#### Local Control and Accountability Plan 2017 District Review Checklist Pre-Review For: Complete Plan

La Canada Unified

UDP Percentage: 6.78%

Plan Summary	Y/N	Clarification Required for Approval	Additional Comments
The Story	Y		
LCAP Highlights	Υ		
Greatest Progress	Υ		
Greatest Needs	Υ		
Performance Gaps	Υ		
Increased or Improved Services	Y		

Budget Summary	Y/N	Clarification Required for Approval	Additional Comments
Total General Fund Budget Expenditure for LCAP Year.	Υ		Total GF budgeted expenditure of \$46.5M is comparable to \$45.8M projected in the 16-17 2nd interim. RC
Total Funds Budgeted for Planned Actions/Services to Meet the Goals in the LCAP for LCAP Year.	Υ		The total funds budgeted in the LCAP is \$31,727,225 which is consistent with the elcap expenditure
Description of any of the General Fund Budget Expenditures <u>not</u> included in the LCAP.	Υ		summary totalASM
Total Projected LCFF Revenues for LCAP Year.	Y		\$32,142,036 LCFF Revenues is consistent with the \$32,142,036 on the RAD form dated 5/22/17ASM

### Local Control and Accountability Plan 2017 District Review Checklist

La Canada Unified

Pre-Review For: Complete Plan UDP Percentage: 6.78%

Annual Update		Y/N	Clarification Required for Approval	Additional Comments
All information carried forwar from 2016-17 LCAP verbatim	·d	N	Information Carried forward Verbatim Need to correct the following discrepancies: Goal 7: Action 7/Expenditures Budgeted (Pg. 81) New Plan:	Reviewed by AP on 6/19/17  Delete Goals 8-10
All actual annual outcomes described		Υ	2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries Other \$11,759 (Pg. 139) Old Plan: 6000-6999: Capital Outlay Other \$11,759	
All actual action/services desc	cribed	N	Actual Outcomes Reviewed by AP on 6/19/17 Goal 3: CAASSPP scores - Actual Outcome reads "will be released in late	
All Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures included		Y	summer." Report on the most current data available each year in the Spring when LCAP is developed. Use the 2016 scores.  Actual Actions/Services: 2.5 - Planned and Actual are exactly the same.	
Description of overall implement	entation	Y	Changes: Goals 1- 3: Describe any changes for 2017-18. No need to	
Description of overall effective	eness	Υ	describe what is continuing. If there are no changes to the goal, write a statement to that effect.  OK: Goals 4, 5, 6, 7	
Explanation of material difference between budget expenditures Estimated Actual Expenditure	s and	Y		
Description of any changes maincluding analysis of Evaluatio (identification of where change found)	n Rubrics	N		S&C Estimated Actual Expenditures reflect 91% of the budgeted S&C expenditures.
Amount of S&C funds identified in section 3A for 2016-17	<b>\$753</b> ,	166		
Total Estimated Actual Annual S&C Expenditures	\$684,	088		

### Local Control and Accountability Plan 2017 District Review Checklist

La Canada Unified

Pre-Review For: Complete Plan UDP Percentage: 6.78%

Stakeholder Engagement	Y/N	Clarification Required for Approval	Additional Comments
LCAP year checked	Υ	Verify Dates below: The Narrative states November 2017 in first sentence.	
Involvement process for LCAP and Annual Update	Y	P. 92 - April 18, 2016.	
Impact on LCAP and Annual Update	Y	p. 94 - Supplemental Grant dollars \$343,579. Should that read 2016-17 instead of 2015-16?	
Evidence of required consultation with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units of the school distrct, parents, and pupils	Υ	Page 92 Change " first reading will be held" to "was held on	
Evidence of required parent advisory committee and EL parent advisory committee commenting on the LCAP	Y		
Evidence of the superintendent response, in writing, to any comments from the PAC and EL PAC committees.	Y		

### Local Control and Accountability Plan 2017 District Review Checklist

La Canada Unified

Pre-Review For: Complete Plan UDP Percentage: 6.78%

Goals, Actions and Services	Y/N	Clarification Required for Approval	Additional Comments
Goals identified as New, Modified or Unchanged	N	Need to indicate if Goal 7 is New, Modified or Unchanged.	Ensure that the Priorities checked for each goal match the outcome or actions/services.
1. Basic			Goals #5 - Priorities 2-8 & Goal #6 Priorities 1-8 are
- Teacher	Υ		checked. However, there are no Outcomes, Actions/Services related to all of these priorities. For
- Materials		Need to address implementation of state standards.	example, Priority 3 is Parent Involvement. Where is
- Facilities	Υ	]	the action/service or outcome related to Parent
2. State Standards		Need to address parent participation and input into decision-making.	Involvement? Priorities 5 & 6 School Climate, Student Engagement appear to be addressed in Goal
3. Parent Involvement	N	decision making.	5.
- Parent Participation	N		
- Decision-making	N		Goals 8, 9 and 10 are blank and should be deleted.
4. Pupil Achievement	Υ		
5. Pupil Engagement	Υ		
6. School Climate	Υ		
7. Course Access	Υ		
8. Other Outcomes	Υ		

Identified Needs	Y/N	Clarification Required for Approval	Additional Comments
Needs identified including supporting data and evaluation rubric data	Υ		

### Local Control and Accountability Plan 2017 District Review Checklist

La Canada Unified

Pre-Review For: Complete Plan UDP Percentage: 6.78%

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes	Y/N	Clarification Required for Approval	Additional Comments
Pupil Achievement		It appears that Actions/Services are included where the Metric/Indicators should be. The Metrics from the	For some Goals - The same information is included in the Metric column and the Actions/Services column.
Statewide Assessments	N	prior year's LCAP were not included in the new LCAP.	It is not necessary to include in both sections.
API or local indicators	N	Refer to your Outcomes from last year which included	
College/Career Ready (a-g/CTE)	N	all of the required metrics. Also, refer to the emails sent with sample format for all required metrics.	
EL Proficiency	Υ	The Metrics, Baseline and AMAOs columns needs to	
Reclassification rate	Υ	reflect the following information.	
Score of 3 or higher on AP Exam	N	METRICS: All of the required metrics must be listed in the Metrics column. i.e. "SBAC ELA"	
Prepared for College (EAP)	N	BASELINE: Include the most recent data associated with each metric. i.e. "SBAC ELA 2015-16 - Overall	
Pupil Engagement		42%"	
Attendance rates	N	MEASURABLE OUTCOMES: Include an outcome for each LCAP year. i.e.	
Chronic Absenteeism rates	N	2017-18 - 45%, 2018-19 - 50%, 2019-20 - 55%	
Middle School dropout rates	N	Need to Address: -Fully credentialed and appropriately assigned	
High school dropout rates	N	teachers.	
High School graduation rates	N	All students will have instructional materials.  Facilities will be in "good repair" or better.	
School Climate		Metric says "reclassification," however Baseline reads	
Suspension rates	N	English Proficiency. Data is reclassification percentages. Need to change the Baseline narrative.	
Expulsion rates	N		
Safety & school connectedness	N		

### Local Control and Accountability Plan 2017 District Review Checklist

La Canada Unified

Pre-Review For: Complete Plan UDP Percentage: 6.78%

Actions & Services	Y/N	Clarification Required for Approval	Additional Comments
Actions/Services included as contributing or not contributing to meeting the Increased or Improved Services Requirement	N	Most actions are marked in boxes as both contributing and not contributing. 1.1,1.8,3.1,3.3,3.4,3.5,3.6,3.8,3.9,3.10,3.11,3.12,3.13,3.14, 3.15,7.1,7.2,7.3,7.5,7.6,7.7,7.8,7.9,7.10	LCAP includes CTE PathwaysCTE Unit
Students to be served	N	Actions with no boxes checked: 3.7, 3.16, 7.4  Scope missing:	
Scope of service	N	1.6,2.5,2.6,2.7,4.10,4.11,4.12,4.13,4.14,5.7,5.8,5.9,6.5 Locations were missing in almost all of the actions.	
Locations	N	New and Modified checked in Action 7.1	
Actions/Services are identified as New, Modified or Unchanged	N	Reminder: Ensure that actions/services marked as NOT Contributing are funded with BASE while actions Contributing to meeting	
Actions/Services for all pupils in each state priority area	Y	the increased or improved services requirement are funded with supplemental.	
Low Income	Υ	Also any action/service marked as contributing must be above the base level service. The new template does not	
English Learners	Υ	allow for base and increased services to be in the same action. These will need to be separated out and marked as	
Reclassified	Υ	either "Contributing" or "Not Contributing"	
Foster Youth	Υ	Until all actions/services are marked correctly as contributing or not, we are unable to determine if services	
Actions and services marked as contributing to increasing or improving services demonstrate growth in quantity or quality	N	demonstrate growth in quality or quantity.	

### Local Control and Accountability Plan 2017 District Review Checklist

La Canada Unified

Pre-Review For: Complete Plan

UDP Percentage: 6.78%

Expenditures	Y/N	Clarification Required for Approval	Additional Comments
Funding source(s) listed	Υ		The district identifies \$441,361 in estimated Supplemental funds, representing 98.22% of the projected 17-18 Supplemental funds. RC 6-21-17
Budget reference using CSAM descriptions	Υ		
Budget sufficient to support plan	Υ		
Expenditures identified as contributing to meeting increased or improved service requirement reflect, at a minimum, the amount equivalent to the amount identified in 5CCR 15496(a)	Υ		The total S&C expenditures included in the LCAP \$632,022 meets the minimum S&C funding of \$449,376 per the RAD dated 5/22/17. –ASM

### Local Control and Accountability Plan 2017 District Review Checklist

La Canada Unified

Pre-Review For: Complete Plan UDP Percentage: 6.78%

Increased or Improved Services	Y/N	Clarification Required for Approval	Additional Comments
LCAP year identified	Υ	The total S&C funding identified in the LCAP \$441,361 is not consistent with minimum S&C funding	
Amount of Supplemental/Concentration Funds for LCAP year is identified and agrees to line 5 of proportionality calculation determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).	Z	of \$449,376 per the RAD form dated 5/22/17ASM  The MPP 1.3% identified on the LCAP is not consistent with the MPP 1.42% on the RAD form dated 5/22/17ASM	
Percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year is identified for LCAP year and agrees to line 7 of proportionality calculation determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7).	N	In this section, <u>all actions/services</u> that were identified as an increased or improved service will need to be listed. For <u>any LEA-wide or schoolwide services</u> , include a explanation to demonstrate why that particular <u>action/service</u> is the most effective in meeting the needs of your UDPs. Also, the	
Description of increased/improved services to meet MPP requirement aligns to actions/services indicated as "Contributes to Increased or Improved Services" in Goals, Actions, and Services section.	N	description should reference research, experience or educational theory because your unduplicated pupil percentage is below 55%.  Once you have identified each action/service as Contributing or NOT contributing, this section must	
Includes justification for district/school-wide use describing how such actions/services are "principally directed" towards and effective in meeting district goals for unduplicated pupils.	N	describe each action/service that is identified as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.  Only increased/improved services should be	
If < 55% district-wide/<40% schoolwide unduplicated count, includes explanation of how this such actions/services are the "most effective use" of funds, including alternatives considered and supporting research, experience, or educational theory.	N	described. For example, ELA adoption is not an increased or improved service.	