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## Tab 1

## General Obligation Bond Introduction

Secured by an ad valorem tax on all taxable property within the School District's boundary

Ad valorem taxes create a new revenue stream for the School District

E Requires voter approval of tax
Highest level of investor security provides issuers with the lowest borrowing cost

B Bonding Capacity
$\checkmark$ Elementary and high school districts: 1.25\% of Assessed Value
$\checkmark$ Unified and community college districts: $2.50 \%$ of Assessed Value

## What are General Obligation Bonds?

妾 Proposition 46 ("Prop 46")
$\checkmark$ Separate Account/Annual Report
$\checkmark$ No maximum tax rate
$\checkmark 2 / 3$ rds voter approval required
플 Proposition 39 ('Prop 39'")
$\checkmark$ Separate Account/Annual Report
$\checkmark$ List of specific school facility projects to be funded
$\checkmark$ Citizens' Oversight Committee (COC)
$\checkmark$ Performance and Financial Audits
$\checkmark 55 \%$ voter approval required
Maximum tax rate as a result of any single Prop 39 election must be projected to be no more than (per $\$ 100,000$ of Assessed Value):
$\checkmark \$ 30$ for elementary and high school districts
$\checkmark \$ 60$ for unified school districts
$\checkmark \$ 25$ for community college districts


## E Assessed Valuation Drives Access

$\checkmark$ Voter Approved Authorization $\neq$ Cash Available Now
$\checkmark$ Higher growth allows earlier issuance
$\checkmark$ Lower growth / decline hinders issuance
$\checkmark$ Tax Rate Caps - Legal vs. Political Restriction?

$\checkmark$ Most bond programs are multi-year projects built into the plan

## Tax Rates

$$
\text { Tax Rate }=\frac{\text { Annual Debt Service }}{\text { Assessed Valuation }}
$$

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Therefore, bonding capacity at a given tax rate } \\ \text { is a function of the following: }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 Fact | $\square$ Beginning Assessed Valuation of Taxable Property |
| 2 Assumptions | $\begin{array}{l}\square \text { Assumed Growth Rate of Assessed Valuation } \\ \square\end{array}$ |
| 2 Assumed Interest Rates on Bonds |  |$\}$| $\square$ Numbers of Years Tax to be Levied |
| :--- |
| $\square$ Timing and Amount of Individual Bond Sales |



Tab 2

## School District General Obligation Bonds Outstanding

## Historical Assessed Valuation

| FY Ending | Assessed <br> Valuation | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1,475,904,856 |  |
| 1992 | 1,603,042,371 | 8.61\% |
| 1993 | 1,761,118,454 | 9.86\% |
| 1994 | 1,835,825,149 | 4.24\% |
| 1995 | 1,959,691,158 | 6.75\% |
| 1996 | 1,994,157,058 | 1.76\% |
| 1997 | 2,064,635,259 | 3.53\% |
| 1998 | 2,188,418,219 | 6.00\% |
| 1999 | 2,300,515,957 | 5.12\% |
| 2000 | 2,529,692,955 | 9.96\% |
| 2001 | 2,727,632,556 | 7.82\% |
| 2002 | 2,919,205,720 | 7.02\% |
| 2003 | 3,129,294,526 | 7.20\% |
| 2004 | 3,442,730,744 | 10.02\% |
| 2005 | 3,705,807,440 | 7.64\% |
| 2006 | 3,993,736,385 | 7.77\% |
| 2007 | 4,346,190,128 | 8.83\% |
| 2008 | 4,691,573,690 | 7.95\% |
| 2009 | 4,990,082,874 | 6.36\% |
| 2010 | 5,148,851,237 | 3.18\% |
| 2011 | 5,135,693,886 | -0.26\% |
| 2012 | 5,300,749,715 | $3.21 \%$ |
| 2013 | 5,505,717,422 | 3.87\% |
| 2014 | 5,746,779,964 | 4.38\% |
| 2015 | 6,103,777,255 | 6.21\% |
| 2016 | 6,447,498,179 | 5.63\% |
| 2017 | 6,817,735,467 | 5.74\% |
|  | 10 -year average | 4.63\% |
|  | 26-year average | 6.09\% |

Historical \% Change in Total Assessed Value


| Fiscal Year | Assessed Value | Factor | Statutory Bonding <br> Capacity |  | Outstanding Principal <br> as of 9/7/16 | Remaining <br> Statutory Capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $6,817,735,467$ | $2.50 \%$ | $170,443,387$ |  | $22,627,476$ | $147,815,911$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Aggregate Projected Tax Rates


${ }^{(1)}$ Assumes 4\% growth for FY 17-18 and each year thereafter.

## General Obligation Bonds Outstanding

| Issue | Dated <br> Date | Final <br> Maturity | Original <br> Principal | Principal Outstanding <br> as of 9/7/16 | Next Call Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 Election, Series A | $8 / 30 / 2005$ | $8 / 1 / 2020$ | $\$ 14,999,976$ | $\$ 1,932,476$ | Not Callable |
| 1999 Election Series A | $4 / 1 / 2000$ | $8 / 1 / 2025$ | $\$ 3,500,000$ | $\$ 1,830,000$ | Not Callable |

> The 2004 Election, Series B and C Bonds can be advance refunded for taxpayer savings

## Comparison of Neighboring School Districts

Neighboring School Districts 2015-2016 GO Bond Tax Rates*


## Comparison of Neighboring School Districts

## Comparison of Total Voter-Approved Taxes

| La Canada USD |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Estimated Assessed Value | $\mathbf{\$ 8 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| General | $\$ 1.000000$ |
| Metropolitan Water District | 0.003500 |
| La Canada USD GO Bond | 0.061725 |
| Pasadena Area CCD | 0.008722 |
| Total Tax Rate | $\mathbf{1 . 0 7 3 9 4 7}$ |
|  |  |
| La Canada USD Parcel Tax (Measure LC - 2021) | 450.00 |
| Annual Approved Tax / Year (\$) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 , 0 4 1 . 5 8}$ |


| San Marino USD |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Estimated Assessed Value | $\mathbf{\$ 8 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| General | $\$ 1.000000$ |
| Metropolitan Water District | 0.003500 |
| San Marino USD | 0.071135 |
| Pasadena Area CCD | 0.008722 |
| Total Tax Rate | $\mathbf{1 . 0 8 3 3 5 7}$ |
|  |  |
| San Marino USD Parcel Tax (Measure R - 2019) | 330.00 |
| San Marino USD Parcel Tax (Measure E-2021) | 865.00 |
| Annual Approved Tax / Year (\$) | $\mathbf{\$ 9 , 8 6 1 . 8 6}$ |


| Palos Verdes Peninsula USD |  | South Pasadena USD |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Manhattan Beach USD |  |
| Estimated Assessed Value | \$800,000 | Estimated Assessed Value | \$800,000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Estimated Assessed Value | \$800,000 |
| General | \$1.000000 | General |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan Water District | 0.003500 |  |  | General | \$1.000000 |
| Palos Verdes Peninsula USD | 0.023433 | Metropolitan Water District | 0.003500 | Metropolitan Water District | 0.003500 |
| Los Angeles CCD | 0.035755 | South Pasadena USD | 0.098104 | Manhattan Beach USD GO Bond | 0.066579 |
| Palos Verdes Library District | 0.005630 | Pasadena Area CCD | 0.008722 | El Camino CCD | 0.017447 |
| Total Tax Rate | 1.068318 | Total Tax Rate | 1.110326 | Total Tax Rate | 1.087526 |
| Palos Verdes USD Parcel Tax (Measure M no expiration) | 374.00 | South Pasadena USD Parcel Tax (Measure S - 2017) | 386.00 | Annual Approved Tax / Year (\$) | \$8,700.21 |
| Annual Approved Tax / Year (\$) | \$8,920.54 | Annual Approved Tax / Year (\$) | \$9,268.61 |  |  |



## Tab 3

## Potential 2017 General Obligation Bond Scenarios

## Potential 2017 Election Tax Rate Extension (\$30)

Projected Tax Rates of Outstanding Bonds ${ }^{(1)}$


Projected Tax Rates Under 2017 Election - Without Outstanding Bonds ${ }^{(1)(2)}$


[^0]
## Potential 2017 Election Tax Rate Extension (\$30)

> A successful General Obligation bond election held in 2017 would allow the School District to issue new money as soon as early 2018 and $\$ 50$ million in total
Wraps around existing general obligation bond debt, maintains a not-to-exceed tax rate of $\$ 30$ across all of the School District's outstanding general obligation bonds

Projected Tax Rates Under 2017 Election - Tax Rate Extension ${ }^{(1)(2)}$


[^1]${ }^{(2)}$ Scale based on MMD 'AA' 10 year average per maturity.

## Potential 2017 Election No Wrap (\$30 Tax Rate)

> A successful General Obligation bond election held in 2017 would allow the School District to issue new money as soon as early 2018
> Assumes a new $\$ 30$ tax rate with four series; the first series in 2018 and the final series in 2027

Projected Tax Rates Under 2017 Election ${ }^{(1)(2)}$



[^2]${ }^{(2)}$ Scale based on MMD 'AA' 10 year average per maturity.

## Potential 2017 Election Tax Rate Extension (\$45)

## Projected Tax Rates of Outstanding Bonds ${ }^{(1)}$



Projected Tax Rates Under 2017 Election - Without Outstanding Bonds ${ }^{(1)(2)}$


[^3]
## Potential 2017 Election Tax Rate Extension (\$45)

> A successful General Obligation bond election held in 2017 would allow the School District to issue new money as soon as early 2018 and $\$ 108$ million in total
Wraps around existing general obligation bond debt, maintains a not-to-exceed tax rate of $\$ 45$ across all of the School District's outstanding general obligation bonds

Projected Tax Rates Under 2017 Election - Tax Rate Extension ${ }^{(1)(2)}$


[^4]
## Potential 2017 Election No Wrap (\$45 Tax Rate)

> A successful General Obligation bond election held in 2017 would allow the School District to issue new money as soon as early 2018
> Assumes a new $\$ 45$ tax rate with four series; the first series in 2018 and the final series in 2027

Projected Tax Rates Under 2017 Election ${ }^{(1)(2)}$
Tax Rate per $\$ 100,000$ of AV

|  | Series 2018 | Series 2021 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Issue Date | $2 / 1 / 2018$ | $8 / 1 / 2021$ |
| Par Amount | $\$ 35,000,000$ | $\$ 29,000,000$ |
| Project Amount | $34,520,000$ | $28,568,000$ |
| Final Maturity Date | $8 / 1 / 2047$ | $8 / 1 / 2051$ |
| Projected Repayment Ratio | 1.70 | 2.03 |
| Percentage of CIBs | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Percentage of CABs | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

(1) Assumes $4 \%$ growth for FY 17-18 and every year thereafter.
(2) Scale based on MMD 'AA' 10 year average per maturity.

## Potential 2017 Election Tax Rate Extension (\$60)

## Projected Tax Rates of Outstanding Bonds ${ }^{(1)}$



Projected Tax Rates Under 2017 Election - Without Outstanding Bonds ${ }^{(1)(2)}$


[^5]
## Potential 2017 Election Tax Rate Extension (\$60)

> A successful General Obligation bond election held in 2017 would allow the School District to issue new money as soon as early 2018 and $\$ 149$ million in total
> Wraps around existing general obligation bond debt, maintains a not-to-exceed tax rate of $\$ 60$ across all of the School District's outstanding general obligation bonds

Projected Tax Rates Under 2017 Election - Tax Rate Extension ${ }^{(1)(2)}$


[^6]${ }^{(2)}$ Scale based on MMD 'AA' 10 year average per maturity.

## Potential 2017 Election No Wrap (\$60 Tax Rate)

> A successful General Obligation bond election held in 2017 would allow the School District to issue new money as soon as early 2018
> Assumes a new $\$ 60$ tax rate with four series; the first series in 2018 and the final series in 2027

${ }^{(1)}$ Assumes 4\% growth for FY 17-18 and every year thereafter.
${ }^{(2)}$ Scale based on MMD 'AA' 10 year average per maturity.


[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Assumes $4 \%$ growth for FY 17-18 and every year thereafter
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Scale based on MMD ‘AA’ 10 year average per maturity.

[^1]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Assumes 4\% growth for FY 17-18 and every year thereafter.

[^2]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Assumes 4\% growth for FY 17-18 and every year thereafter.

[^3]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Assumes 4\% growth for FY 17-18 and every year thereafter.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Scale based on MMD 'AA’ 10 year average per maturity.

[^4]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Assumes 4\% growth for FY 17-18 and every year thereafter.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Scale based on MMD 'AA' 10 year average per maturity.

[^5]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Assumes $4 \%$ growth for FY 17-18 and every year thereafter.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Scale based on MMD ‘AA’ 10 year average per maturity.

[^6]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Assumes 4\% growth for FY 17-18 and every year thereafter.

