LAUREL DELL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT CARD

Reported for School Year 2014-2015, Published during 2015-2016

District Information:

San Rafael City Schools

310 Nova Albion Way San Rafael, CA 94903 415-492-3200

http://www.srcs.org

Dr. Michael R. Watenpaugh, Superintendent



School Information:

Laurel Dell Elementary School

225 Woodland Avenue San Rafael, CA 94901 415-485-2317

http://www.laureldell.srcs.org

Pepe Gonzalez, Principal



I. DATA AND ACCESS

SARC Data

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Cars (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the new Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

Additional Information

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- > For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

II. ABOUT THIS SCHOOL

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Laurel Dell Elementary School is a small, multi-cultural school located in central San Rafael. It draws its attendance from the Bret Harte, Picnic Hill, and East Gerstle Park neighborhoods, and is considered a school of request by families throughout San Rafael. Laurel Dell prides itself on the power of its community and a true sense of family among the students, families and staff.

Laurel Dell is committed to teaching basic learning skills, offering enrichment programs in Art, Music, P.E., Garden, and Dance. The school community is dedicated to providing equal access to educational opportunities for all students, and challenging students at their educational level. Involving families and providing educational and support opportunities is an important part of this work. Laurel Dell's Vision Statement:

- Students will develop a deep understanding of essential knowledge, benchmark state standards, and life skills.
- Students will develop the capacity to apply their learning, to produce quality work, to reason and solve problems, preparing them for the challenges of the future.
- Students will be enriched by recognizing the contributions of many cultures, values, and ideas.
- Each student's unique physical, social, emotional, and intellectual contributions are valued, ensuing a safe and supportive learning environment.
- Effective collaboration and communication between school staff, district staff, families, and community members will facilitate the shared responsibility of advancing the school's mission and goals.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-2015)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

| Grade Level | Number of Students | Grade Level | Number of Students |
|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Kindergarten | 22 | Grade 4 | 47 |
| Grade 1 | 44 | Grade 5 | 52 |
| Grade 2 | 24 | | |
| Grade 3 | 24 | Total Enrollment | 213 |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 2 OF 17

II. ABOUT THIS SCHOOL

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-2015)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

| Group | Percent of Total Enrollment | Group | Percent of Total Enrollment |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Black or African American | 1.9% | White | 13.6% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 2.8% | Two or More Races | 0% |
| Asian | 0.5% | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 88.3% |
| Filipino | 0.5% | English Learners | 54.5% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 80.8% | Students with Disabilities | 7.5% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0% | Foster Youth | 0.5% |

III. CONDITIONS OF LEARNING

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence.

| Teachers | | District | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| reachers | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2015-2016 |
| With Full Credential | 9 | 9 | 10 | 242 |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

A "teacher misassignment" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. A "vacant teacher position" is one in which a single designated teacher has not been assigned to teach an entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester. This table displays the number of teacher misassignments and vacant teacher positions for the most current three-year period. Note: *Total Teacher Misassignments* includes the number of *Misassignments* of *Teachers of English Learners*.

| Indicator | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 3 OF 17

III. CONDITIONS OF LEARNING

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-2015)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by and not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High-poverty schools are defined as those with student eligibility of approximately 40% or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39% or less in the free and reduced price meals program. For more information, see the Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq.

| | Percent of Classes in Core Academic Subjects | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location of Classes | Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | | | | | | |
| This School | 100% | 0% | | | | | | |
| All Schools in District | 100% | 0% | | | | | | |
| High-Poverty Schools in District | 100% | 0% | | | | | | |
| Low-Poverty Schools in District | 100% | 0% | | | | | | |

Quality and Currency of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (Most Recent Year)

Curriculum development is a district-wide effort. Teachers and administrators from all subject areas form working committees to draft recommendations on courses of study, appropriate textbooks, and other instructional materials.

The California Department of Education has determined textbook selection and adoption schedules. The district follows the state schedule for these adoptions and has purchased textbooks from the most recent state-approved lists. All approved instructional materials are aligned with California content standards for core academic areas.

The Elementary School District Curriculum Advisory Council, composed of administrators, teachers, and parents representing each school in the district, reviews curriculum recommendations and revisions for all courses of study, appropriate textbooks, and other materials utilized in the support of the instructional program. The Curriculum Council recommendations are forwarded to the Board of Education for final approval. This is an ongoing process, assuring that all textbooks and instructional materials are systematically reviewed, updated, and supplemented.

This table shows some of the textbooks used with grade K-5 students. A comprehensive list of all textbooks is available at the district office.

| Subject Area | Textbook Publisher and Title | Grade Level | Year of Adoption |
|------------------------------|---|-------------|------------------|
| English-Language Arts | Houghton Mifflin- A Legacy of Literature | K-5 | 2003 |
| English-Language Arts | Pearson– Reading Street | K-5 | 2011 |
| English Language Development | Pearson- Language Central | K-5 | 2011 |
| Mathematics | Wright Group/McGraw Hill- Everyday Mathematics | K-5 | 2008 |
| History-Social Science | Scott Foresman- History-Social Science Program for California | K-5 | 2006 |
| Science | Harcourt School Publishers-California Science | K-5 | 2007 |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 4 OF 17

III. CONDITIONS OF LEARNING

Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (Most Recent Year)

With textbook funding assistance from the state and other sources, the district has been able to purchase sufficient materials to provide one textbook per student in all core subject areas. The Board of Education last approved the sufficiency of textbooks and instructional materials for the San Rafael City Schools Elementary District on September 21, 2015.

| Curriculum Area | Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and/or Instructional Materials |
|----------------------------|--|
| English-Language Arts | 0% |
| Mathematics | 0% |
| Science | 0% |
| History-Social Science | 0% |
| Foreign Language | n/a |
| Health | n/a |
| Visual and Performing Arts | n/a |

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

At Laurel Dell School, the campus is orderly, and students feel safe at school. The school ensures that on-site supervision is provided for programs that take place before and after school. Beginning at 8:30 a.m., there is supervision for those students who have arrived on campus before the 8:45 a.m. start time. After school, many of the students participate in the LEAP intervention program for grades 1-5.

District and school policy require that during the school day all guests to the campus check in at the school office and obtain a "visitor pass" to identify them for security personnel and all staff and students.

The physical quality of the school campus influences teaching and learning. All students and faculty have a reasonable amount of classroom and open space. The playground is sufficient for the size of the student population and is easily supervised during recess. The campus offers sufficient spaces for pull-out groups and counseling services.

Laurel Dell Elementary was opened at its current location in 1961. The school has a total of nine classrooms (five permanent and four portable), a multipurpose facility, a library and technology center, community meeting room, covered eating area, and a student services (administration) building. Portable classrooms were added in 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2007. The school underwent modernization in recent years with the use of voter-approved school bond funds. Improvements included modernization of the technology center and library, community meeting room, installation of a new covered eating area, new roof, repair and replacement of gutters and downspouts, new heating and air ventilation system, electrical distribution upgrades, and new external lighting. In addition, the parking lot and fencing were reconfigured to expand the play area.

The district strives to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. All bathrooms, classrooms, and offices are cleaned daily. Students are encouraged to help keep the campus clean by recycling. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. An on-line maintenance order request process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

The state's School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provided state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components, became inoperative effective July 1, 2013. However, the district continues to make an annual contribution to support capital improvement projects, including deferred maintenance projects.

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 5 OF 17

III. CONDITIONS OF LEARNING

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

| System Inchested | Re | epair Statu | ıs | Repair Needed and |
|--|------|-------------|------|-------------------------|
| System Inspected | Good | Fair | Poor | Action Taken or Planned |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/ HVAC, Sewer | ✓ | | | n/a |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | ✓ | | | n/a |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation | ✓ | | | n/a |
| Electrical: Electrical | ✓ | | | n/a |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks, Fountains | ✓ | | | n/a |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | ✓ | | | n/a |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | | ✓ | | n/a |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows, Doors, Gates, Fences | ✓ | | | n/a |

Date of Inspection: September 22, 2015

Overall Rating of School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

This table displays the overall rating from the results of the most recently completed school site inspection.

| System Inspected | Overall Facility Condition | | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| System hispected | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor | | | | |
| Overall Rating | | ✓ | | | | | | |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 6 OF 17

IV. PUPIL OUTCOMES

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Statewide Student Assessments

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program was last administered during the 2012-2013 school year. California's new statewide student assessment system, the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP), was established January 1, 2014 and first administered during the 2013-2014 school year. The 2014-2015 CAASPP comprises the following required assessments:

- Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, or computer adaptive test (CAT) and performance task (PT), for English-language arts and
 mathematics in grades 3 through 8 and grade 11.
- Early Assessment Program (EAP), which uses the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments in grade 11.
- Alternate assessment field test for English-language arts and mathematics in grades 3 through 8 and grade 11.
- Science assessments including California Standards Test (CST), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), in grades 5, 8, and 10.

Note: Percentages are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes appear (—) when the number of students tested is ten or less.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) Results for All Students (School Year 2014-2015)

This table displays the percent of students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) on the CAASPP in the areas of English-language arts and mathematics for the 2014-2015 school year.

| Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|----------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Subject | School | District | State | | | | | | |
| English-Language Arts | 27% | 41% | 44% | | | | | | |
| Mathematics | 23% | 35% | 33% | | | | | | |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 7 OF 17

Disaggregated CAASPP Results- English Language Arts and Mathematics (School Year 2014-2015)

The following tables display CAASPP assessment results in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics disaggregated by student groups, and by grade level, for the 2014-2015 school year. In reporting the results, **Level 1** = standard not met; **Level 2** = standard nearly met; **Level 3** = standard met; and **Level 4** = standard exceeded.

| Grade 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------|------------------|------|-------|--|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Student Groups | To Enroll | | Number Tested | | | Percent Tested Percent Achievement Level 1 | | Percent Achievement Level 2 | | Percent Achievement Level 3 | | Percent Achievement Level 4 | | |
| | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math |
| All Students | 25 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 92.0% | 92.0% | 57.0% | 48.0% | 17.0% | 22.0% | 13.0% | 17.0% | 13.0% | 13.0% |
| Male | * | * | 11 | 11 | 44.0% | 44.0% | 64.0% | 55.0% | 18.0% | 18.0% | 9.0% | 18.0% | 9.0% | 9.0% |
| Female | * | * | 12 | 12 | 48.0% | 48.0% | 50.0% | 42.0% | 17.0% | 25.0% | 17.0% | 17.0% | 17.0% | 17.0% |
| Black or African American | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Asian | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Filipino | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | 17 | 17 | 68.0% | 68.0% | 71.0% | 65.0% | 18.0% | 24.0% | 6.0% | 6.0% | 6.0% | 6.0% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| White | * | * | 6 | 6 | 24.0% | 24.0% | | | | | | | | |
| Two or More Races | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | * | * | 17 | 17 | 68.0% | 68.0% | 71.0% | 59.0% | 18.0% | 29.0% | 6.0% | 6.0% | 6.0% | 6.0% |
| English Learners | * | * | 10 | 10 | 40.0% | 40.0% | | | | | | | | |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | 1 | 1 | 4.0% | 4.0% | | | | | | | | |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Foster Youth | * | * | | - | | | | | | | | | | |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 8 OF 17

Disaggregated CAASPP Results- English Language Arts and Mathematics (School Year 2014-2015)

| Grade 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------|-----|---------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Student Groups | To Enroll | | | Number Percent Tested Tested | | Percent Achievement Level 1 | | Percent Achievement Level 2 | | Percent Achievement Level 3 | | Percent Achievement Level 4 | | |
| | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math |
| All Students | 54 | 54 | 52 | 52 | 96.3% | 96.3% | 48.0% | 21.0% | 15.0% | 27.0% | 23.0% | 21.0% | 13.0% | 17.0% |
| Male | * | * | 25 | 25 | 46.3% | 46.3% | 48.0% | 24.0% | 8.0% | 12.0% | 24.0% | 16.0% | 20.0% | 32.0% |
| Female | * | * | 27 | 27 | 50.0% | 50.0% | 48.0% | 19.0% | 22.0% | 41.0% | 22.0% | 26.0% | 7.0% | 4.0% |
| Black or African American | * | * | 1 | 1 | 1.9% | 1.9% | | | | | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | 1 | 1 | 1.9% | 1.9% | | | | | | | | |
| Asian | * | * | 1 | 1 | 1.9% | 1.9% | | | | | | | | |
| Filipino | * | * | 5 | 5 | 9.3% | 9.3% | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | 39 | 39 | 72.2% | 72.2% | 49.0% | 21.0% | 15.0% | 31.0% | 28.0% | 21.0% | 8.0% | 15.0% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| White | * | * | 5 | 5 | 9.3% | 9.3% | | | | | | | | |
| Two or More Races | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | * | * | 45 | 45 | 83.3% | 83.3% | 53.0% | 24.0% | 16.0% | 29.0% | 22.0% | 18.0% | 9.0% | 13.0% |
| English Learners | * | * | 21 | 21 | 38.9% | 38.9% | 76.0% | 38.0% | 19.0% | 33.0% | 5.0% | 14.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | 5 | 5 | 9.3% | 9.3% | | | | | | | | |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Foster Youth | * | * | | | | | | | | | | | | |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 9 OF 17

Disaggregated CAASPP Results- English Language Arts and Mathematics (School Year 2014-2015)

| | Grade 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------|------------|------|-------|-------|--------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Student Groups | To Enroll | | Num Tes | | | cent | Achiev | cent vement vel 1 | _ | cent rement rel 2 | | cent rement el 3 | | cent rement rel 4 |
| | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math |
| All Students | 55 | 55 | 53 | 54 | 96.4% | 98.2% | 58.0% | 63.0% | 23.0% | 31.0% | 13.0% | 2.0% | 6.0% | 4.0% |
| Male | * | * | 28 | 28 | 50.9% | 50.9% | 71.0% | 57.0% | 14.0% | 39.0% | 14.0% | 4.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Female | * | * | 25 | 26 | 45.5% | 47.3% | 44.0% | 69.0% | 32.0% | 23.0% | 12.0% | 0.0% | 12.0% | 8.0% |
| Black or African American | * | * | 2 | 2 | 3.6% | 3.6% | | | | | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Asian | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Filipino | * | * | 1 | 1 | 1.8% | 1.8% | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | 43 | 44 | 78.2% | 80.0% | 63.0% | 64.0% | 26.0% | 34.0% | 12.0% | 2.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| White | * | * | 6 | 6 | 10.9% | 10.9% | | | | | | | | |
| Two or More Races | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | * | * | 46 | 47 | 83.6% | 85.5% | 67.0% | 68.0% | 22.0% | 30.0% | 11.0% | 2.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| English Learners | * | * | 19 | 20 | 34.5% | 36.4% | 95.0% | 95.0% | 5.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 5.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Students with Disabilities | * | * | 9 | 9 | 16.4% | 16.4% | | | | | | | | |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Foster Youth | * | * | | - | | | | | | | | | | |

California Standards Tests Results for All Students in Science- Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) on the CST in science for the last three-year period.

| | | | Percent | of Students | Scoring at P | roficient or A | dvanced | | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Subject | | School | | | District | | | State | |
| | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 |
| Science | 83% | 47% | 14% | 62% | 65% | 59% | 59% | 60% | 56% |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 10 OF 17

California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-2015)

This table displays the percent of students, by group, scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) on the CST in science for the 2014-2015 school year.

| Student Group | Percentage of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced |
|---|---|
| | Science |
| All Students in the District | 59% |
| All Students in the School | 14% |
| Male | 17% |
| Female | 11% |
| Black or African American | - |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | |
| Asian | |
| Filipino | - |
| Hispanic or Latino | 11% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | - |
| White | - |
| Two or More Races | - |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | - |
| English Learners | 5% |
| Students with Disabilities | 12% |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services | - |
| Foster Youth | |

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcome

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-2015)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the cumulative percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE Physical Fitness testing Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf.

Note: Percentages are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. Double dashes appear (—) when the number of students tested is ten or less.

| Grade Level | | Cumulative Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade Level | Four of Six Standards | Five of Six Standards | Six of Six Standards | | | | | | |
| 5 | 75.5% | 0% | 0% | | | | | | |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 11 OF 17

V. ENGAGEMENT

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

· Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

Contact Person Name: Alejandra Argueta

To Reach Contact Person: alargue.laureldell@gmail.com

Parent involvement is a vital component of the educational program at Laurel Dell Elementary School. San Rafael City Schools would not be what it is today without the contributions of countless parents and guardians who give their time and expertise as volunteers and who share their financial resources to support the goals and aspirations of San Rafael schools.

Parents and guardians have the opportunity to play an active role in their child's school experience through a number of activities, including parent council, district and school English language advisory committees, parent teacher associations, district and school site committees, fundraising campaigns and special events.

At Laurel Dell School, specific opportunities for parent participation include the Site Leadership Team, Parent Teacher Association, and School English Language Advisory Council.

Parents are also made to feel welcome through various informational and community-building events, including back-to-school nights, parent conferences, parent education nights, PTA meetings, parent coffees/Charla Cafes, and student performances. Main events include the Harvest Celebration, Fiesta Del Sol, Winter Concert, car washes, and Saturday work days.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school, district, and state levels for the most recent three-year period.

| Dete | | School | | | District | | | State | |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Rate | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 |
| Suspensions | 2.2% | 0.4% | 0.4% | 3.7% | 2.7% | 2.1% | 5.1% | 4.4% | 3.8% |
| Expulsions | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0.1% | 0% | 0% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 12 OF 17

V. ENGAGEMENT

School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

Date Reviewed and Updated: August 18, 2015

Date Discussed with School Faculty: August 18, 2015

Laurel Dell has a comprehensive school safety plan covering all aspects of planning for emergency response and student safety. This plan is based on and coordinated with the district and county emergency preparedness plans. The plan is reviewed with school staff at the start of the school year. The plan is updated annually to increase preparedness for any emergency, including storms, fire, earthquake, or intruders on campus. The school has staff members who are trained in cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and first aid. Disaster drills are held once a semester throughout the year. The school also participates in an annual county-wide disaster preparedness drill.

Key elements in the school safety plan include: disaster procedures, abuse reporting procedures, suspension/expulsion policies, sexual harassment policies, school dress code, and school discipline policies.

VI. OTHER SARC INFORMATION

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but it is not included in the state priorities for the LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics (due to a one-year waiver, this will not be reported for 2015)
- Attendance rates as an additional indicator (for elementary and middle grades only)
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-2015)

This table displays an indication of whether the school, district, and state made AYP overall and whether the school, district, and state met each of the AYP criteria.

| AYP Criteria | School | District | State |
|--|--------|----------|-------|
| Made AYP Overall | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Participation Rate – English-Language Arts | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Participation Rate – Mathematics | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Percent Proficient – English-Language Arts | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Met Percent Proficient – Mathematics | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Met Attendance Rates | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Met Graduation Rate | n/a | n/a | Yes |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 13 OF 17

VI. OTHER SARC INFORMATION

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-2016)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years. After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/av/tidetermine.asp.

| Indicator | School | District |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Program Improvement Status | Not in PI | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement | n/a | 2009-2010 |
| Year in Program Improvement | n/a | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | n/a | 6 |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | n/a | 85.7% |

Average Class Size and Distribution

This table displays, by grade level, the average class size and the number of classes that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

| | | 2012- | 2013 | | | 2013-2 | 2014 | | | 2014-2 | 2015 | |
|----------------|--------------------|-------|----------------------|-----|--------------------|--------|----------------------|-----|--------------------|--------|----------------------|-----|
| Grade Level | Avg. Class Size | | Number of Classes | | Avg. Class Size | | Number of Classes | | Avg. Class Size | | Number of Classes | |
| | | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ | | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ | | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |
| K | 25.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23.0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 22.0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 1 | 20.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22.0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 2 | 25.0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 22.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24.0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 3 | 17.0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 24.0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 24.0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 17.0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 27.0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 24.0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 5 | 27.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26.0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 14 OF 17

VI. OTHER SARC INFORMATION

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-2015)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full- time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

| Title | Number of FTE Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| Academic Counselor | n/a | n/a |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) (1 morning per week) | 0.1 | n/a |
| Library Media Teacher (librarian) | n/a | n/a |
| Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional) | 0.2 | n/a |
| Psychologist (1 morning per week) | 0.1 | n/a |
| Social Worker | n/a | n/a |
| Nurse | shared district-wide | n/a |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 0.4 | n/a |
| Resource Specialist (non-teaching) | 0.5 | n/a |
| Occupational Therapist | 0.2 | n/a |

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-2014)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. **Supplemental/ Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. **Basic/Unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor. Detailed information regarding school expenditures and teacher salaries for all school districts in California can be found at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, visit the Ed-Data Web site at http://www.ed-data.org.

| Level | Total Expenditures Per Pupil | Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/ Restricted) | Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/ Unrestricted) | Average Teacher Salary |
|---|------------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|
| School | \$5,955 | \$1,891 | \$4,064 | \$62,707 |
| District | n/a | n/a | \$4,325 | \$64,493 |
| Percent Difference - School and District | n/a | n/a | -6.4% | -2.8% |
| State | n/a | n/a | \$5,348 | \$69,086 |
| Percent Difference - School and State | n/a | n/a | -31.5% | -10% |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 15 OF 17

VI. OTHER SARC INFORMATION

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-2015)

Laurel Dell School offers programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through categorical funds or other sources. For the 2014-2015 school year, these programs and services included the following:

- Supplementary educational programs
- Before and after school intervention
- Translation services for conferences, meetings and trainings
- Materials and supplies
- · Professional development for staff and parents
- Professional consultation on GLAD (Guided Language Acquisition by Design) model
- Training for families in the Triple P (Positive Parenting Program)

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-2014)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

| Category | District Amount | State Average For Districts In Same Category |
|---|--------------------|--|
| Beginning Teacher Salary | _ | \$42,723 |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | _ | \$65,936 |
| Highest Teacher Salary | _ | \$84,545 |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | _ | \$106,864 |
| Superintendent Salary | * | \$159,133 |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | 39% | 40% |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | 6% | 6% |

^{*}The Superintendent serves the Elementary District for 50% of the assignment.

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 16 OF 17

VI. OTHER SARC INFORMATION

Professional Development

All teachers in the San Rafael Elementary District have the opportunity to participate in three formal professional development days scheduled as part of the district calendar.

Since the 2010 academic school year, Laurel Dell Elementary has had every teacher trained in the project GLAD (Guided Language Acquisition by Design) program model to create Common Core aligned thematic units. The thematic units teach the language arts standards via science or social studies content areas.

As part of a federally funded counseling grant, staff is being trained on how to implement PBIS (Positive Behavioral Interventions & Supports). Common language is created among all students in dealing with conflict resolution.

This year our Transitional Kindergarten, Kindergarten, and First Grade teachers have begun receiving training with the SEAL model (Sobrato Early Academic Language) model.

The focus of our professional development was to develop Common Core aligned thematic units. These thematic units are designed by our staff to include: an essential question, aligned language arts and social studies themes, and various media that provide 21st Century learning. In addition, a full day was devoted to balanced literacy, F & P reading assessments, STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Math) education and UCBHSS (University of California, Berkeley History and Social Studies).

Teachers and other key school staff members have additional opportunities for participation in professional development activities through district, school, categorical, grant, foundation, and parent teacher organization funds. Professional development is focused to support the mission and goals of the district and each school site plan and may include site and district training, county offerings, state and national conferences, and workshops as appropriate.

| Annual Number of S | Annual Number of School Days Dedicated to Staff Development | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2012-2013 | 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 | | | | | | |
| 2 3 3 | | | | | | | |

COMPILED BY ACADEMIA PUBLISHING PAGE 17 OF 17