal.		\$2,340 LCFF	

OR:

OR:

Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service: District-wide	
<u>x</u> ALL		_x_ALL	
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
3.b. Implement a district-wide data tracking/analysis system including refining our early warning system	3.b. Est. Cost: \$30,000 (LCFF)	3b. iResults was purchased; however, it was not implemented due to SIS issues. We anticipate that this tool will be utilized 2015-16 as the SIS issues are resolved.	\$28,625 LCFF
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service: District-wide	
ALL		_ALL	
OR:  _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: <u>x</u> Low Income pupils <u>x</u> English Learners <u>x</u> Foster Youth <u>Re-designated fluent English proficient</u> Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
3.c. Maintain and improve existing intervention services; determine high impact and evidence-based intervention practices; develop district RTI model ensuring that intervention is connected with the core content and instructional day.	3.c. Est. Cost: \$300,000 (LCFF)	3c. Instructional assistants were hired to support intervention (Bahia Vista 1.0, Coleman .75, Venetia Valley 1.5, Glenwood .75, Laurel Dell .25, San Pedro 1.375, Sun Valley .25, Short .25).  Director of EL Programs conducted a survey of all ESD sites for RTI implementation and process. Student Support Services and Educational Services Directors are in the process of co-planning RTI discussion and roll-out with principals.  Intervention services will be improved with the K-4 implementation of Fountas and Pinnell Intervention system which was piloted this year at Short Elementary School. This is a research-based approach that has significantly improved reading achievement in the pilot.	LCFF \$200,000 (IAs)
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service: District-wide	
ALL		ALL	

OR:  _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: _x_Low Income pup _x_Foster YouthOther Subgroups:	ils <u>x</u> English Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
3.d. Restructure and expand position-Coordinator of Afterschool and Extended Learning	3.d. Est. Cost: \$100,000 (ASES)	3.d. The role of the C Learning was expan- experiences beyond student mastery of C for academic, social programs, ensuring academic progress, planning, recruitmen transportation, staff to observation of progra principals regarding	\$118,000 ASES	
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
ALL		ALL		
OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)			ils <u>x</u> English Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
3.e. Summer school programs targeting English learners	3.e. Est. Cost: \$205,000 (LCFF); \$359,000 (BELL); \$138,000 (ASES)	3e. After observing classrooms, meeting with BELL representatives, and evaluating the assessment data for the elementary program run by the BELL program in summer 2014, we learned that the traditional BELL curriculum is not designed for ELLs. This was reflected in the lack of growth in literacy evidenced by the BELL pre and post assessments. At the middle school level growth was evident in literacy and math.  Attendance at both programs was over 90% and overall survey data by students, faculty and families was positive.		\$201,314 LCFF \$359,000 BELL \$138,324 ASES
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
ALL		ALL		

OR:  _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: _x_Low Income pup _x_Foster YouthOther Subgroups:			
3.f. Ensure resources to support Marin County School Volunteers and other organizations that provide tutoring services	3.f. Est. Cost: \$3,000 (ASES)		These funds were used to fund MCSV through an MOU so as to supply volunteers for tutoring in ASES programs at our K-5 schools.		
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide		
_x_ALL OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)  3.g. Begin implementation of AVID in the Middle School programs	3.g. Est. Cost: \$18,000 (Title I)	_x_ALL OR: _x_Low Income pup _x_Foster Youth _x _Other Subgroups: AVID has been expa strategies in all midd addition, AVID strate Notes and Socratic S professional develop classrooms at DMS. Teachers from both Director will be atten in July. In developing section for AVID as a	\$23,297 LCFF		
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	Disrtict-wide		
ALL OR:x_Low Income pupilsx_English Learnersx_Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)_ What changes in actions, services, After reviewin		_x_Foster Youth Other Subgroups:	ils _x_English Learners Redesignated fluent English proficient (Specify)		

What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

After reviewing achievement data for students who participated in summer school, the decision was made to use new curriculum to better meet the needs of EL students. The K-5 students showed little or no growth last year. This year Fountas & Pinnell Intervention system will be used along with the WRITE program. Middle School Summer School will continue to use the BELL program as the 5-8 students did show growth.

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:  Schools:  Schools:  Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davidson, Glenwood, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley Applicable Pupil Subgroups:  Low Income pupils, English Learners, Foster Youth  Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1_x_ 2_x_ 3_ 4_ 5_ 6_ 7_ 8_  COE only: 9_ 10_ Local: Specify Local: Specify  Applicable Pupil Subgroups:  Low Income pupils, English Learners, Foster Youth						
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	<ul> <li>Bridging materials purchased and/or devel</li> <li>Establish new district assessment system</li> </ul>	•	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	<ul> <li>Components or implementation</li> </ul>	-aligned math materials purcha f the assessment system have n in 2015-16. materials will be vetted, piloted	been purchased for
LCAP Year 0: 2014 -15 UPDATE						
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Ac	tions/Services	
		Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
4.a. Create curriculum committees with appropriate stakeholders \$0			4a. K-8 LCAP/Curriculum Advisory Council was convened in December and met through the end of May with teacher representatives from all K-8 sites to revise K-5 report cards, develop criteria for selecting an interim assessment system, and identifying an interim assessment plan. All K-8 schools are represented on the Council.		\$0	
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide		
x_ALL  OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		_x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				
4.b. Pilot appropriate CCS	S/ELD curriculum/programs	4.b. Est. Cost: \$0	piloted at Sun Valle LCAP/Curriculum A materials and recon	y in grades K throw dvisory Council re nmended that the		\$0

			Core than the version	n currently in place.	
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			<u>x</u> ALL		
Foster YouthR	English Learners e-designated fluent English proficient Specify)		Foster YouthF	sEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
standards aligne 2. Continued deve	lopment and/or purchase of appropriate ed materials (CCSS/ELD) lopment and/or purchase of appropriate ELD ed instructional materials	4.c1 Est. Cost: \$100,000 (LCFF) 4.c2 Est. Cost: \$50,000 (LCFF)	4c. Replacement materials for Everyday Math were purchased. The updated, CCSS-aligned version of Everyday Math has been purchased for implementation in 2015-16.		\$84,800 LCFF \$83,400 CCSS
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			_x_ALL		
OR:Low Income pupilsFoster YouthR:Other Subgroups:(	English Learners e-designated fluent English proficient Specify)			sEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
includes systemic use of f	e comprehensive assessment system that formative and interim SBAC and ELD aligned ting, and other components of the CAASPP as	4.d. Est. Cost: \$181,000 (LCFF)	as the provider of ou measure student ma our district-wide asso (ELD), ESGI (K-1), I	AC identified the SBAC-aligned Acuity or benchmark assessment system to estery of CCSS. Other components of essment system will include LAS Links DIBELS Next (reading fluency K-5), and eading intervention assessment).	\$110,900 (F & P) LCFF
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			_x_ALL		
Foster YouthR	English Learners e-designated fluent English proficient Specify)		Foster YouthF	sEnglish Learners Re-designated fluent English proficient (Specify)	

4.e. Train and support teachers in use/development of new n and assessments	A.e. Est. Cost: \$10,000 (Tittle II)	4.e Acuity was used for the state mandated second grade testing in May 2015. A trainer of trainers model was used to ensure that all second grade teachers were able to administer the assessment with fidelity. To implement Acuity in 2015-16, a trainer of trainers model will also be utilized. Teachers from each site will be trained in mid-August; they will train their colleagues in grade level groupings on August 19, 2015. Training for Las Links will be provided through an online format. Training for ESGI will be provided by our inhouse experts in after school trainings.		\$9,500 (2 <sup>nd</sup> ) \$67,500 (3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> ) LCFF
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
<u>x</u> ALL		<u>x</u> ALL		
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners		OR: Low Income pupil:	sEnglish Learners	
Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English p Other Subgroups:(Specify)	roficient		Re-designated fluent English proficient	
<ul> <li>What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?</li> <li>The K-8 LCAP/Curriculum Advisory Council will be expanded to include parents.</li> <li>Identifying and purchasing ELD materials will be a priority 2015-16.</li> </ul>				

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	S. Attract, support and retain the most effective teachers, support staff, and administrative staff.  Related State and/or Local Priorities:  1_x_2_x_3_4_5_6_7_8_  COE only: 9 10  Local: Specify				
Goal Applies	Applies to: Schools: Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davidson, Glenwood, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All students				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Establish baseline	highly qualified teachers. e for Teacher survey and PD evaluation metrics. es PLC developed tools, lessons and units.	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	<ul><li>are 0 miss-ass</li><li>A minimum of instruction are</li></ul>	S ESD teachers are highly qualified. There signments. two Common Core-aligned units of in place at each grade level, K-5, and in piect area, 6-8.

	LCAP Year 0: 2014 -15 UPDATE					
	Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services			
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
5.a. Update and revise sta	aff recruitment and selection processes.	5.a. Est. Cost: \$0	5.a. District recruitment plan developed and implemented, resulting in increased participation in Educator Job Fairs, selection and hiring of highly qualified teachers in anticipation of vacancies and shortage areas.		\$0	
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide		
_x_ALL			_x_ALL			
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
5.b. Develop effective pro employee orientation.	cesses for on-boarding staff and new	5.b. Est. Cost: \$0	5.b. Orientation for new employees in August 2014 was redesigned to include all new certificated, classified and administrative staff in order to provide information about the District's goals, the LCAP, CCSS implementation and necessary personnel information.		\$0	
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide		
_x_ALL			_x_ALL			
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
5.c. Review and negotiate changes in compensation packages, as appropriate, to ensure they are competitive and increase the district's ability to retain and attract high quality staff.  5.c. Est. Cost: As per negotiated agreement		5.C. Settlements were reached through the negotiation process with CSEA and SRTA for increases in ongoing compensation packages for 2014-15.		\$1.2 million (LCFF-Base)		
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide		
_x_ALL			_x_ALL			

OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
5.d. On-site coaching, district wide PD, and grade level collaboration meetings to support professional growth.	5.d. Cost embedded in actions 1.b., 1.c., 1.d., 1.f and 1.h.	5. d.Teachers at all K-8 schools benefitted from on-site coaching to support Common Core implementation. In addition, monthly grade level/department collaboration supported professional growth in literacy strategies, CCSS aligned unit development, and performance based assessments.	See 1.b., 1.c., 1.d., 1.f., and 1.h for related embedded costs
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service: District-wide	
<u>x</u> ALL		<u>x</u> ALL	
OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient  _Other Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners  _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
5.e. Develop a process to use exit interview strategies to gather data around teacher, support staff and administrative recruitment and retention.	5.e. Est. Cost: \$0	5.e. In process and to be completed in 2015-16, by the Chief Human Resources Officer and the Recruitment Task Force.	\$0
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service: District-wide	
_x_ALL		_ <u>x_</u> ALL	
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
5.f. Develop a clearly articulated shared understanding of highly effective classroom practices, school environments, and professional learning communities for all staff that drives both district and site professional development plans.	5.f. Est. Cost: \$0	With the formation of the K-8 LCAP/Curriculum Advisory Council, a shared understanding of effective classroom practices began to evolve. More work will continue on this goal in 2015-16.	\$0
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service: District-wide	
<u>x</u> ALL		<u>x</u> ALL	

Foster YouthROther Subgroups:(			Other Subgroups:	Re-designated flu (Specify)	ent English proficient	
5.g. New teacher support	including mentors (BTSA)	5.g. Est. Cost \$49,600 (LCFF)	5.g. In process and t Chief Human Resou Force.		n 2015-16, by the the Recruitment Task	\$48,000 LCFF
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide		
_x_ALL			_x_ALL			
OR:Low Income pupils	English Learners e-designated fluent English proficient (Specify)		OR:Low Income pupil:Foster YouthROther Subgroups:	Re-designated flu	rners ent English proficient	
and expenditures	What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?  A Recruitment Task Force was formed to identify new recruiting practices, which resulted in a new marketing approach and increased participation in local and regional job fairs.  The committee will continue its work in 2015-16, focusing on on-boarding processes, exit interview procedures and new teacher induction support.					
Original		into all alconomics t			Related State and/or	
GOAL 6. Inte	grate technology and 21st century tools	into ali ciassrooms ti	o support college and	career	1_x_ 2_x_ 3 4	
year	icoo.		COE only:			<del></del>
LCAP:					Local : Specify	
Goal Applies to:	Schools: Bahia Vista, Coleman, David	dson, Glenwood, Laur	el Dell, San Pedro, Short	t, Sun Valley, Vene	tia Valley	
Goal Applies to.	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: A	JI				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:  - Revised Technology Master Plan; - Improve student device ratio (currently 4:1) - Adopt/develop technology and digital citizenship scope and sequence - Implement new Student Information System (SIS) - Establish a baseline for parent/student portal usage of Student Information System (SIS) - Student device ration was improved (currently 3:1) New SIS was implemented.  - New SIS was implemented.  - Outcomes:				3:1).		
		LCAP Year 0: 2	014 -15 UPDATE			
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual A	ctions/Services	

		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
6.a. All staff support f	or new Student Information System	6.a. Est. Cost: \$40,000 (LCFF)	Training was provided for all K-5 teachers on using Infinite Campus to take attendance and enter grades for report cards. Administrative assistants at the K-5 sites were trained on printing report cards. Tech Leads were identified at each site to support their colleagues in using Infinite Campus. At the middle school level, all certificated staff were trained on posting assignments, aligning assignments with standards, using the grade book, and pushing out parent communications. Approximately fifty-eight percent of middle school teachers used Infinite Campus to post assignments. Ongoing training was offered for classified staff on particular IC topics as related to need. A .5 Help Desk position (Tech 2) was created to support staff with implementation of Infinite Campus Student Information System.		\$5,400 LCFF
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			_x_ALL		
Low Income pupilsFoster YouthRo	OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
6.b. Purchase an additional 1-2 Chrome Books cart per school		6.b. Est. Cost: \$150,000 (LCFF Funds)	6.b. The district purchased one additional Chrome Book cart each for Laurel Dell and Short; two additional each for Bahia Vista, Coleman, Glenwood, San Pedro and Sun Valley; and three additional each for DMS and Venetia Valley. This		\$40,400 CCSS
			research and comple electronically. Additi take assessments w using the tools they	students with greater access to conduct ete assignments for submission onally, students have the opportunity to hich are SBAC-aligned and to practice will need to use for successful quired, online, state assessment	\$150,000 LCFF
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			ALL		

OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR: _x_Low Income pupils _x_English Learners _x_Foster Youth _x_Re-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)_ 6.c Representatives from the middle school provided		
6.c. Research, vet and adopt/develop technology and digital citizenship scope and sequence; train middle school teachers		6.c. Est. Cost: \$5,000 (LCFF)	6.c Representatives from the middle school provided recommendations for two digital citizenship programs. The K-8 LCAP/Curriculum Advisory Council will review the programs for implementation in September 2015.		\$0 LCFF
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			_x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
6.d. Establish a baseline for parent/student portal		6.d. Est. Cost: \$0	6.d. Given the difficulties with the student information system this year, no baseline of usage could be established. A baseline will be established in 2015-16.		\$0 LCFF
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			_x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
and expenditures result of reviewi		-		eriences with technology, our teac such as Google Classroom, etc.	hers need more

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:

7. Create school communities that are culturally responsive, safe, supportive, and highly engaging for all students.

Related State and/or Local Priorities:

1\_\_ 2\_x 3\_\_ 4\_\_ 5\_x 6\_x 7\_\_ 8\_\_

COE only: 9\_\_ 10\_\_

Local : Specify \_\_\_\_

	Schools: Bahia Vista, Coleman, Davi	dson, Glenwood, Laur	el Dell, San Pedro, Sho	rt, Sun Valley, Venetia Valley		
Goal Applies to		ow Income pupils, Eng	lish Learners, Foster Yo	puth		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Students will receive instruction that is aligned with the Common Core.  Establish a new district assessment system so as to identify reliable, valid data (including initial CAASPP data) to use as a baseline. This system will include teacher/PLC developed SBAC/CC aligned interim summative assessments in math and ELA.		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	place at each grade level, K-5, and in every core subject area, 6-8. Components of the district assessment system have been purchased and will be implemented in 2015-16. In 2014-15, attendance rate was 95.94%. Middle school drop-out rate for 2014-15 is not available until late Fall 2015. In 2014-15, the middle school suspension rate is 7.0%.		
LCAP Year 0: 2014 -15 UPDATE						
	Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services			
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
7.a. Increase district r	nurse staffing by .5 FTE.	7.a. Est. Cost: \$46,000 (LCFF)	Completed.		\$ 47,000 LCFF	
Scope of service	e: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide		
_x_ALL			xALL			
OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
\$ T		7.b. Est. Cost: \$80,000 (Parcel Tax); \$80,000 (LCFF)	Completed.		\$84,500 LCFF	
Scope of service	e: District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide		
_x_ALL			_x_ALL			

OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English p _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	roficient	OR:  _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
7.c. Continue implementation of Positive Behavioral Intervent Supports (PBIS).	7.c. Est. Cost: \$13,000 (LCFF)	Ongoing. SV, BV, CO, and GL moved from Tier 2 to Tier 3 in implementing PBIS. Other schools reached Tier 2 by the end of the year.	\$3,000 LCFF
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service:	
_x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English pOther Subgroups:(Specify)		_x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
7.d. Develop a plan for all sites to participate in cultural comp professional development.	etency 7.d. Est. Cost: \$0	7.d.SRCS Equity Team facilitated by the National Equity Project, worked on developing a plan for district-wide cultural competency professional development. As part of this work, the Equity Team identified inequities within our system in terms of how students are served and analyzed conditions and structures that cause and perpetuate these inequities. In 2015-16, a plan will be developed for professional development in cultural competency, contingent upon funding.	\$35,000 (San Francisco Foundation grant)
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service: District-wide	
x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English pOther Subgroups:(Specify)		x_ALL  OR:     _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners     _Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficient     _Other Subgroups:(Specify)	
7.e. Fund 50% of implementation of PLAYWORKS at targeted with higher risk students.	d schools 7.e. Est. Cost: \$90,000 (LCFF)	PLAYWORKS was implemented at all K-5 targeted sites with the exception of Coleman and Laurel Dell. The Coleman School Site Council explored options for implementing a program similar to PLAYWORKS given that they had reached full capacity for providing PLAYWORKS coaches to SRCS schools. With PLAYWORKS, students received explicit, direct instruction in four core values:	\$60,000

				Principals reported the conflicts have been r	on, Respect, and Healthy Community.  nat office referrals for playground reduced by approximately 50% on argeted sites that implemented	
Scope of service:	District-wide			Scope of service:	Bahia Vista, Venetia Valley, San Pedro, Short	
_x_ALL				_x_ALL		
	English Learners e-designated fluent Engli Specify)	sh proficient		OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
7.f. Provide home to school	ol transportation to ensure high	n attendance.	7.f. Est. Cost: \$361,000 (LCFF)	Home to School Transtudents with nine so buses in the afternoon School Programs at	\$341,000 LCFF	
Scope of service:	District-wide			Scope of service:	District-wide	
<u>x</u> ALL				<u>x</u> ALL		
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				sEnglish Learners e-designated fluent English proficient (Specify)		
7.g. Create a plan to ensure that more students have access to electives and enrichment activities.		7.g. Est. Cost: \$0	7.g.The Middle Grad times and agreed that was unfeasible due to instruction in the core ways to expand the confirmed after school as well as continue in 2015-16.	\$0		
Scope of service:	District-wide			Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			_x_ALL			
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			Foster YouthR Other Subgroups:			
What changes in	actions, services,	Cultural cor	mpetency and res	sponsiveness was	explored on by the Equity Team; I	nowever, a

and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

concrete plan of action needs to be created for professional development that will touch all employees.

ECAP.					Related State and/or  1 2 3_x_ 4 5  COE only: 9  Local : Specify	5 6 7 8 0 10
Goal Applies to	Schools: Bahia Vista, Coleman, David Applicable Pupil Subgroups: L				ia Valley ino, Students with Disat	oility
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Annual  • Establish Parent Advisory Committee with representation from every site.			Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:  Baselines were set for parent attendance in the LCAP Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) and Parent Leader Group The Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) was established. In recruiting the committee, efforts were made to have representation from every site. However, not all sites are represented.		
		LCAP Year 0: 2	014 -15 UPDATE			
	Planned Actions/Services			Actual Ac	tions/Services	
		Budgeted Expenditures				Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
8.a. Ensure that all sites are using uniform, valid and reliable survey tools to gather data from families.		8.a. Est. Cost: \$0	At the January Principals' Meeting, principals and District Office administrators met to revise and align the schools' survey tools.  Surveys were aligned with a core set of questions common to all K-8 schools pulled from the Harvard Caring Schools Initiative survey, as well as site specific questions reflective of each school's unique community.		\$0	
Scope of service	e: District-wide		Scope of service:			
_x_ALL			_x_ALL			

OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				sEnglish Learners e-designated fluent English proficient (Specify)	
8.b. Create a Community Engagement and Communication plan.		8.b. Est. Cost: \$0	The Community Engagement and Communication plan was developed by the Communications Coordinator and the Director for Strategic Initiatives. The plan includes a series of meetings to inform the LCAP development process. One component of the plan was the creation of the Parent Advisory Committee.		\$0
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			ALL OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
8.c. Review and revise as appropriate the board policy around communication with families.		8.c. Est. Cost: \$0	Board policies regard reviewed at the Janu revisions were recom	\$0	
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service:	District-wide	
_x_ALL			_x_ALL		
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
8.d. All elementary sites will have sufficient, consistent, quality bilingual Community Liaisons and bilingual office to communicate effectively with families support.		8.d. Est. Cost: \$385,000 (LCFF)	All K-5 sites had a community liaison with the exception of Short School, which had one at the beginning of the year who resigned. We were unable to fill the position.		\$290,000 LCFF
Scope of service:	District-wide		Scope of service: District-wide		
_x_ALL			<u>x_</u> ALL		
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthR-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		
What changes in	actions, services, Continue prov	iding bilingual Comr	munity Liaisons. Mainta	ain the enhanced nursing staffing level. A	dd an additional

and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?

counselor focused on the therapeutic needs of students in the targeted subgroups. Expand Playworks to include Coleman, Bahia Vista, Laurel Dell, San Pedro, Short, and Venetia Valley. Recruit PAC members and other parent group committee members earlier and in close connection with the sites.

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:  9. Provide all schools with adequate classroo and athletic facilities (playground) that support students.  Schools:  Bahia Vista, Coleman, Day	t engaging 21st centi	
Goal Applies to:	All students	er Dell, Sair Fedro, Stiort, Suir Valley, Verletia Valley
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:  Master Facilities Plan developed with cost es Improved broadband and connectivity.	timates.	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:  Master Facilities Plan in place with cost estimates to lay the foundation for planning and identifying funding resources.  All classrooms and facilities are in good repair.
	LCAP Year 0: 2	014 -15 UPDATE
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services
	Budgeted Expenditures	Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
9.a. Complete master facilities plan and cost estimates including technology infrastructure needs assessment; develop a funding plan (state funding, local funding, and/or federal funding) and list of projects including timeline for scope and sequence; develop an RFP to identify A/E design teams	9.a. Est. Cost: \$100,000 (Fund 25)	9.a. The design team met throughout the year with various stakeholders and developed a master facilities plan (MFP) through a comprehensive input process with cost estimates and recommended timeline for scope and sequence.  In addition, the District finance team has developed a plan for financing and phasing in the MFP and the district hired a consultant to develop targeted informational communications to the community stakeholders.  The RPF process to identify A/E design teams will be completed in year 2 (year 1 - 2015-16).
Scope of service: District-wide		Scope of service: District-wide

<u>x</u> ALL			<u>x</u> ALL	
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR:Low Income pupilsEnglish LearnersFoster YouthRe-designated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
		9.b. Est. Cost: \$60,000 (E-Rate); \$11,000 (LCFF)	9.b.The purchase of equipment and services for upgrading the district internet bandwidth and IT infrastructure was approved by the Board of Education and was implemented over Spring Break.	\$159,000 LCFF
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?	Continue ma identification	aster facilities plani of resources to fu	ning process including IT infrastructure and upgrades. Would the district's facilities needs.	ork toward

# Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a schoolsite in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

### Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated: \$4,7

\$4,738,752

San Rafael Elementary School District's LCFF calculation reflects a total of \$4,738,752 in Supplemental LCFF funds for the 2015-16 school year. Actual proportionality expenditures are \$4,986,731. San Rafael Elementary School District's percent of unduplicated students is approximately 68%, and the funds are being used to support these students throughout the district in the following ways:

# Quantitative

- 1.b) Assessments (\$155,00): The comprehensive assessment system is intended to identify gaps in student achievement so that appropriate interventions can be provided. The English language development assessment will specifically monitor the language acquisition of our English learners to ensure that expected progress outcomes are being met. Improved monitoring of student progress will enable the establishment and delivery of targeted academic interventions.
- 1.c) ELD/CCSS Aligned Instructional Materials (\$208,000): The purchase of CCSS aligned curriculum for math, language arts, and English language development addresses the needs of our targeted populations by providing materials that make the standards accessible and align with the ELD standards. Improved instruction and support will generate gains in English proficiency.
- 1.d) Response to Intervention (\$110,000): Designing the Response to Intervention model will identify high impact and evidence-based practices. Implementation of this model will serve the needs of our targeted populations by providing research-based interventions to accelerate learning and increase academic achievement. Improved outcomes for students as a result of Instructional Assistants (\$300,000) serving the targeted populations to specifically address identified learning needs.
- 1.q) CELDT (\$50,000): Annual administration of the CELDT is an important monitoring tool for our EL students and their families. This assessment

measures growth in language acquisition in the areas of reading, writing, listening, and speaking and results can be compared with EL students across the state.

- 3.b) Computers/Tablets students (\$300,000): Numerous low-income students, EL students, and foster youth do not have access to technology in their homes; increased availability of computers and tablets at each school will build targeted student populations' facility with and knowledge of the use of computer technology.
- 3.c) Computers/Tablets staff (\$90,000): In order to provide the instruction and services required for our low-income students, EL students, and foster youth, staff needs access to reliable, current technology. Monitoring student progress to effectively address identified student needs through the interim benchmark assessments requires technology. Continually refreshing and updating computer technology will ensure teachers have the tools needed to appropriately serve targeted populations.
- 3.f) Site Level Grants (\$200,000): Establish and pilot a process to determine site allocations and/or process for site level grant proposals for complementary site-level services to targeted sub-groups. Allowing sites to innovate with the goal of accelerating student mastery of grade level standards will result in improved teacher efficacy in the analysis of student assessment data and delivery of intervention support as well as the development and delivery of CCS-aligned units of instruction. Further improved outcomes for targeted populations include increased numbers of vetted and shared CCSS-aligned meaningful units of instruction across all core content areas, improved teacher efficacy in the development and delivery of those units, refined tools for teacher collaboration and analysis of assessment data in Professional Learning Communities, and measureable student academic performance outcomes.
- 3.h) Transportation(\$410,000): Transportation services are prioritized in order to provide safe and efficient transportation to and from school, especially as necessary to ensure student access to the educational program, promote regular attendance, and reduce tardiness. Busses run throughout the district and serve all schools, with priority service to high need, unduplicated student populations who paid little or no fees. Maintaining home to school transportation in order to sustain high attendance rates is key to maximizing student learning.
- 4.c.2) Implement Gallup Poll to collect engagement data for targeted students in order to determine effectiveness of improvement efforts and continue refinement of services. (\$16,000)

## Qualitative

- 1.e) English learner Master Plan (\$90,000): The plan will increase support for EL students through research-based practices and differentiation in the content areas through peer coaching and use of the Observation Protocol. A focus on training content teachers in integrated ELD will result in improved instruction for English Learners in all content area classes.
- 1.g) Newcomer Program (\$131,000): A research-based program at the middle school level will positively impact the academic progress of our EL

students by providing instruction targeted to their specific needs. The provision of high interest courses that build academic vocabulary will result in accelerated acquisition of English for newcomers.

- 1.n) Summer School Program (\$200,000): Summer school will provide academic intervention focused on literacy and language development along with enrichment for EL students. Eradication of the customary summer loss of learning time along with a focus on English Language Arts (literacy) and mathematics will ensure that English Learner students return to school in August 2015 prepared to master the grade level standards.
- 1.0) ASES After School Education and Safety (\$107,000): This free, program serves a high percentage of our low-income students, English learners, and foster youth by providing academic support and enrichment activities aligned to the educational experiences of the school day.
- 2.b) Increased ELD support, research-based practices, and differentiation in content areas through peer coaching. (\$507,000) Peer coaching and use of the Instruction Observation Protocol will support practices for greater differentiation, attention to academic language production, and checking for understanding. These instructional improvements will lead to improved academic achievement for targeted student subgroups.
- 2.c) Lead Teachers in math, literacy, intervention, and technology will focus on integrating ELD standards into the core content, differentiating instruction for at-risk students, and guiding data analysis to improve instructional practices. Improved instruction will ensure greater academic gains, equitable access to college preparatory courses as students matriculate through middle and high school, and accelerated gains in English language proficiency. (\$89,150)
- 2.e) SEAL Program (\$704,381): In addition to the three schools participating in the Sobrato Early Academic Language (SEAL) program, three more schools will be implementing this model to support the academic growth of English Learners. SEAL is a powerful early literacy methodology designed to build the capacity of young English learner students to close the achievement gap by fourth grade.
- 2.f) Principal on Special Assignment (\$155,000): Support for meeting the needs of English learners and low-income students through effective teaching will be provided by this administrator for the non-SEAL schools and intermediate grade levels. Effective teaching correlates with increased academic achievement.
- 2.l) Professional Development for Classified staff (\$5,000): The focus of the professional development opportunities will be to provide classified staff with additional tools and resources to better support targeted students. Improved services targeted to English Learners, low income students, foster youth, and their families will result in increased student and parent engagement.
- 2.m) (\$25,000) Increase capacity of site administrators to effectively implement the Response to Intervention model and comprehensive assessment system to serve our targeted populations. Professional learning in vertically articulated teams of site leaders will build capacity of site leaders to ensure all courses and classrooms are aligned with the current state standards and at an appropriately elevated level of rigor.

- 4.a) Community Engagement (\$20,000): Increasing engagement and awareness of the LCAP process, facilities planning, programmatic changes, college readiness support programs, pre-school program, and extended learning opportunities (summer school and LEAP after school program) will support academic achievement among all students, but especially our targeted populations.
- 4.a.2) Continue to support 0.5 FTE of the Community Engagement and Communications Coordinator to continue to refine communication with and involvement of families of low-income students, foster youth, and English learners. (\$68,500)
- 4.e.1) Community Liaisons (\$419,000): ) Community Liaisons provide assistance to low-income families and families who speak a language other than English and link them to community-based services.
- 4.e.2) Maintain current staffing levels for bilingual secretaries to continue to support communication to students and families in the targeted subgroups. (\$100,000)
- 4.f) Provide 0.5 FTE Community Liaison support for students with disabilities. (\$20,000) This increased support will allow for greater parent participation in the IEP process.
- 5.a.1) Health Services (\$68,000): The availability of a nurse and health assistant is an important resource for our students and their families who are low-income, English learners, or foster youth as their situation may limit access to health services,
- 5.a.2-3) Counseling Services (\$243,000 & \$79,000): The availability of counselors to support students in the targeted subgroups is critical for supporting a focus on learning and academic achievement.
- 5b.1) Positive Behavioral Interventions and Support (PBIS) (\$10,700): This program explicitly addresses skills for making appropriate choices, interacting with others, communicating needs /feelings and resolving conflicts. These are important skills for all students, but especially our low-income students, English learners, and foster youth.
- 5f) Suicide Prevention (\$10,000): Many students in our targeted subgroups are at-risk due to trauma and instability. Resources for alternatives to suicide are critical.
- 5g) Playworks (\$96,000): This program is built on the core values of hard work, compassion, courage, respect, and responsibility. As a result of this program, principals report that there is less bullying, less exclusionary behavior, and fewer discipline issues. The research documents that in schools implementing Playworks, students participate more in academics and have an increased ability to focus on academics which are clearly benefits for our targeted subgroups.

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

14.64 %

The San Rafael Elementary School District is increasing and/or improving services in many ways for our low-income students. English learners, and foster youth who comprise approximately 68% of enrollment (unduplicated.) By providing home to school transportation, attendance rates are positively impacted which correlates with academic achievement. To address the needs of our EL new comer students at the middle school level, we have added additional sections to the master schedule at Davidson and Venetia Valley. The district has invested in an assessment system to measure the progress of English learners at specific intervals throughout the year so that instruction can be adjusted as necessary to insure progress in language acquisition. Professional development provided by the common core coaches, consultants, and the BTSA program supported teachers with integration of the new ELD standards into their common core aligned units of instruction. The summer school program is exclusively for English learners and is designed to support growth in language acquisition, reading, and writing using research-based curriculum specifically designed for English learners. Programs such as Playworks and Positive Behavior Intervention Support, provide students in our targeted subgroups with life skills that foster appropriate decision making and build resiliency. Our bilingual Community Liaisons and Administrative Assistants along with nursing services and counselors are much needed resources for lowincome students. English learners, and foster youth who need support in not only navigating the educational system, but the system of agencies beyond the school walls as well. We continue to increase our student access to technology through the purchase of ChromeBooks which is essential for our targeted subgroups as many do not have access at home. According to the proportionality calculations included in the Local Control Funding Formula and prepared for the adopted budget, the percentage of increased or improved services is 14.64%. The total amount of services identified in section 3A and described in the list of targeted expenditures for low-income students, English learners, and foster youth (\$4,986,731) exceeds the minimum proportionality (\$4,738,752.)

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 42238.07 and 52064, Education Code. Reference: Sections 2574, 2575, 42238.01, 42238.02, 42238.03, 42238.07, 47605, 47605.5, 47606.5, 48926, 52052, 52060-52077, and 64001, Education Code; 20 U.S.C. Section 6312.

#### LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 300.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
  - (2) The total number of cohort members.
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
  - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
  - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

8-22-14 [California Department of Education]